

Lydiate Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 16 Consultation - Summary of comments made

Ref	Respondents	Summary of comment
1.	Sport England	Generic response setting where to get advice on protecting playing fields and developing planning policy.
2.	United Utilities	<p>We recommend the following is included in the plan, as a separate policy.</p> <p><i>“New development should be designed to maximise the retention of surface water on the development site and to minimise runoff. The approach to surface water drainage should be considered in liaison with the LLFA, the public sewerage undertaker and where appropriate the Environment Agency”.</i></p> <p><i>Surface water should be discharged in the following order of priority:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>An adequate soakaway or some other form of infiltration system.</i> • <i>An attenuated discharge to watercourse or other water body.</i> • <i>An attenuated discharge to public surface water sewer.</i> • <i>An attenuated discharge to public combined sewer.</i> <p>We respectfully request that Lydiate Parish Council continue to consult with United Utilities on all future planning documents.</p>
3.	Network Rail	Given the proposed residential development any increase in level crossing users could create more accidental or deliberate misuse, with potential for cumulative impact, requiring contributions towards mitigation, Transport Assessments and appropriate sustainable drainage proposals also required following consultation with Network Rail.
4.	Natural England	We do not have any specific comments. If the plan changes screening exercises may need to be undertaken.
5.	Historic England	<p>Your Neighbourhood Plan should safeguard those elements which contribute to the importance of historic assets in the area. More detailed information on the historic environment, local character and distinctiveness is required and should be mentioned in the objectives, important if these are to be included in policies. Specific amendments are suggested to strengthen policies, most notably:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local Heritage assets and their designation on a “local list” for example is usually an ongoing process which is amended and added to over time. Whilst there is nothing wrong with including buildings within the policy that are defined as locally significant, it may be helpful if there was an extra line introduced within the policy which ensured that should any buildings be identified in the future then this policy would apply. This would then provide the same level of protection for any other assets as those put forward within the Plan. • Also, rather than the use of “aspects” amend to read <i>elements which contribute towards the significance of the asset</i> which would strengthen the policy.

Ref	Respondents	Summary of comment
6.	Neil Spencer	I accept the need for housing but have reservations about the further development of Lydiate, in particular "North of Kenyon's Lane". Affordable properties for sale have been on the market for a considerable time, demand has decreased as people favour city living. This site is a psychological and physical barrier between Lydiate/Maghull and Aughton. Building would join them. Extra vehicles would bring chaos, be detrimental to the environment, and GP and dentists are insufficient. Elderly people moving out will add to housing availability.
7.	National Grid	National Grid has identified that it has no record of such apparatus within the Neighbourhood Plan area.
8.	JM Sherrington (Mrs)*	I believe that more affordable housing should be made available for the young people of Lydiate to enable them to purchase/rent a home in their local area.
9.	Maureen Webb	The Gallops horse training course is mentioned as a greenspace with a nice walk over it. This is incorrect as the course is now closed and up for sale for further housing to be built.
10.	Environment Agency	Have no objection to the Submission Draft but would recommend the following modification; Paragraph <i>xi) Policy LNP HOU1 Land North of Kenyons Lane</i> has a requirement for the Environment Agency to be consulted. According to our flood map the site is located entirely within Flood Zone 1, considered to be low risk of fluvial/tidal flooding. As such we would not expect to see any formal planning related consultation with regards to flood risk with the exception perhaps of any request for data (historical flooding). For information this data is available at; https://data.gov.uk/publisher/environment-agency This requirement could be questioned because any flood risk assessment must use the most up to date information to inform its production to ensure it is pursuant to the National Planning Policy Framework. If however, it is felt beneficial to keep this requirement we suggest the wording is amended to that as set out for policy <i>xii) LNP HOU2 Land at Lambshear Lane</i>

* Indicates request to be notified of decision to adopt Lydiate Neighbourhood Plan

The full responses are available in the Lydiate Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 16 Consultation at: www.sefton.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning