Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the Sefton Local Plan

- "Main Modifications" are required to resolve issues that make the Local Plan unsound (see paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework) or where it is not legally compliant. They involve changes or insertions to policies and text that are essential to enable the Plan to be adopted. Main Modifications are therefore significant changes that have an impact on the implementation of a policy.
- "Additional Modifications" are of a more minor nature and do not materially affect the policies set out in the Sefton Local Plan. Additional modifications mainly relate to points where a need has been identified to clarify the text, include updated facts, or make typographical or grammatical revisions which improve the readability of the Sefton Local Plan.

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason | |
|---|------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| Type | al Inde | Plan Ref. | turo | | |
| MM MO General Ensure where a Policy is shown on the Policies Map that this is referenced in For clarity | | | | | |
| 101101 | Wio | Certeral | Policy wording | Tor clarity | |
| AM | A1 | General | Replace reference to 'Policy Map' with 'Policies Map' | For clarity | |
| AM | A2 | General | Ensure consistency in paragraph and policy numbering in publication Local Plan | For clarity in the adoption version of the Plan | |
| AM | А3 | General | Consider tense when referencing SPDs and other strategies if they will be adopted the same point as the Plan | To ensure Plan is up to date, see Appendix 4 also | |
| AM | A4 | General | Change 'Primarily Industrial Area' to 'Existing Employment Area' throughout the Local Plan (including the Index and Policy Links) | To reflect the recommendations of the 2015 Employment Land & Premises Study and the discussion at the hearings | |
| AM | A 5 | General | Change 'NH1 Environmental Assets' to 'NH1 Natural Assets' or 'NH9A Heritage Assets' throughout Local Plan (including the Index and Policy Links) | To respond to the discussion at the hearings, including separating policy NH1 into natural assets and heritage assets | |
| AM | A6 | General | Replace reference to Anthony Gormley's 'Iron Men' with 'Another Place' | To reflect the sculptures' official name | |
| AM | A7 | General | Replace reference to 'River Terminal' and 'Seaforth River Terminal' with 'Liverpool2' | To reflect the development's official name | |
| AM | A8 | General | Replace reference to 'English Heritage' with 'Historic England' | To reflect the change in name of this organisation | |
| AM | A9 | General | Replace reference to 'Highways Agency' with 'Highways England' | To reflect the change in name of this organisation | |
| AM | A10 | General | Replace reference to 'The Habitats Regulations 2010 (as amended)' with 'The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended'. | To respond to Natural England [P.700] comments and provide the correct | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | reference |
| AM | A11 | General | Replace the reference to the 'Nature Improvement Area' with 'Ecological Network' | To update the Plan |
| AM | A12 | Index | Add new policies to the index: MN6A Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown ED8A Marine Park ED8B Aintree Racecourse | New policies have been added |
| | | | Delete reference to MN2.49: MN2.49 Land South of Formby Industrial Estate | To take account of the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| | | | Amend the title of chapter 10 from: 'A quality, healthy environment for Sefton' to 'Design and quality environment' | To reflect the change in the chapter's name |
| | | | Amend the title of policy NH13 from: 'NH13 Development affecting archaeology and Scheduled Monuments' to 'NH13 Development affecting Scheduled Monuments and non-designated archaeology' | To reflect the change in the chapter's name |
| AM | A13 | List of Appendic es | Add reference new appendix 5: Appendix 5 Superseded Unitary Development Plan policies | To refer to the new appendix which has been added to the Plan |
| AM | A14 | List of Figures | Amend title of figure 2.3: 2.3: Areas in Sefton classed as within the 20% most and 20% least deprived in England | For clarity and to update table in line with changes to respective figures |
| | | | Add reference to new figures: 4.4 Key Diagram 6.1 Broad location of Business Park within Land East of Maghull 7.2 Primary Shopping Areas in Town and District Centres 11.2A Development Types that do not require a Minerals Assessment | To reflect new figures added to the Plan |
| | | | nd Duty to cooperate | , |
| AM | A15 | 1.5 | Add a new main bullet point at the end of paragraph 1.5 to say: • Submission stage – 2015: this is closely based on meeting | To update the introduction to the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------|----------|----------------|--|---|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | identified needs, taking account of the 2012-based population projections. o Submission of the draft Plan and related documents to the Planning Inspectorate for examination on behalf of the Secretary of State. o The draft Plan was submitted in August 2015. Hearings were held in November and December 2015 and January 2016 and the Inspector issued initial findings in February 2016. | |
| AM | A16 | 1.6A – 1.6B | Add two new paragraphs after paragraph 1.6: 1.6A Although the Local Plan contains policy IN3 'Managing Waste', more detailed guidance about the allocation of sites for waste disposal and detailed development management policies are contained in the joint Waste Local Plan, which has been adopted by the six Merseyside districts. 1.6B The Council will prepare a number of Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) to provide further detail and guidance on the policies and proposals in the development plan. Where SPDs are proposed, this is indicated in the explanation to the policy. They do not form part of the statutory development plan themselves. When adopted by the Council, they will be a material consideration in determining planning applications. | For clarity |
| MM | M1 | 1.17 | Amend the final sentence and an add additional sentence to the end of the paragraph as follows: A need for an immediateearly review of the Local Plan to address the needs generated by the expanded Port of Liverpool is considered at paragraph 4.42. A commitment to undertaking the necessary sub-regional studies is currently being sought form the other Liverpool City Region local authorities, as required by the Duty to Cooperate document. | To confirm the action the Council is taking in recognition of the need for it to undertake an immediate review of the Local Plan. |
| Chapt | er 2 Pro | file of Sefto | | |
| AM | A17 | 2.2 | Amend the final sentence: The Combined Authority has received £230m funding from the Government's Local Growth Fund to support economic growth. | For clarity |
| AM | A18 | 2.3 | Amend the penultimate sentence: | For clarity |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| Туре | | Plan Rei. | It is currently around 273,700273,500 and for the first time in 30 years the decline has stabilised and this trend is now projected to reverse. The population is now projected to rise to about 280,000 by 2037. | |
| AM | A19 | 2.6 | Amend paragraph: Sefton has 22 miles of coast stretching the length of the borough and containing a number of internationally important nature sites reserves and the most extensive dunes in England. The coast is an important part of the Borough's outstanding natural environment which helps to make Sefton distinctive and is valued by residents, businesses and visitors alike. Most of our coast has been designated a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended European Union Habitats Directive a Special Protection Area under the EU Birds Directive and a Ramsar Site under the Ramsar Convention. The Borough is home to six international sites, comprising one Special Area of Conservation (SAC), two Ramsar sites and three Special Protection Areas (which constitute the SAC); 10 national sites comprising four Sites of Special Scientific Interest, three National Nature Reserves and 3 Local Nature Reserves; 56 Local Wildlife Sites and 12 Local Geological Sites, three national and four local nature reserves, and four Sites of Special Scientific Interest. | To correct factual errors and to update the Plan |
| AM | A20 | 2.9 | Amend the paragraph as follows: The Leeds and Liverpool Canal passes through Lydiate, Maghull, Netherton and Bootle before continuing to Liverpool. It is already very well used for recreation by local communities. The Canal offers great potential to be used more intensively for tourism and ecology, subject to this being compatible with the location of much of the canal in Sefton's Green Belt. | For clarity |
| AM | A21 | 2.12 | Add an additional sentence to the end of the paragraph: Significant investment and redevelopment has already taken place, particularly associated with the former Housing Market Renewal initiative and other former industrial sites. | To indicate that some investment has already taken place and is continuing to be sought so that these sites can be recycled and re-used |
| AM | A22 | 2.15 | Replace paragraph 2.15 with the following: The number of vacant (i.e. empty) homes in Sefton is just over 5,800 (based on April 2014 Council tax data), 4.63% of the total stock (including second homes and homes set for demolition in the Housing Renewal areas). | To update the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Of the total number of vacant homes, 2,632 are classed as long-term | |
| | | | vacant, i.e. vacant for more than six months. These vacancies are | |
| | | | concentrated in south Sefton and central Southport. The number of vacant | |
| | | | (i.e. empty) homes in Sefton is 5,570 (based on October 2015 Council tax | |
| | | | data), 4.41% of the total stock (including second homes and the remaining | |
| | | | proportion of homes set for demolition in the Housing Renewal areas). Of | |
| | | | the total number of vacant homes, 3,155 are classed as long-term vacant, | |
| | | | i.e. vacant for more than six months (2.5% of the total housing stock). | |
| | | | These vacancies are concentrated in south Sefton and central Southport. | |
| AM | A23 | 2.17 | Replace paragraph 2.17 with the following: | To update the Plan |
| | | | The 2011 Census identifies that, when compared with both the North West | |
| | | | Region and England, the Borough has a higher proportion of older persons. | |
| | | | In 2011, 34.0% of the population of Sefton was aged 55 or over compared | |
| | | | with 28.6% in the North West region and 28.0% for the whole of England. | |
| | | | Within Sefton there are also some notable differences with the | |
| | | | Bootle/Netherton area having a relatively young population (27.1% aged 55 | |
| | | | and over) and the rest of the Borough being generally older (36.6% aged | |
| | | | 55 and over). The ONS mid-2014 Population Estimates identify that, when | |
| | | | compared with both the North West Region and England, the Borough has a | |
| | | | higher proportion of older persons. In 2014, 35.6% of the population of | |
| | | | Sefton was aged 55 or over compared with 29.6% in the North West region | |
| | | | and 28.8% for the whole of England. Within Sefton there are also some | |
| | | | notable differences with the Bootle/Netherton area having a relatively young | |
| | | | population (28.5% aged 55 and over) and the rest of the Borough being | |
| | | | generally older (38.2% aged 55 and over). | |
| AM | A24 | 2.20 | Replace paragraph 2.20 with the following: | For clarity and to update the plan |
| | | | Sefton has high skill levels and a low rate of deprivation when compared to | |
| | | | the other local authority areas in the City region (although areas of | |
| | | | deprivation in Bootle and Seaforth and in Southport place them in the most | |
| | | | deprived 20% of neighbourhoods in the country – see figure 2.3 below). | |
| | | | Unemployment rose sharply at the onset of recession in 2009, remained | |
| | | | high for four years, and is now dropping slowly in line with the UK's cautious | |
| | | | economic recovery. The proportion of working age people in Sefton not in | |
| | | | work (Labour Force Survey) has fallen from a peak of 9.6% in June 2011 to | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | 8.4% in June 2014. The unemployment rate in Sefton remains lower than | |
| | | | those of the adjoining boroughs of Liverpool and Knowsley (12.8% and | |
| | | | 9.7% respectively) although there are parts of Sefton, such as Bootle, | |
| | | | where rates remain twice the national average. The proportion of working | |
| | | | age population in Sefton who are unemployed has fallen significantly in the | |
| | | | last year, from a peak of 10.5% (Annual Population Survey Oct 12 - Sept | |
| | | | 13) to just 4.2% (APS Oct 14 – Sept 15). The unemployment rate in Sefton | |
| | | | remains lower than in the adjoining boroughs of Knowsley (7.5%) and | |
| | | | <u>Liverpool (10.3%) although there are parts of the borough, such as Bootle</u> | |
| | | | where rates are above the national average and almost 1½ times the Sefton | |
| | | | <u>average.</u> | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| AM | A25 | Figure 2.3 | Replace the 2012 Indices of Multiple Deprivation with the 2015 Indices of Multiple Deprivation: About 1 in 4 of Sefton's residents live in an area classed as within the 20% most deprived areas in the country In the country least deprived areas in the country Maghull Maghu | To update the Plan |
| AM | A26 | 2.21 – 2.21A | Replace paragraph 2.21 with the following and add a new paragraph 2.21A: 2.21 After a period of recovery in 2014, the number of residents in employment has once again started to fall. In September 2015 there were 119,000 residents in employment, which as a proportion of the working age population is an activity rate of 72.5% (APS Oct 14 -Sept 15). This could reflect on the recovery of the wider city region. The number of jobs actually located in Sefton fell slightly in 2014 to 89,400 and is not recovering to the levels reached before the economic downturn. The number of residents in | To update the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | employment in Sefton fell in 2009 but recovered to pre-recessionary levels | |
| | | | in 2014. There are 121,400 residents in employment, which as a proportion | |
| | | | of all working age residents is an activity rate of 70.8% (June 2014). This | |
| | | | reflects on the recovery in the wider city region. The number of jobs | |
| | | | actually located in Sefton recovered slightly in 2013 at 90,000 but has yet | |
| | | | to reach peak levels before the recession. The number of public sector jobs | |
| | | | contracted by 17% since 2009, and private sector jobs have yet to | |
| | | | compensate with zero growth over the same period. Approximately 1 in 4 | |
| | | | people in Sefton are now employed within the public sector (including the | |
| | | | Department of Work and Pensions, the Health and Safety Executive, Sefton | |
| | | | Council and the NHS). However, the overall number of these jobs is | |
| | | | decreasing significantly as a result of reductions in government funding for | |
| | | | this sector. Many of these jobs are based in the Bootle area which has a | |
| | | | large amount of office space, much of which has been improved and has | |
| | | | successfully concentrated civil service jobs relocated from elsewhere in the | |
| | | | city region and the north west. | |
| | | | 2.21A The number of public sector jobs has contracted by 28% since 2010, | |
| | | | as a result of reductions in government funding. There has been an | |
| | | | increase of 5% over the same period, 2010 – 2014 it is not nearly strong | |
| | | | enough to compensate for the loss of public sector jobs. Just under 1 in 4 | |
| | | | people in Sefton are employed within the public sector (including the | |
| | | | Department of Work and Pensions, HMRC, Health and Safety Executive, | |
| | | | Sefton Council and NHS). Many of these jobs are based in the Bootle area | |
| | | | which has a large amount of office space, some of which has been improved | |
| | | | and has successfully concentrated civil service jobs in the City Region and | |
| | | | North West. However, the imminent withdrawal of the HMRC from Bootle to | |
| | | | an alternative location could see the loss of around 3,500 jobs from the | |
| | | | area impacting on the local economy. | |
| , | | 2.22 | Replace paragraph 2.22 with the following: | To update the Plan |
| | | | Whilst Sefton compares well with other districts in the city region, fewer of | |
| | | | our working-age population have qualifications at NVQ levels 3 and 4 | |
| | | | compared to the country as a whole. This makes it more difficult for them to | |
| | | | gain employment, or better paid employment. There are also local variances | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | in qualifications: 12% in Bootle and 13% of Netherton are qualified to Level | |
| | | | 4 or above, but 37% in Formby (2011 Census). The extent and rate of | |
| | | | unemployment, reflecting low levels of investment and growth over many | |
| | | | years, multiple personal and family barriers to work, as well as skill | |
| | | | mismatch with emerging sectors, have been historically highest in the most | |
| | | | disadvantaged parts of the south of the Borough. While Sefton compares | |
| | | | well with other districts in the city region, fewer of our working age | |
| | | | population have qualifications at NVQ levels 3 and 4 compared to the | |
| | | | country as a whole. It is more difficult for those with lower levels of | |
| | | | qualifications to gain employment, or better paid employment. There are | |
| | | | also local variances in qualifications: 24.4% in Bootle and 25.3% in | |
| | | | Southport, compared to 35.4% in Sefton Central (APS 2014). The extent | |
| | | | and rate of unemployment, reflecting low levels of investment and growth | |
| | | | over many years, multiple personal and family barriers to work, as well as | |
| | | | skills mismatch with emerging sectors, have been historically highest in the | |
| | 107 | 0.04 | most disadvantaged parts of the south of the Borough. | T. I.I. II. DI |
| AM | A27 | 2.24 | Amend third sentence as follows: | To update the Plan |
| | | | At July 2011May 2015, 13.615.0% of total floorspace in Southport town | |
| | | | centre was vacant, and 11.9 15.6% of total floorspace in Bootle town centre | |
| 0.04 | 400 | 2.24.4 | (2012-2015 Retail Strategy Review). | To promote the the promote that he |
| AM | A28 | 2.26A | Add a new paragraph after paragraph 2.26: 2.26A In addition to the need for road improvements, there is also a need | To respond to the representation by |
| | | | • | Merseytravel [P.553] and to provide updated information. |
| | | | to promote multimodal access to the port. The more rail and water can be | updated information. |
| | | | used to transport goods to and from the port, the more this can help relieve | |
| | | | pressure on the road network although it is acknowledged there will still be | |
| | | | a major role for road transport depending on the destinations of the cargo | |
| | | | being carried to and from the port. The Southern Zone of the Port of | |
| | | | Liverpool (south of Alexandra Dock down to Sandon Dock) does not have | |
| | | | rail access at the moment. | |
| | | | Tail access at the moment. | |
| AM | A29 | 2.33 | Amend paragraph: | To update Plan and for clarity as this is |
| | 1 | | Our roads are under increasing pressure as traffic flows continue to rise. | currently the main route between the |
| | | | This leads to local congestion at peak times within the A565 corridor | Port in Sefton and the motorway network |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | through Crosby/Waterloo, between Thornton and Switch Island, the | at Switch Island |
| | | | <u>Dunnings Bridge Road corridor (A5036)</u> and, in the summer, on the roads | |
| | | | leading into Southport. The traffic congestion in these areas can result in | |
| | | | problems with noise and air quality. Brooms Cross Road (A5758) was | |
| | | | completed in August 2015 with the objective of easing (Thornton to Switch | |
| | | | Island link) is due to be complete in early 2015 and will help some of these | |
| | 100 | 0.04 | problems. | T 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| AM | A30 | 2.34 | Amend the final sentence: | To update the information provided |
| | | | In 2006-2011 3029% of people travelled to work by sustainable methods | |
| A B # | A 2.1 | 2.20 | (walking, public transport and cycling) a decrease from 38% in 2001. | To undete the Dien |
| AM AM | A31 A32 | 2.38 | Replace the '2014' with '2015' in the first sentence Amend the first sentence as follows: | To update the Plan To update the Plan |
| AIVI | A32 | 2.39 | In 2010 2015 Sefton was ranked as the <u>102nd</u> 92nd most deprived borough | To update the Plan |
| | | | nationally (from 325 English Local Authorities), though it is improving (it | |
| | | | was the 78 th most deprived in 2004 and , 83 rd in 2007 <u> and 92nd 2010</u>). | |
| AM | A33 | Pages | The source of the population figures for each part of Sefton has been added | For clarity |
| Aivi | ASS | 13-15 | to confirm that these are the ONS mid-year population statistics (2012). | For clarity |
| AM | A34 | 2.43 | Add '2013 – 2018 (2014)' after 'Health and Well-being Strategy.' | For clarity |
| AM | A35 | 2.45 | 'Liverpool2' has been added before 'deep river berth' | To provide clarity and for consistency |
| Aivi | 733 | 2.43 | Elverpools has been added before deep fiver bertin | with the Port Master Plan [Examination |
| | | | | library reference EM.8]. |
| AM | A36 | 2.53 | Amend the first sentence: | For clarity |
| | | | The central area of Sefton contains Crosby (including Waterloo, | . o. o.ay |
| | | | Blundellsands and Thornton) at the edge of the 'Greater-Liverpool' | |
| | | | metropolitan area, suburban areas such as Aintree and Waddicar(more | |
| | | | commonly referred to as Melling), and the free-standing towns of Maghull | |
| | | | (including Lydiate) and Formby (including Little Altcar). | |
| AM | A37 | 2.54 | Remove 'Melling' from the list of villages | Although the hamlet of |
| | | | | 'Melling' has historic roots, as distinct |
| | | | | from the suburban area which also goes |
| | | | | by this name, the inclusion of Melling in |
| | | | | both paragraph 2.53 and 2.54 is |
| | | | | confusing and inconsistent with its use |
| | | | | elsewhere in the Plan. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|----------|------------|--|--|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | | |
| AM | A38 | 2.58 | Amend the first sentence: | For clarity |
| | | | There are few employment areas in central Sefton, with small industrial | |
| | | | areas in Formby (Formby Industrial Estate) and Maghull (Sefton Lane), and | |
| | | | retail and industrial areas in Aintree (adjacent to Ormskirk Road). | |
| | er 3 Key | issues and | challenges, vision and objectives | |
| AM | A39 | 3.4 | Amend final sentence: | For clarity |
| | | | Fewer children or working people in an area could make it more difficult to | |
| | | | sustain thriving local schools, while an older population may require | |
| | | | education and other services and facilities, e.g. related to health and social | |
| | | | services. | |
| AM | A40 | 3.10 | Remove 'to' from sub-heading before paragraph 3.10. | For clarity |
| AM | A41 | 3.13 | Amend the final sentence as follows: | To respond to the National Trust's |
| | | | A major challenge for the Plan will be to meet the Borough's needs for new | representation [P.663] |
| | | | homes and employment and other development, while taking account of | |
| | | | these constraints and protecting and enhancing Sefton's environmental | |
| | | | assets. | |
| AM | A42 | 3.14 | Amend the second sentence: | For consistency with other references in |
| | | | Parts of Sefton are amongst the most deprived 10 20% of areas nationally, | the plan, and to accord with the indices |
| | | | notably areas in Bootle and central Southport. | of multiple deprivation |
| AM | A43 | 3.24 | Amend the first sentence: | To respond to Historic England's |
| 1 | | | Important nature sites and heritage assets and green infrastructure | representation [P.648] |
| | | | have generally been retained protected and enhanced and | |
| | | | compensation/mitigation provided where losses have occurred. | |
| AM | A44 | 3.29 | Amend final sentence: | For clarity |
| 1 | | | New homes and other developments have been located in areas with lower | |
| | | | risk of flooding or areas at risk of coastal change, and where necessary | |
| | | | have been designed to reduce the impact of flooding. | |
| AM | A45 | 3.31 | Amend the final sentence: | To correct an omission |
| | | | It has made the most of its natural resources e.g. the potential of solar | |
| | | | energy and wind energy next to the coast. | |
| AM | A46 | Obj. 5 | Amend sentence: | For clarity |
| | | | To help Sefton's town, district and local centres to diversify and thrive | |
| | | | cy principles and spatial strategy | |
| AM | A47 | 4.4 | Delete 'to' from the last bullet point, line 4. | To correct a typographical error |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| AM | A48 | 4.5 | Amend the final sentence: In relation to employment, new business parks-in both the north and south | For clarity |
| | | | of the borough were proposed in central Sefton to serve both the north and | |
| | | | south of the Borough. | |
| AM | A49 | 4.10 | Amend paragraph as follows: | To update the Plan |
| | | | The most recent review of the Housing Requirement for Sefton was | |
| | | | undertaken in July 2014 <u>2015</u> based on the latest demographic information, | |
| | | | including the 2011 Census and the 2012 sub-national population projections | |
| 200 | 1.10 | | for the borough 2012-based sub-national household projections. | T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| MM | M2 | 4.11 | Amend paragraph as follows: This concluded that Sefton's household growth over the plan period was | To update the Plan |
| | | | 'objectively assessed housing need' was in the order of 615 576 dwellings a | |
| ļ | | | year. | |
| MM | М3 | 4.12 | Amend paragraph as follows: | To update the Plan |
| | | | The This figure of 615 dwellings includes an assessment of 'pent up' housing | · |
| | | | need based on the Censusneeds to be revised upward by approximately | To update the Plan To update the Plan To update the Plan |
| | | | 10% to for affordability and past under-delivery. The total requirement | |
| | | | over the Plan period is $\frac{11,070}{11,520}$ ($\frac{615}{640}$ x 18 = $\frac{11,070}{11,520}$) which | |
| | | | is higher but broadly comparable to the 'Option Two' figure of 10,700 at Preferred Option stage. | |
| AM | A50 | 4.16 | Amend final sentence of paragraph as follows: | To undate the Plan |
| | 750 | 4.10 | The demand for housing and special accommodation for older people will | To apacte the Half |
| | | | also increase. | |
| AM | A51 | 4.17 | Add an additional sentence to the end of the paragraph: | |
| | | | In addition, there is a further need to provide 2.63ha to compensate for the | To correct an omission for clarity |
| | | | loss of employment areas in the Sefton part of the L5 area identified in the | |
| 222 | 4.50 | 1.0- | Mersey Ports Master Plan. | T 1 |
| AM | A52 | 4.37 | Amend the housing requirement as follows: | To update the Plan and take account of |
| | | | The housing requirement over the Plan period is calculated at 11,07011,520. It is good practice to add in a 'buffer' of at least 5% in case | the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| | | | a few sites do not come forward as anticipated or the densities are lower | |
| | | | than proposed. The total identified supply is 11,78711,461. Local | |
| ' | | | Authorities are also expected to look beyond the Plan period and to identify | |
| | | | what is known as 'safeguarded land' in order to meet longer-term needs | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| Туре | | Plair Rei. | beyond the current Plan period. | |
| AM | A53 | 4.39 | Amend the employment requirement: The employment land requirement over the Plan period is for 84.581.59 hectares, as recommended by the Employment Land & Premises Study Refresh. | To update the Plan |
| AM | A54 | 4.40 | Amend paragraph: The majority of this requirement can be met from sites in the existing urban area, but at least 30-23 hectares will need to be accommodated elsewhere. For this reason, the Plan proposes to identify three Strategic Employment Locations on land currently in Green Belt. | To address deletion of Land South of Formby Industrial Estate as required by the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| MM | M4 | 4.42 | Replace 'early review' to 'immediate review' in the heading before paragraph 4.42 and amend final sentence: It is proposed to review the Plan at an early stage if required to take account of the results of this study in a co-ordinated sub-regional manner. | For clarity and as recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| MM | M5 | 4.43 | Amend the paragraph as follows: This review will also reflect the conclusions of the Port of Liverpool Options Identification and Assessment commissioned by Highways EnglandPort Access Study in relation to proposals for major road improvements. Public engagement as part of this work is likely to take place early in 2016. The options assessment work is expected to be completed in Summer / Autumn 2016. | To update Plan |
| MM | M6 | 4.44 | Replace the paragraph with the following: The Council is committed to an immediate review of the Plan if the publication of the sub-regional Strategic Housing and Employment Land Market Assessment (SHELMA) identifies a need for more housing or employment including land for logistics associated with the Port of Liverpool (see part 5 of policy MN1 'Housing and Employment Land Requirements'). To this end, and as part of the Duty to Co-operate, it is collaborating with the other Liverpool City Region authorities to carry this study out. This early review will also be able to take account of the findings of a future sub-regional strategic housing market assessment, should this imply a significantly different housing requirement. | To clarify the circumstances under which the immediate review of the Sefton Local Plan will proceed and the timetable within which it will be undertaken. |
| MM | M7 | 4.44A | Add a new paragraph: Any review of the Plan also provides the opportunity for the Council, | For clarity |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Chang | е | | | | Reason |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| | | | working where ap | propriate in collabo | oration with | the other Liver | pool City | |
| | | | | , to generate a nev | | | | |
| | | | | the Council to dete | | | | |
| | | | | work, National Plan | | | | |
| 0.04 | 455 | 4.45 | | nisterial Written St | | Englada: | | |
| AM | A55 | 4.45 | | odated' with 'locat | | | | For clarity |
| AM | A56 | Figure 4.2 | _ | n' to the fifth line c | the table | | | For clarity |
| MM | M8 | Figure | Amend table: | - | | | | For clarity and to reflect the Inspector's |
| | IIVI IVIO | 4.3 | | Proposed new homes [net of demolitions] | % | Strategic Employmen t Sites & Allocations [hectares] | % | recommendations contained in his initial findings. |
| | | | Southport | 3,295 2,921 | 28.0 25.5 | 13.1 | 16. 0 1 | |
| | | | Formby | 999 <u>1,023</u> | 8. 5 9 | 15 <u>8</u> | 18.3 9.8 | |
| | | | Sefton East Parishes | 2,685 <u>2,609</u> | 22.8 | 20 | 24. <mark>4</mark> 5 | |
| | | | Crosby <u>&</u> <u>Hightown</u> | 1,133 <u>1,010</u> | 9.6 <u>8.8</u> | - | θ <u>-</u> | |
| | | | Bootle & Netherton | 1,459 <u>1,655</u> | 12.4 14.4 | 34 | 41. 4 <u>7</u> | |
| | | | Windfalls | 1,503 <u>1,071</u> | 12.7 <u>9.3</u> | - | - | |
| | | | Completions 2012-2014 | 717 1,172 | 6.1 10.2 | <u>-6.5</u> | - 8.0 | |
| | | | Total | 11,793 <u>11,461</u> | 100 | <u>81.6</u> | 100 | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| MM | M9 | Figure 4.4 | Insert a Key Diagram (Figure 4.4) after Figure 4.3. Exemption | To comply with paragraph 157 of the NPPF- "Crucially, Local Plans should: indicate broad locations for strategic development on a key diagram and landuse designations on a proposals map;" |
| AM | A57 | 4.55 | Amend paragraph 4.55 as follows: An objective of the Local Plan is to meet needs as close to where they arise as possible. In view of the constraints Sefton faces, it has not been possible | For clarity |
| | | | to achieve an exactly proportionate distribution of sites. However, many sites have been assessed and those recommended included in this draft Plan are considered to be the most appropriate to meet the identified needs. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|----------|---------------|---|---|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | | |
| Chapt | er 5 Sus | stainable De | evelopment | |
| AM | A58 | Policy SD2 | Amend the ninth bullet point as follows: To protect and enhance Sefton's natural and heritage assets and their settings, including requiring relevant assessments, and | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |
| | | | making sure there are no adverse effects on the integrity of internationally important nature sites or supporting habitats | |
| | | | Amend the tenth bullet point: To achieve high quality design and a healthyan environment that encourages a healthy lifestyle | For clarity |
| MM | M10 | Policy | Add an additional bullet point (as the penultimate bullet point): | To respond to United Utilities |
| | | SD2 | • To ensure that all new development addresses flood risk | representation (P.722) and to reflect the |
| | | | mitigation and explores all methods for mitigating surface water | objectives of the Plan. |
| | | | run-off. Wherever possible, developers should include an | |
| | | | element of betterment within their proposals to reduce further | |
| | | | the risk of flooding in the area | |
| AM | A59 | 5.8A | Add a new paragraph after paragraph 5.8: | For clarity |
| | | | In some cases these principles are also supported by Supplementary | |
| | | | Planning Documents (SPDs). Appendix 4 lists those currently being | |
| | | | prepared. | |
| | | eting Seftor | | T |
| AM | A60 | 6.8 | Delete the third sentence: | To update the plan |
| | | | The Green Belt has been reviewed during the preparation of the Local Plan, | |
| | | | and around 4.4% of its current extent is proposed to be removed from | |
| | | | Green Belt and identified for housing and employment development. | |
| MM | M11 | 6.8A | Add a new paragraph after paragraph 6.8: | To clarify the circumstances under which |
| | | | National planning policy indicates that when Green Belt boundaries are | the immediate review of the Sefton Local |
| | | | reviewed, they should be capable of enduring beyond the Plan period. | Plan will proceed and the timetable within which it will be undertaken. |
| | | | However, because of the potential need for an immediate review of the Local Plan this may not be possible. The review needs to take account of the | within which it will be undertaken. |
| | | | regional Strategic Housing and Employment Land Market Assessment | |
| | | | (SHELMA) and the conclusions of the Port Access Study. Any consequential | |
| | | | changes to the boundary of the Green Belt will be included in a future | |
| | | | review of the Local Plan (see paragraph 4.44). | |
| | | | Teview of the Local Half (See paragraph 4.44). | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| MM | M12 | Policy MN1 | Amend part 1 of policy MN1 as follows: 1. During the period 2012 – 2030 provision will be made for the development of a minimum of 11,52011,070 new homes in Sefton. The housing requirement will met at the following average annual rates: | To update the plan and to reflect Inspector's Initial Findings. |
| 1 | | | 2012-2017: 500 dwellings per annum 2017-2030: <u>694</u> 660 dwellings per annum | |
| 1 | | | Amend part 3: 3. During the period 2012 – 2030 provision will be made for a total of 81.5984.5 ha of employment land | Part 3 is amended to update the Plan, based on findings of the Employment Land and Premises Study Update (2015) and deletion of Policy MN5 |
| | | | Add a new part 5 to the policy: 5. Sefton is working jointly with the other Liverpool City Region local planning authorities and the Liverpool City Region Local Enterprise Partnership to undertake the Strategic Housing and Employment Land Market Assessment (SHELMA) to establish, objectively, the level of long-term growth in housing and employment needs appropriate in Sefton. In the event that it is demonstrated that further housing or employment provision is required in Sefton, an immediate review or partial review of the Sefton Local Plan will be brought forward to address these matters. The review will commence following the adoption of the Sefton Local Plan. It will take into account the findings of the SHELMA, and will be submitted within two years from the date of the Local Plan adoption. | To strengthen the Council's commitment to undertaking an immediate or partial review of the Local Plan to meet its objectively assessed housing and employment needs, including those relating to the growth of the Port of Liverpool should a need for additional land be identified |
| MM | M13 | 6.12 | Amend paragraph 6.12 as follows: Sefton's housing and employment requirements are based on a full objective assessment of the needs of households and businesses in the Borough. The housing requirement is based on the findings of the 'Housing Requirement for Sefton', which was published in November 2014. This study was based on the 2012-based population household projections issued by the Office for National Statistics Department for Communities and Local | To update the Plan |

| | /lod ype | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|---|--------------|------|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | | Government and other evidence. The employment requirement is derived from the 2012 Employment Land & Premises Study Refresh and the 2015 Update which and is are primarily based on an analysis of the rate at which land was developed for employment in the past and a blended approach to employment need. This was the most optimistic of a number of indicators of future need. | |
| N | /IM | M14 | 6.13 | Amend the first two sentences: The housing requirement of 11,52011,070 dwellings would equate to an average of 640615 dwellings a year between 2012 and 2030. However, this requirement is staged and will be met at a rate of 500 dwellings a year between 2012 and 2017, and 694660 dwellings between 2017 and 2030. | To update the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed | Change | | | | Reason |
|------|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | · | | | | | |
| MM | M15 | Plan Ref. 6.14A - 6.14C | 6.14A The dema arisin (Liver asses out ar these other commany e includinclude of the treas plann single | | any provision t-related use of Liverpool uirements, wo ouncil is completed with the Lorentz of t | s across Me in Sefton hich can only mitted to ca ocal Plan to thin two year working w es through le and distril employment ne Port of Liv between H vers over sta bility for cre nded to help | y be arrying address ars of ith the oution of needs, verpool, | Paragraphs 6.14A and 6.14B have been added to provide the explanation to the introduction of the new part 5 of the policy and in response to Inspector's recommendations set out in his initial findings. Paragraph 6.14C has been added to provide updated information about the devolution deal for the Liverpool City Region that was announced on 17 th November 2015. |
| MM | single statutory city-region framework. This is intended to help accelerate economic growth and new housing development throughouthe city region. The planning powers will include the development of a single statutory city-region framework supporting the delivery of strategic employment and housing sites throughout the city-region. The agreement stresses that this approach must not delay the development of local plans. M16 Policy MN2 Amend part 1 of policy MN2 as follows: Site Location Area Indicati ve Capacit | | | | | | ent of a of egion. | Several sites altered to take into account drafting errors, developer discussions and emerging constraints including flood risk, ecology, retention of playing pitches, highways issues and the |
| | | | MN2.1 | Bartons Close, Southport | 1.0 | 36 | | Inspector's Initial Findings |
| | | | MN2.2 | Land at Bankfield Lane, | 9.0 | 220 300 | | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed | Change | | | Reason | |
|------|------|-----------|-------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | Southport | | | | |
| | | | MN2.3 | Former Phillips Factory, | 6.0 | 158 | | |
| | | | | Balmoral Drive, Southport | | | | |
| | | | MN2.4 | Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown | 19.1 18.3 | 450 | | |
| | | | MN2.5 | Land at Crowland Street, Southport | 25.8 | 678 | | |
| | | | MN2.6 | Land adjacent to Dobbies Garden Centre, Benthams Way, Southport | 8.7 6.8 | 215 174 | | |
| | | | MN2.7 | Land at Lynton Road, Southport | 1.5 | 25 | | |
| | | | MN2.8 | Former Ainsdale Hope School, Ainsdale | 9.2 | 243 120 | | |
| | | | MN2.9 | Former St John Stone School, Meadow Lane, Ainsdale | 1.3 1.4 | 40 | | |
| | | | MN2.10 | Land at Sandbrook Road, Ainsdale | 2.0 2.6 | 49 83 | | |
| | | | MN2.11 | Land south of Moor Lane, Ainsdale | 2.6 3.2 | 69 | | |
| | | | MN2.12 | Land north of Brackenway, Formby | 13.7 | 286 | | |
| | | | MN2.13 | Land at West Lane, Formby | 1.9 | 40 | | |
| | | | MN2.14 | Former Holy Trinity School, Lonsdale Road, Formby ¹ | 0.9 1.0 | 50 | | |
| | | | MN2.14 A | Land at Shorrocks Hill, Lifeboat Road, Formby | 3.3 | 60 | | |
| , | | | MN2.15 | Formby Professional Development Centre, Park Road, Formby | 1.6 | 15 | | |
| | | | MN2.16 | Land at Liverpool Road, Formby | 14.2 | 319 | | |

¹ Site allocated specifically for older persons housing / accommodation (reserved for residents aged 55 and over).

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed | Change | | | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|----------|---|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | MN2.17 | Land at Altcar Lane, Formby | 0.7 | 29 | |
| | | | MN2.18 | Power House phase 2, Hoggs Hill Lane, Formby | 0.6 <u>0.4</u> | 20 12 | |
| | | | MN2.19 | Land at Andrew's Close, Formby | 3.3 | 87 | |
| | | | MN2.20 | Land at Elmcroft Lane, Hightown | 6.5 | 120 | |
| | | | MN2.21 | Land at Sandy Lane, Hightown | 0.7 | 10 | |
| | | | MN2.22 | Land at Hall Road West, Crosby | 1.1 | 14 | |
| | | | MN2.23 | Land at Southport Old Road, Thornton | 3.9 | 85 | |
| | | | MN2.24 | Land at Holgate, Thornton | 8.4 | 221 | |
| | | | MN2.25 | Land at Lydiate Lane, Thornton | 10.3 10.2 | 265 | |
| | | | MN2.26 | Land south of Runnell's Lane, Thornton | 5.3 | 137 | |
| | | | MN2.27 | Land at Turnbridge Road, Maghull | 1.6 | 40 | |
| | | | MN2.28 | Land north of Kenyons Lane, Lydiate | 9.7 10.1 | 295 | |
| | | | MN2.29 | Former Prison Site, Park Lane, Maghull | 13.6 | 370 | |
| | | | MN2.30 | Land east of Waddicar Lane, Melling | 6.0 | 178 | |
| | | | MN2.31 | Wadacre Farm, Chapel Lane, Melling | 5.5 | 135 | |
| | | | MN2.32 | Land south of Spencers Lane, Melling | 0.6 | 18 | |
| | | | MN2.33 | Land at Wango Lane, Aintree | 1.8 | 25 | |
| | | | MN2.34 | Aintree Curve Site, Ridgewood Way, Netherton | 3.1 | 100 109 | As recommended in the Inspector's |
| | | | MN2.35 | Former Z Block Sites, Buckley | 3.5 | 100 | Initial Findings |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed | Change | | | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Hill Lane, Netherton | | | |
| | | | MN2.36 | Former St Raymond's School playing field, Harrops Croft, Netherton | 1.9 | 65 53 | |
| | | | MN2.37 | Land at Pendle Drive, Netherton | 1.4 | 52 29 | |
| | | | MN2.38 | Land at the former Bootle High School, Browns Lane, Netherton | 1.7 | 63 | |
| | | | MN2.39 | Former Daleacre School, Daleacre Drive, Netherton | 1.0 | 37 | |
| | | | MN2.40 | Former Rawson Road Primary School, Rawson Road, Bootle | 1.0 | 20 | |
| | | | MN2.41 | Former St Wilfrid's School, Orrell Road, Bootle | 6.6 | 160 | |
| | | | MN2.42 | Klondyke Phases 2 and 3, Bootle | 4.2 <u>3.6</u> | 140 142 | |
| | | | MN2.43 | Peoples site, Linacre Lane, Bootle | 2.9 | 110 | |
| | | | MN2.44 | Former St Joan of Arc School, Rimrose Road, Bootle | 1.3 | 48 <u>51</u> | |
| | | | MN2.45 | Former St Mary's Primary School playing fields, Waverley Street, Bootle | 1.6 | 72 | |
| | | | MN2.46 | Land East of Maghull | 86.0 <u>85.8</u> | 1400 | |
| | | | | TOTALS: | 314.3 315.2 | 7309 72 90 | |
| MM | M17 | Policy MN2 | Land at M Brackenw MN2.46) a and MN3 r | t 2 of the policy as follows: oss Lane, Churchtown (site M ay, Formby (site MN2.12) and are subject to site specific pol respectively). In addition, a n ct to site-specific requirement | d Land East icies (Polic umber of s | of Maghull ies <u>MN6A,</u> N ites listed a | MN6 development requirements for this site. |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| MM | M18 | Policy MN2 | Amend part 4 and add new part 4A to the policy as follows: Sites MN2.2, MN2.4, MN2.6, and MN2.19 are adjacent to areas of 'Proposed Open Space'. These areas will be developed for new open space alongside the housing allocation. | To update Plan |
| MM | M19 | Policy MN2 | Add a new part 4A to the policy: 4A. Site MN2.8 Former Ainsdale Hope School, Ainsdale will include an Ecological Improvement Area to be developed as a nature reserve alongside the housing allocation. | As recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| MM | M20 | Policy MN2 | Delete reference to site MN2.49 in part 5 of the policy: MN2.49: Land to the South of Formby Industrial Estate - 7 ha (net) | As recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| MM | M21 | Policy MN2 | Amend part 7 of the policy as follows: Land North of the Formby Industrial Estate (site MN2.48) and Land South of the Formby Industrial Estate (site MN2.49) are is subject to a site specific policyies (Policyies MN4 and MN5). | As recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| AM | A61 | Policy MN2 | Amend the policy links as follows: MN1 Housing and Employment Requirement MN3 Strategic Mixed Use Allocation: Land east of Maghull MN4 Land north of Formby Industrial Estate MN5 Land south of Formby Industrial Estate MN6 Land at Brackenway, Formby HC1 Affordable Housing HC3 Residential development and development in residential areas ED3 Existing Employment AreaPrimarily Industrial Areas NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species NH5 Protection of open space and Countryside Recreation Areas | For clarity, to reflect the discussion in hearings sessions regarding playing pitches and to reflect the change in the title of Policy ED3. |
| MM | M22 | 6.16 | Add an additional sentence to the end of paragraph 6.16: Three sites (MN2.4, MN2.5, and MN2.46) are forecast to deliver a total of 488 dwellings beyond 2030. | To clarify that some of the allocated site's indicative capacities will be delivered after the end of the plan period. |
| AM | A62 | 6.17 | Delete paragraph: Sufficient land has been identified to exceed the total housing requirement | To update the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | of 11,070 dwellings by around 6.5% (720 dwellings) to allow for contingencies. This additional supply is intended to ensure that delays or unforeseen problems on allocated sites identified in this policy will not undermine housing delivery over the Plan period. This contingency allowance is unrelated to the requirement at paragraph 47 of the Framework to identify a buffer of 5% or 20% to the '5 year supply'. The contingency allowance will also allow choice and provide flexibility to adapt to rapid change, as required by paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework. | |
| MM | M23 | 6.25 | Amend the paragraph as follows: Two-Four areas of 'Proposed Open Space' are identified on the Policy Map. These areas are adjacent to, and in the same ownership as, proposed housing allocations. New open space will be created at these sites alongside the development of the adjacent housing allocation. Both All these areas are affected by constraints that severely restrict or preclude residential development. The Proposed Open Space at Land adjacent to Dobbies Garden Centre, Benthams Way, Southport (MN2.6) is at greatest risk of surface water flooding. The Proposed Open Space at Andrews Close, Formby (site MN2.19) is in Flood Zone 3., and t The area identified at Bankfield Lane, Southport (MN2.2) is within the setting of a listed building and the area at Moss Lane, Churchtown (MN2.4) has been identified to maintain the open character of the north east corner as it is within the setting of the North Meols conservation area. However, these areas are suitable for the creation of open space, which could include new public open space, habitat creation, sustainable drainage, or a mixture of these. Their removal from Green Belt would also create a more robust Green Belt boundary. | To update the Plan |
| MM | M24 | 6.26 | Amend the first sentence: The <u>five-four</u> Strategic Employment Locations identified in Section 5 of this policy are the largest employment sites in Sefton. | To update the Plan |
| MM | M25 | 6.30 | Amend paragraph: The proposed Strategic Employment Locations at Land East of Maghull, and North and South of the Formby Industrial Estate, are subject to separate site specific policies (see policies MN3 – MN45) and are expected to be | As recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| Турс | | riairiker. | mostly developed after 2020. | |
| MM | M26 | 6.33 | Amend paragraph 6.33 as follows: A small number of the allocated sites identified in Policy MN2 require site-specific policy guidance. These sites are land to the east of Maghull (see policy MN3), land to the north and south of Formby Industrial Estate (policies MN4 and MN5) and land, land at Brackenway, Formby (policy MN6) and land at Moss Lane, Churchtown (policy MN6A). The following policies set out the site specific issues that the development of these sites needs to address. | For clarity and as a consequence of the recommendations contained in the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| MM | M27 | 6.34 | Amend the final sentence: Access to the sites both north and south of the Land North of Formby Industrial Estate will be has been improved by the new Broom's Cross Road (A5758). | To update Plan |
| MM | M28 | 6.36 | Amend the first sentence: This site is capable of providing a minimum of 1400 homes, a business park, a local centre, Neighbourhood Park a main park, and other local facilities during the plan period. | For clarity |
| MM | M29 | Policy MN3 | Amend policy: MN3 STRATEGIC MIXED USE ALLOCATION - LAND EAST OF MAGHULL 1. Land East of Maghull (shown on the Policy Map) is identified as a Strategic Mixed Use Allocation. The development of this site will create a comprehensive high quality, well-designed phased sustainable urban extension containing integrated, distinctive, safe and secure residential neighbourhoods, a Business Park and improvements to local infrastructure. 1A. Proposals for development within Land East of Maghull will only be granted planning permission where they are consistent with a single detailed master plan for the whole site which is approved by the Council. The master plan should accord with this policy and any associated Supplementary Planning Document and may be submitted prior to or with the first application. Planning | For clarity, to set out phasing and infrastructure requirements and to take account of the discussion during the examination hearings |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--------|
| | | | permissions will be linked to any necessary legal agreements for | |
| | | | the improvement, provision, management and maintenance of | |
| | | | infrastructure, services and facilities, open spaces and other | |
| | | | matters necessary to make the development acceptable and which | |
| | | | facilitate comprehensive delivery of all phases of development | |
| | | | within the site in accordance with the master plan. | |
| | | | 1B. Proposals for development within this site must demonstrate a | |
| | | | comprehensive approach to infrastructure provision (including | |
| | | | provision of an appropriate proportion of financial and/or 'in kind' | |
| | | | contributions towards strategic and/or local infrastructure required | |
| | | | to enable the comprehensive development of the site). All | |
| | | | residential applications within the site must contribute | |
| | | | proportionally (on a per dwelling basis) to the following | |
| | | | improvements: | |
| | | | a) the expansion of Summerhill Primary School to become a | |
| | | | two form entry school | |
| | | | b) the provision of a main park within the site | |
| | | | c) new slip roads at junction 1 of the M58 motorway | |
| | | | d) subsidy of a bus service through the site for a period of 5 | |
| | | | <u>years</u> | |
| | | | 4.2. No applications for residential or employment development | |
| | | | will be permitted until a Supplementary Planning Document relating | |
| l | | | to this site has been adopted by the Council. | |
| | | | 2.3. The development of the site must provide: | |
| | | | a) A minimum of 1400 dwellings, inc lud orporating a range of | |
| | | | housing types and tenures to meet identified housing | |
| | | | needs. This will include the provision of affordable / | |
| | | | special needs housing (policy HC1), and provision at least | |
| | | | 2 dedicated older persons housing schemes (reserved for | |
| | | | residents of 55 and over) each comprising at least 25 | |
| | | | dwellings for older persons housing (policy HC2) | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--------|
| | | | b) A 20 hectare (net) serviced Business Park for office and light industrial (class B1), general industrial, (B2), and storage and distribution (B8) uses. The Business Park will to be located adjacent to the site's northern and eastern boundary as set out in the broad location identified in figure 6.1. | |
| | | | c) Small-scale retail and commercial development to ensure the convenience shopping and other needs of new residents are met. This should be no more than 2,000 sq m (gross) in total.—Local shopping provision of an appropriate scale to serve the needs of the new community; | |
| | | | d) A new 'main park' through the site located either side of Whinney Brook. This must incorporate an equipped play area, new habitat creation, and provision for outdoor sports Appropriate new public open space, incorporating a neighbourhood park, equipped play area, new habitat creation, and provision for outdoor sports | |
| | | | e) A landscaping network including tree planting, buffer zones between employment and housing areas and to the M58 motorway and railway, the strategic paths and cycle routes network | |
| | | | f) A layout that provides: facilitates a bus route across the site from School Lane / Maghull Lane in the north to Poverty Lane in the south; a distributor road(s) that encourages residential traffic from the southern part of the site to access / egress via School Lane / Maghull Lane. The distributor road(s) will run from School Lane / | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|--------|
| Турс | | rian ker. | Maghull Lane through the site and will cross Whinney Brook; and appropriate separation of commercial and residential traffic | |
| | | | f)—The layout should also ensure an appropriate separation of commercial and residential traffic | |
| | | | g) Walking and cycling routes within and beyond the site linking new and existing residential areas and business park to the railway stations, bus services, new local centre local shops, open space, and local schools. This will include improving existing rights of way within the site, including upgrading the existing Maghull no. 11 footpath to a pedestrian / cycle way | |
| | | | h) Effective management of flood risk within the site, including use of sustainable drainage systems. The development of the site will result in the reduction of flood risk onsite and to the adjacent railway line. No residential development will be located in Flood Zones 2 or 3 following any watercourse realignment, and Buildings should be sited away from areas at high risk of flooding, and | |
| | | | i) The long-term management and maintenance of public open space, landscaping, and sustainable urban drainage systems, to be agreed by the Council. | |
| | | | 3. The development of this site must be subject to a single outline planning application covering the whole of the site. The outline application must: | |
| | | | Specify how the infrastructure contributions listed at part 5 of this policy will be phased and implemented | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Indicate the location of the business park | |
| | | | Indicate the location of an internal bus route linking | |
| | | | School Lane and Poverty Lane | |
| | | | Indicate the location of access points to the business park | |
| | | | and new residential areas from Poverty Lane and School | |
| | | | Lane | |
| | | | Indicate the location of the proposed public open space, | |
| | | | and | |
| I | | | Provide a strategic landscaping framework. | |
| | | | 4. Development of the site will be phased to The following | |
| | | | phasing requirements will be applied to ensure that the required | |
| | | | infrastructure is provided alongside new development. The | |
| | | | following timetable and restrictions will apply unless an alteration is | |
| | | | agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority: | |
| | | | a) Maghull North station must be operational before the | |
| | | | practical completion of the 500 th dwelling | |
| | | | practical completion of the soo awening | |
| | | | b) The southbound on slip and northbound off slip at | |
| | | | Junction 1 of the M58 motorway must be constructed before | |
| | | | the practical completion of the 500 th dwelling | |
| | | | c) The internal bus route linking School Lane and Poverty | |
| | | | Lane must be provided before completion of the 500 th | |
| | | | dwelling. No more than 250 dwellings will be served from | |
| | | | Poverty Lane and no more than 250 dwellings will be served | |
| | | | from School Lane / Maghull Lane, prior to the completion of | |
| | | | the internal bus route / distributor road | |
| | | | d) Serviced plots must be made available on 25% of the area | |
| | | | of the business park The access into the business park from | |
| | | | School Lane / Maghull Lane must be constructed to an | |
| | | | appropriate standard, servicing into the business park | |
| | | | provided, and the landscaping framework to the business | |
| | | | provided, and the landscaping mannework to the pusiness | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| I | | | <u>park implemented</u> before <u>the practical</u> completion of the 500 th dwelling | |
| | | | e) The proposed Business Park must not be occupied until the new slip roads are completed at Junction 1 of the M58 | |
| | | | f) The local shopping provision must be constructed and made available for occupancy before the practical completion of the 750 th dwelling, and | |
| | | | g) The neighbourhood main park and outdoor sports provision will be provided in a phased manner, so that it becomes available when the adjacent housing is completed | |
| | | | 5.—The development of this site will secure the following benefits, including through the use of planning conditions, 'Section 106' or other legal agreements and a phasing/ implementation plan: | |
| | | | a) A financial contribution to secure the provision of the new Maghull North station and associated park and ride facility | |
| | | | b)—A financial contribution to secure the delivery of the new slip roads required at Junction 1 of the M58 motorway | |
| | | | c) A financial contribution to subsidise a bus service through the site for at least 3 years | |
| | | | d) Provision of other appropriate highways and public transport improvements | |
| | | | e)—The provision of affordable / special needs housing and older persons housing (policies HC1 and HC2), and | |
| | | | f)a) Financial contributions to improve health care and | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | education facilities, including expansion of Summerhill | |
| | | | Primary School, and to provide appropriate community | |
| | | | facilities within the local centre. | |
| MM | M30 | 6.38 | Amend the first two sentences as follows: | For clarity |
| i | | | Land east of Maghull will provide a sustainable urban extension with major | |
| | | | local benefits. These will include a contribution to a new Maghull North | |
| | | | railway stationslip roads at junction 1 of the M58, a new neighbourhood | |
| | | | main park (as set out in the Open Space and Recreation Study and other | |
| | | | <u>Council documents</u>), sports provision, <u>a new-local centreshopping provision</u> , | |
| 200 | 1104 | | and a 20 ha (net) business park. | |
| MM | M31 | 6.41 | Add an additional sentence and a new Figure 6.1: | To provide visual aid to indicate the |
| | | | The business park should be located adjacent to the site's north eastern | broad location of the business park |
| | | | boundary as set out Figure 6.1: | |
| | | | Figure 6.1 | |
| | | | rigure 1. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Moss Side Co. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Bradley's Farm | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Quer from Count from C | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Man A | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Pierris School 12m School 12m September 1 | |
| | | | Surroughill 1972 | |
| | | | The state of the s | |
| | | | Moss Nook of Consigns | |
| | | | LEGEND | |
| | | | Site boundary Site boundary Business park broad location | |
| | | | © Crown Copyright and database rights 2016 Ordnance Survey 100018192 | |
| | | | | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|------|---------------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| AM | A63 | 6.42 | Amend paragraph 6.42 as follows: It is intended that residential areas will be developed as a series of planned neighbourhoods in a phased manner, so as to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is provided alongside the development at the right time. The intention is to create well-designed, accessible, safe and secure neighbourhoods incorporating high quality design, standards. Phasing will assist in the integration of the development into the adjacent settlement. | For clarity |
| AM | A64 | 6.43 | Delete the final sentence from paragraph 6.43: This may include provision of a health centre, doctor's surgery, and/or dentists. | For clarity |
| AM | A65 | 6.46 | Amend the final sentence: This will include routes for pedestrians and cyclists linking the residential neighbourhoods together, to the park and local centreshops, and to the train stations located beyond the site boundary. | For clarity |
| AM | A66 | 6.49A | Add a new paragraph 6.49A after paragraph 6.49: The Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the Local Plan requires a site specific HRA for this site, in order to provide appropriate protection to the integrity of the Special Protection Areas/Ramsar sites bird populations. Appropriate species surveys will be required to determine the presence/likely absence of target species where identified. Sufficient information must be provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. | To confirm that a site specific HRA is required for the development of this site. |
| MM | M32 | Policy MN4 | Amend part 1e of the policy MN4 as follows: Flood risk will be managed effectively and appropriately within the site, including through the use of flood storage areas and sustainable drainage systems; and | To reflect the discussion during hearings sessions in relation to Land north of Formby Industrial Estate |
| MM | M33 | Policy MN5 | Delete policy MN5 and the associated text: Land south of Formby Industrial Estate 6.53 Land to the south of the Formby Industrial Estate has been identified as a Strategic Employment Location under Policy MN2. The allocation of this site is intended to complement the development of 'land north of Formby Industrial Estate' (Policy MN4) as an area to meet the longer term needs for new employment land in north Sefton. It is | As recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | adjacent to Formby, and benefits from excellent links to the strategic road network. | |
| | | | MN5 LAND SOUTH OF FORMBY INDUSTRIAL ESTATE | |
| | | | 1. Land south of Formby Industrial Estate is allocated as a 'Strategic Employment Location' (as shown on the Policy Map). Development of this site must: | |
| | | | a)-Provide a new sports ground and facility capable of accommodating a re-formed Formby Football Club. This should be constructed to the specification of the Football Association's Category E Ground Grading | |
| | | | b) Provide a minimum of 5 hectares of sports and recreation facilities located on the eastern half of the site. This must include replacement sports pitches and outdoor recreational facilities available for community use | |
| | | | Provide a minimum of 7 hectares net (10 hectares gross) of employment land, for the uses specified in Policy MN2. This should be mainly located on the western half of the site. Subject to a full financial appraisal, the development of a minimum amount of other development on this part of the site may be acceptable where they are necessary to cross subsidise the delivery of office and light industrial (B1), general industrial (B2) storage and distribution (B8) uses and sporting facilities | |
| | | | e)—Provide new accesses onto the Formby Bypass and Altear Road | |
| | | | d) Provide improved connections to the wider highway | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | e) Ensure that the development does not increase flood risk elsewhere, and that flood risk is managed effectively and appropriately within the site including use of sustainable drainage systems f) Provide a landscaping framework, appropriate tree planting, and a buffer alongside Downholland Brook, and g) Provide biodiversity enhancements including maintaining habitat connectivity along existing water courses. h) 2. These requirements will be achieved through the use of planning conditions, Section 106 and other legal agreements. 3. The phasing of the developments supported under this allocation must be agreed in writing with the Council through a phasing plan/strategy. Any uses necessary to cross subsidise the delivery of a serviced business park for B1, B2 and B8 uses must be phased so that proposed business park and sport and recreation uses are delivered in tandem with uses that are cross-subsidising them. Key policy links MN1 Housing and Employment Requirements MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use Allocations Explanation | |
| | | | must be phased so that proposed business park and sport and recreation uses are delivered in tandem with uses that are cross-subsidising them. Key policy links MN1 Housing and Employment Requirements MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use Allocations | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | 6.54 This site is identified as a 'Strategic Employment Location' under Policy MN2. Its development will provide a new stadium capable of accommodating a re-formed Formby Football Club, replacement sports pitches, new recreational facilities, and a minimum of 7 hectares (net) of employment land. | |
| | | | 6.55 A significant part of the site is in Flood Zone 3. However, the allocation of this site is justified as it offers the only opportunity to provide a new stadium for a re-formed Formby Football Club. In addition, the development of the site will provide new high quality sports pitches and recreational facilities for community use. When taken together with site to the north of the Formby Industrial Estate (Policy MN4), there are also no alternative sites to fully meet North Sefton's employment needs over the Plan period. | |
| | | | 6.56 The new sports ground should be constructed to the specification of the Football Association's Category E Ground Grading. Full details of this standard are available to download from the Football Associations website. In summary, a Category E ground must provide: | |
| | | | A minimum capacity for 1,000 spectators Standing or seating for spectators on 3 sides of the ground A clubhouse Adequate car parking and floodlighting | |
| | | | 6.57 The development of this site must provide a minimum of 7 hectares (net) of employment land. The net area calculation includes new the employment units, internal roads and internal landscaping. It excludes the landscaped setting to the business park, any main spine road and access points (e.g. a roundabout facilitating access into the site). The 'gross' area (including these latter elements) should be a minimum of 10 ha. | |
| | | | 6.58 The development of this site should also create an attractive urban edge between the site and the neighbouring Green Belt. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----|------|----------------------------|--|---|
| MM | M34 | Plan Ref. Policy MN6 | Amend the first sentence of part 1b of the policy as follows: Retain and manage 7.9 ha of grassland and wetland habitats outside of the residential allocation as a buffer zone to the adjacent nature reserve, including additional species enhancement measures. | As recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| | | | Amend part 1c of the policy as follows: Include a signal controlled junction onto the Formby Bypass and a through route to a secondary means of access via Paradise Lane | For clarity and to address the discussion during the hearings sessions |
| AM | A67 | 6.62A | Add a new paragraph 6.62A after the paragraph 6.62: The Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the Local Plan requires a site specific HRA for this site, in order to provide appropriate protection to the integrity of the Special Area of Conservation/Special Protection Areas/Ramsar sites bird populations. Appropriate species surveys will be required to determine the presence/ likely absence of target species where identified. Information must be provided about the impact of recreational pressure on the integrity of the internationally important nature sites. Sufficient information must be provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. | As recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| AM | A68 | 6.63 | Replace paragraph 6.63 with the following: The site is currently identified as a Local Wildlife Site. However, the provision of 7.9 hectares of managed grassland and wetland habitats will provide a major ecological enhancement. This will constitute a significant net ecological benefit. The site is currently designated as a Local Wildlife Site (LWS). However, over 50% of the LWS will be lost as a result of this allocation. The management of the remaining 7.9 hectares within the LWS as managed grassland and wetland habitats will provide a major ecological enhancement to the existing LWS site. This will constitute a significant net ecological benefit, despite the smaller size of the remaining LWS. As well as securing ecological benefits, it is anticipated that constructive management of this LWS will also provide the means of reducing recreational pressure on the nearby internationally important nature sites. | To provide clarity by explaining why the allocation of the site is supported by the Council, and as recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| MM | M35 | 6.64 | Amend paragraph 6.64 as follows: A new signal controlled junction must also be provided onto the Formby | To refer to secondary access point to site and discussion during hearings sessions |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| Турс | | rian ker. | Bypass to facilitate provide access into the site. The site layout should ensure provide that a secondary access via Paradise Lane. Any route created through the site into Formby should be designed to direct through route to other parts of Formby is not created, to discourage 'rat running' through traffic. | |
| MM | M36 | Policy MN6A | Add a new policy and explanatory text: Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown 6.64A Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown has been identified as a housing allocation under Policy MN2. The policy below sets out the site specific requirements that will apply to this site. | For clarity. To indicate how the Council intends to address issues relating to this site which have emerged since the publication of the Local Plan in January 2015, and as recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| | | | MN6A LAND AT MOSS LANE, CHURCHTOWN 1. Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown, is allocated for housing (as shown on the Policy Map). Development of this site must: a) Provide for the widening of Moss Lane between the Roe Lane/Mill Lane roundabout and the main vehicular access point into the site, to a minimum width of 6 metres with 2m wide footway on the southern side of Moss Lane. This can be achieved within the existing highway and site boundary. b) Provide a financial contribution to subsidise the extension of a bus service into the site for at least 5 years. c) Provide a loop road arrangement or suitable turning facility within the northern half of the site for the use of bus services. d) Provide a layout that provides for mitigation from the | |

| Type Plan Ref. | |
|--|--|
| e) Retain the existing woodland that abuts Moss Lane to the north, and provide for its long term management. The development must also make provision for footpaths through and public access to the woodland area. f) Preserve the setting of the adjacent North Meols Conservation Area, and secure a transition to open countryside, by: g) Providing a 15 metre deep screen of trees along the Moss Lane frontage, between no. 83 Moss Lane and the western edge of Pool House Farm, whilst allowing for a safe vehicular point of access into the development. Existing mature trees around Pool House Farm should be retained and supplemented with additional planting. g) Maintaining the open character of the north east corner of the site, identified as Proposed Open Space on the Policy Map. a) Incorporate any necessary flood risk mitigation; and b) Provide habitat creation and management, appropriate tree planting, and a landscaped buffer alongside the Three Pools Waterway. 2. These requirements will be achieved through the use of planning conditions, Section 106 and other legal agreements. | |

| ey policy links MN1 Housing and Employment Requirements MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use Allocations xplanation | |
|---|--|
| MN1 Housing and Employment Requirements MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use Allocations | |
| MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use Allocations | |
| | |
| <u>xplanation</u> | |
| <u>xplanation</u> | |
| | |
| .64B Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown is a 18.3 ha urban extension with | |
| ne capacity to accommodate around 450 dwellings. The site will make | |
| significant contribution to housing provision in Southport, including | |
| owards meeting Southport's high affordable housing need. | |
| / AC A more than a film and a management and a management and a management at the same at | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| the site would be feasible, and that a contribution would be required | |
| from the developer for a period of 5 years to establish this extended | |
| service. The 5 year subsidy period should commence immediately | |
| following the construction of the 150 th dwelling. | |
| 64D. Southport Old Links Golf Course is adjacent to the site, and a | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| wayward golf balls. | |
| 64E. An existing area of woodland (approximately 1.2 ha in size) is | |
| | |
| <u> </u> | |
| | |
| ior rootpatrio trirough, and pablic access into, the woodidha area. | |
| | 64B Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown is a 18.3 ha urban extension with the capacity to accommodate around 450 dwellings. The site will make significant contribution to housing provision in Southport, including twards meeting Southport's high affordable housing need. 64C A number of improvements are necessary to ensure that the site is accessible to pedestrians, vehicles, and public transport. Whilst the majority of Moss Lane between the Roe Lane/Mill Lane roundabout and the likely main vehicular access point into the site is of adequate width, certain sections will require widening and the introduction of footways. In addition, the existing no. 43 bus service currently terminates at the Roe Lane/Mill Lane roundabout. MerseyTravel have confirmed to the Council that the extension of the no. 43 service into the site would be feasible, and that a contribution would be required from the developer for a period of 5 years to establish this extended service. The 5 year subsidy period should commence immediately following the construction of the 150 th dwelling. 64D Southport Old Links Golf Course is adjacent to the site, and a number of holes are close to the proposed development area. The layout of any development in this location should incorporate mitigation to ensure that new properties are reasonably screened from |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | | | Reason |
|------|------|---------------|---|---|---|---|
| Type | Ker. | Plan Ref. | the site, and incluparkland. Historicand whilst its wes Southport and treagricultural setting connections between of this site must redevelopment along east of Pool House the open countrys. | Conservation Area is located to des the Grade II* listed Meol's ally, the Hall was surrounded between boundaries have now been planted to the eastern pering of the estate remains intact. The enthe estate and the country etain and enhance the existing godes Lane. In addition, the are Farm must be retained as opide feel of this part of the site. | Hall and its historic by open countryside, n subsumed by urban neter, part of the In order to preserve side, the development screen of trees and area of the site to the en space to preserve | Reason |
| | | | between the exist Farm. Whilst part trees along part o point of access int splays. | ng plantation and the western f a) of the policy requires a 15 the Moss Lane frontage, this o the development, including a qualitions Assessment (HRA) of | edge of Pool House metre deep screen of should allow for a safe necessary visibility | |
| | | | appropriate protection Areas/Ramsar site be required to detail species where ide | cific HRA for this site, in order ction to the integrity of the Special strain of the Special strain of the Special strain of the Special strain of the presence of likely about the Sufficient information relation to enable the Council to sment. | ecial Protection te species surveys will sence of target nust be provided with | |
| ММ | M37 | Policy MN8 | | part 1 of the policy: abshear Lane, Lydiate nt to Ashworth Hospital, | Area [ha.] 3333.9 18.515.1 | To correct the site areas. The indicative site capacities of these sites are not affected as a result |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| MM | M38 | 6.78 | Replace paragraph 6.78 with the following: | The paragraph has been amended to |
| | | | The two areas of Safeguarded Land have a combined potential capacity for | take account for site delivery |
| | | | around 1,000 dwellings. In addition, it is assumed that 278 dwellings at site | |
| | | | MN2.5 Crowland Street, Southport, and 213 dwellings at Town Lane, | |
| | | | Southport (permission ref S/2012/0400) will be delivered after 2030 due to | |
| | | | likely market take up rates. This land, with a total estimated capacity of | |
| | | | some 1500 dwellings, will ensure that the proposed Green Belt boundary | |
| | | | will endure in the longer term, beyond the current Local Plan period, though | |
| | | | no specific time horizon is identified as to when that will be. The two areas | |
| | | | of Safeguarded Land have a combined potential capacity for around 1,000 | |
| | | | dwellings. In addition, it is assumed that 318 dwellings at site MN2.5 | |
| | | | Crowland Street, Southport, 90 dwellings at site MN2.2 Land at Moss Lane, | |
| | | | Southport, 80 dwellings at site MN2.46 Land East of Maghull, and 263 | |
| | | | dwellings at Town Lane, Southport (permission ref S/2012/0400) will be | |
| | | | delivered after 2030 due to likely market take up rates. This land, with a | |
| | | | total estimated capacity of some 1,750 dwellings, will ensure that the | |
| | | | proposed Green Belt boundary will endure in the longer term, beyond the | |
| | | | current Local Plan period, though no specific time horizon is identified as to | |
| | | | when that will be. | |
| AM | A69 | 6.79 | Add a new paragraph 6.79 after paragraph 6.78 as follows: | To reflect the Habitats Regulations |
| | | | The Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the Local Plan requires a site | Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| | | | specific HRA for site 8.1 Land at Lambshear Lane, Lydiate, in order to | |
| | | | provide appropriate protection to the integrity of the Special Protection | |
| | | | Areas/Ramsar sites bird populations. Appropriate species surveys will be | |
| | | | required to determine the presence/ likely absence of target species where | |
| | | | identified. Sufficient information must be provided with the planning | |
| | | | application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations | |
| | | | Assessment. | |
| | | | elopment and regeneration | |
| AM | A70 | 7.2 | Replace 'Greater Merseyside' with 'Liverpool City Region' after 'any | For clarity |
| | 1 | ļ | authority' | |
| AM | A71 | 7.3 | Replace 'with' with 'in' (last line). | To correct a typographical error |
| AM | A72 | 7.8 | Amend final sentence of paragraph as follows: | To update Plan |
| | | | The first phase and the first berth is due to open at the end of 2015 in a | |

| Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|--------------------|--|---|
| | | phased manner throughout 2016 and will enable the Port to accommodate super-tankers and container ships more than three times the size of those which can currently use the Port (13,500 TEU as opposed to 3,500 TEU). | |
| A73 | 7.9 | Delete 'has' from the penultimate sentence and add an additional sentence to the end of the paragraph: The need for improved access to the Port of Liverpool was a key element of the Liverpool City Region Growth Deal. This led to the inclusion of a scheme to improve highway access to the Port of Liverpool in the Road Investment Strategy published by the Department for Transport in December 2014. | To update Plan |
| A74 | 7.11 | Amend the final sentence as follows: Given the economic importance of this issue to the economy of the Borough, the Council is committed to an early selective review of this part of the plan if required to react respond to the consequences of such a decision. | For clarity |
| M39 | Policy ED1 | Replace part 1f of policy ED1 with the following: It can be demonstrated that there is no significant risk of any impact upon the important ecological interests of Seaforth Nature Reserve. For development which is outside the Seaforth Nature Reserve, but within the remainder of the Port and Maritime Zone including any expansion of the operational port area to the A565, it can be demonstrated that there are no likely significant effects on the Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore and Liverpool Bay Special Protection Areas and other internationally important nature sites. Amend part 2c as follows: Demonstrate that there are no likely significant effects on the Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore and Liverpool Bay Special Protection Areas or and other internationally important nature sites. Amend part 3 as follows: Improvements to access will be required to support the expansion | To respond to the Lancashire Wildlife Trust's representation [P.329] and the Inspector's comments at the examination hearings, by removing a potential conflict between the two parts of the policy. |
| | A73 | A73 7.9 A74 7.11 M39 Policy | Plan Ref. phased manner throughout 2016 and will enable the Port to accommodate super-tankers and container ships more than three times the size of those which can currently use the Port (13,500 TEU as opposed to 3,500 TEU). 7.9 Delete 'has' from the penultimate sentence and add an additional sentence to the end of the paragraph: The need for improved access to the Port of Liverpool was a key element of the Liverpool City Region Growth Deal. This led to the inclusion of a scheme to improve highway access to the Port of Liverpool in the Road Investment Strategy published by the Department for Transport in December 2014. Amend the final sentence as follows: Given the economic importance of this issue to the economy of the Borough, the Council is committed to an early selective review of this part of the plan if required to react respond to the consequences of such a decision. Policy ED1 Replace part 1f of policy ED1 with the following: It can be demonstrated that there is no significant risk of any impact upon the important ecological interests of Seaforth Nature Reserve. For development which is outside the Seaforth Nature Reserve, but within the remainder of the Port and Maritime Zone including any expansion of the operational port area to the A565, it can be demonstrated that there are no likely significant effects on the Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore and Liverpool Bay Special Protection Areas and other internationally important nature sites. Amend part 2c as follows: Demonstrate that there are no likely significant effects on the Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore and Liverpool Bay Special Protection Areas or and other internationally important nature sites. Amend part 3 as follows: |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| | | riair ker. | improvements to the surrounding highway network beyond the Port area, as well as other modes of transport, specifically rail. | |
| ı | | | Amend part 4 as follows: Planning conditions and / or legal agreements will be used to ensure appropriate compensation, mitigation, infrastructure and appropriate local economic, environment and community benefits are secured and provided both within and beyond Sefton. | |
| AM | A75 | Policy ED1 | Add 'Road Investment Strategy, 2014' to the list of policy links after the policy. | To update the Plan. |
| MM | M40 | 7.14 | Replace final three sentences: This road experiences repeated congestion especially during peak periods, and the area adjacent to the main entrance to the Port suffers from poor air quality. It is recognised that major road improvements to facilitate port access will be required in the long term. However, no specific proposal has been confirmed yet, so it can only be referred to in general terms in this Plan. Options are currently being assessed for feasibility, value for money and environmental and social impacts. All options being considered are located within Sefton. The area adjacent to the main entrance to the Port suffers from poor air quality. It is recognised that major road improvements to facilitate port access will be required in the long term. A scheme has been included in the Road Investment Strategy, and Highways England has commissioned an Options Identification and Assessment study, which will be completed in summer 2016. Highways England have confirmed, in their newsletter of October 2015, that they are considering two options for improved road access to the port; upgrade Dunnings Bridge Road; or a new road through the Rimrose Valley | To take into account new evidence from Highways England |
| MM | M41 | 7.14A — 7.14B | Add new paragraphs 7.14A and 7.14B after paragraph 7.14: 7.14A The Canada Dock Rail Connectivity Study (April 2015) was prepared on behalf of Merseytravel and the Homes and Communities Agency to identify options for reconnecting the Southern Zone of the Port of Liverpool to the railway network. The study recommended that land associated with the former Canada Dock Branch Line should not be safeguarded, and that reinstating the route would be a costly and | To update the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|-------|-----------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | operationally unsatisfactory option. The study concludes that rail | |
| | | | connectivity could be improved most affectively by extending the | |
| | | | existing rail line south from Alexandra Dock. The viability of this | |
| | | | proposal will be assessed in greater detail within the emerging Port | |
| | | | Master Plan and Long Term Freight Strategy and as part of the Duty to | |
| | | | Cooperate associated with an early review of the Local Plan | |
| | | | 7.14B Network Rail is bringing forward proposals that will improve the rail | |
| | | | freight capacity on the Bootle Branch Line into the Port of Liverpool, | |
| | | | which will accommodate increased rail freight movements associated | |
| | | | with the increase in biomass imports for energy generation and with | |
| | | | the growth in container movements that will result from the opening | |
| | | | of Liverpool2. | |
| AM | A76 | 7.15 | Amend final sentence: | To correct factual inaccuracies and |
| | | | As the latter is an internationally protected nature conservation site, | update the plan |
| | | | 'appropriate assessment' under the Habitats Regulations will be required to | |
| | | | agree what mitigation is needed before this area can be developed. | |
| AM | A77 | 7.16 | Amend the first three sentences: | For clarity and correct a factual error |
| | | | The Seaforth Nature Reserve is part of the Mersey Narrows and North Wirral | |
| | | | Foreshore a Ramsar Site and Special Protection Area and as . As such is | |
| | | | internationally important for nature conservation and is part of the Natura | |
| | | | 2000 network of international nature sites. Under the Conservation of | |
| | | | Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended Habitats Regulations | |
| | | | 2010, the Council has a duty to prevent adverse effects on the integrity of | |
| | | | sites of international nature importance. This includes sites within or | |
| | | | outside Sefton, such as Liverpool Bay Special Protection Area. As development at Seaforth will result in direct land take in relation to the | |
| | | | Mersey Narrows and North Wirral Foreshore Special Protection Area and | |
| 1 1 | | | Ramsar site, along with other effects identified in the Habitats Regulations | |
| | | | Assessment of the Local Plan, adverse impacts cannot be ruled out at the | |
| | | | Plan stage. | |
| ММ | M42 | 7.18 | Replace 'Primary Retail Areas' with 'Primary Shopping Areas' | To reflect current Government guidance |
| MM | M43 | Policy | Amend parts 1 to 5 of policy as follows: | To take account of the requirements for |
| | 11110 | ED2 | Among parts 1 to 6 of policy as follows: | · |
| | | ED2 | | out of centre provision as suggested in |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| - J. | | | ED2 DEVELOPMENT IN TOWN CENTRES, DISTRICT CENTRES, LOCAL CENTRES AND LOCAL SHOPPING PARADES AND OUTSIDE DEFINED CENTRES | 2015 Retail Strategy [EM.13] and subsequent discussion and changes proposed by WYG and the Council during the hearings sessions and ensure that |
| 1 | | | 1. Retail, leisure and other main town centre uses ² will be directed towards the Borough's existing centres in accordance with the following hierarchy: | the requirements for an impact test are set out clearly and as intended, in accordance with the thresholds detailed within the policy, and in accordance with the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| | | | Town Bootle and Southport Centres: District Crosby, Formby, Maghull and Waterloo Centres: Local Ainsdale, Birkdale, Churchtown, Netherton Centres: and Old Roan | |
| | | | Planning permission will only be granted for development which is appropriate to the role and function of each centre. | |
| | | | Sequential Test | |
| | | | 2. Where proposed outside of the defined town, district and local centres, Proposals for all retail, leisure and other town centre uses will be subject to a sequential approach to development. This will require applications for town centre uses to be located firstly in: Primary Shopping Areas (retail uses only), then †Town centres, district and local centres (in accordance with the hierarchy in part 1), then edge of centre locations, and only if suitable sites are not available should out of centre sites be considered. | |
| | | | When considering new proposals in out of centre locations, | |

² As defined in NPPF Annex 2

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | preference will be given to accessible sites that are well connected | |
| | | | to a defined centre in accordance with part 1 of the policy. For retail | |
| | | | uses, if there are no accessible out of centre sites that are well | |
| | | | connected to a defined centre, preference will be given to the | |
| | | | existing retail parks ³ (as shown on the Policy Map). In addition, all | |
| | | | proposed retail, leisure and other town centre uses should | |
| | | | demonstrate: | |
| | | | that they would not prejudice the delivery of planned | |
| | | | investment within any existing defined centre, and | |
| | | | * that no significant adverse impact on the vitality and viability | |
| | | | of any existing centre will arise from the proposed | |
| | | | development. | |
| | | | Impact Test | |
| | | | 3. For retail, leisure and other town centreoffice uses | |
| | | | proposalsproposed outside of existing defined centres, impact | |
| | | | assessments will be required to accompany planning applications at | |
| | | | the locations identified below based on the following floorspace | |
| | | | thresholds at the following locations set out: | |
| | | | outside of the Primary Shopping Areas (for retail) or the | |
| | | | town, district and local centres (for leisure and office uses) of | |
| | | | Bootle and Southport, an impact assessments will be required | |
| i | | | for development which proposes more than 500m ² gross | |
| | | | floorspace or more | |
| | | | within 800 metres of the boundaries of the district centres, | |
| | | | an impact assessment will be required for development which | |
| | | | proposes more than 300m ² gross floorspace, and | |
| | | | within 800 metres of the boundaries of the local centres, an | |
| | | | impact assessment will be required for development which | |
| | | | proposes more than 200m ² gross floorspace. | |

³ Aintree Racecourse Retail Park and Grand National Retail Park in Aintree, Switch Island Leisure Park, Netherton and Meols Cop, Ocean Plaza and Kew Retail Parks in Southport

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | Where more than one impact threshold applies, the lower impact threshold will take precedence. Where appropriate, impacts on the vitality and viability of designated retail centres in neighbouring local authorities will also be required to be assessed. All proposed retail, leisure and offices uses which exceed the above local impact threshold test (part 3) should demonstrate: • that they would not have a significant adverse impact on the delivery of existing, committed, and planned public and private investment within any existing defined centres, and • that no significant adverse impact on the vitality and viability of any existing centres will arise from the proposed development, including to local consumer choice and trade in defined centres and the wider area, up to five years from the time the application is made. For major schemes where the full impact will not be realised in five years, the impact should also be assessed up to ten years from when the application is made. Subject to the above, impact assessments may also be required in other circumstances, including where a change of use or variation of conditions from one form of retail development to another is proposed and could materially affect local shopping patterns. 4. Within Primary Shopping Areas (as shown on the Policy Mapsee figure 7.2) proposals for non-retail uses, compatible with a town centre location, will be permitted providing that: (i) the overall retail function of the Centre would not be undermined (ii) the use would make a positive contribution to the overall vitality and viability of the Centre, and (iii) it would not result in an unacceptable cluster of non-retail uses. | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | Within the primary retail frontages identified on the Policy Map, it is expected that 70% of units should fall within the A1 (retail) Use Class. | |
| | | | Applications within primary and secondary frontages at the town and district centres which would result in the loss of an active ground floor use will not be supported. | |
| | | | Outside of Primary Shopping Areas, but within defined centres, all main town centre uses will be considered acceptable in principle. | |
| | | | 5. Residential development and other non-town centre uses development will be permitted, if it does not compromise the vitality and viability of the centre or parade, in: defined town and district centres (outside Primary Shopping Areas) and local centres, or upper floors of buildings in the Primary Shopping Area, or | |
| | | | local shopping parades | |
| MM | M44 | 7.20 | Replace paragraph 7.20 with the following: In north Sefton, the 2012 Retail Strategy Review (RSR) identified additional capacity for convenience shopping in the period to 2016 for a large sized food store of approximately 4,000 sq m net. Given the majority of this need is in Southport, it is necessary to identify additional sites in or around Southport Town Centre, or failing this within the wider Southport area. Though the RSR indicates no significant need for comparison floorspace up to 2021, additional floorspace for comparison shopping would still be supported in Southport Town Centre, particularly where it would improve Southport's market share or reuse existing floorspace. The 2015 Retail Strategy Review (RSR) identifies no immediate capacity for additional convenience shopping floorspace in North Sefton, with a limited capacity arising in the longer term up to 2030. For South Sefton, the RSR forecasts a more significant capacity for additional convenience shopping floorspace in both the short and medium term, providing potential investment opportunities to support future development in Bootle, Crosby and Maghull. | To update the plan and reflect discussion during hearings sessions |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|---------------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| MM | M45 | 7.21 | Replace paragraph 7.21 with the following: In both South Sefton and Bootle, the RSR forecasts indicate that the need for additional convenience floorspace will be of a limited scale and should be able to be met by expanding and improving capacity in existing retail centres, including Bootle Town Centre. The RSR identifies no significant overall need for new comparison floorspace within the Borough in the period up to 2020, with additional capacity for comparison goods floorspace predicted to arise in the latter half of the plan period, The reuse of existing vacant floorspace is expected to absorb some of this identified capacity. The RSR forecasts the majority of the arising comparison floorspace need will be in North Sefton. To reflect this, additional floorspace for comparison shopping would be supported in principle in Southport Town Centre, particularly where it would improve Southport's market share or reuse existing floorspace. Similarly in south Sefton, notwithstanding the availability of capacity in the south of the Borough, any proposed new floorspace should be focused towards the Borough's defined centres in accordance with the sequential approach and the Retail Strategy. | To update the plan and reflect discussion during hearings sessions |
| MM | M46 | 7.22 | Replace paragraph 7.22 with the following: RSR forecasts will be reviewed regularly given the uncertainties in predicting how the economy will perform over time. The RSR's forecasts will be reviewed regularly to help provide an up to date assessment of the retail needs and capacity within the Borough, and help to address any uncertainties in predicting how the retail sector will perform over time given its fluidity in recent years. | To update the plan and reflect discussion during the hearings sessions |
| ММ | M47 | 7.22A | Add new paragraph 7.22A after paragraph 7.22: The sequential test and impact assessment should be undertaken in a proportionate and locally appropriate way, and ideally agreed at preapplication stages, drawing on and sharing existing information where possible. The applicants and the Council should seek to agree the scope, key impacts for assessment, potentially alternative sites and the level of detail required in advance of applications being submitted. | To update the plan and reflect discussion during the hearings sessions |
| MM | M48 | Figure 7.2 | Delete Figure 7.2. Figure 7.2 Primary Shopping Areas in Town and District Centres Southport Town Centre Crosby District Centre | The Primary Retail Frontages have been replaced by geographically defined Primary Shopping Areas which are |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | | |
| | | | <u> 25 and 91 - 581 (odds)</u> | • 1 - 27 (odd) Moor Lane; | shown on Policy Map. Primary and |
| | | | Lord St; | •—1 - 8 (consecutive) | Secondary Retail Frontages ghave been |
| | | | • Ribble Buildings (full | Telegraph House, Moor | defined on the Policy Map. |
| | | | ground floor frontage); | Lane; | |
| | | | <u> 1 - 9 (consecutive)</u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | Garrick Parade; | <u> 1 - 11 (consecutive)</u> | |
| | | | <u> 118 - 124 Lord St;</u> | Glenn Buildings, Moor | |
| | | | <u> </u> | Lane; | |
| | | | & 1 - 7 Hoghton St; | <u> 1 - 19 & 33 - 47 (odd)</u> | |
| | | | •— 2 - 70 (evens) Chapel | Liverpool Rd; | |
| | | | St; | • 4 - 42 (even) Liverpool | |
| | | | <u> 1 - 31 (odds) Eastbank</u> | Rd; and | |
| | | | St; | •—1 - 7 Crown Buildings, | |
| | | | <u> </u> | Liverpool Rd | |
| | | | Queen Anne St); | | |
| | | | •—1 - 35 (odds) London | | |
| | | | St; | Maghull District Centre | |
| | | | • 2 - 24 (evens) London | •—2 - 46 (even) Westway; | |
| | | | St; | <u> </u> | |
| | | | <u> </u> | <u> 1 - 26 (consecutive)</u> | |
| | | | St; | Central Square; and | |
| | | | • 2 - 64 (evens) Tulketh | <u> 1 - 13 (odd) Stafford</u> | |
| | | | St; and | Moreton Way | |
| | | | Wayfarers Arcade; | | |
| | | | Cambridge Arcade; | Formby District Centre | |
| | | | Cambridge Walks & | •—1 - 41 (odd) Chapel | |
| | | | Marble Place (all | Lane; | |
| | | | frontages). | Land adjacent to 1 | |
| | | | | Chapel Lane; | |
| | | | Bootle Town Centre | • 2 - 38 Chapel Lane; | |
| | | | Units within The Strand | • 23 - 35 (odd) Brows | |
| | | | Shopping Centre; and | Lane; | |
| | | | • 244 Stanley Rd to 322 | • 44 - 68 Brows Lane; | |
| | | | Stanley Road. | <u> </u> | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|---------------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | Waterloo District Centre - 91 - 137 (odd) South Rd; - 62 - 140 (even) South Rd; - 1 - 5 (consecutive) Cremona Corner, Crosby Rd North; - 49 - 61 (odd) Crosby Rd North; - 127b - 159 St John's Rd; - 78 - 112 St John's Rd; and - 20 Brighton Rd | |
| | M49 | Policy ED3 | Rename the policy 'Existing Employment Areas', and replace 'Primarily Industrial Area' with 'Existing Employment Area' throughout the policy, including the policy links and associated text (paragraphs 7.26 - 7.28). Amend the policy as follows: ED3 PRIMARILY INDUSTRIAL EXISTING EMPLOYMENT AREAS 1. The Primarily Industrial Existing Employment Areas are suitable for the following uses: | For clarity, to reflect the subsequent change to two of the Mixed Use areas as a result of changes to the town and district centre boundaries; to respond to the Inspector's comments at the examination hearings; and to better reflect the recommendations of the 2015 Employment Land & Premises Study. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | at least 12 months (starting from the date the site became | |
| | | | vacant) at a reasonable market rate (i.e. rent or capital | |
| | | | values) and it has been demonstrated in a formal marketing | |
| | | | report that there is no reasonable prospect of the site being | |
| | | | used for employment use, or | |
| | | | There would be a significant community benefit that would | |
| | | | outweigh the loss of the employment land/premises. | |
| | | | are small scale or ancillary to the above uses, or | |
| | | | - maximise job outputs and are compatible with the character | |
| | | | and function of the area and with adjacent uses. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 3. Development within the Primarily Industrial Existing Employment | |
| | | | Areas must not: | |
| | | | Significantly harm the amenity of any nearby residents, and | |
| 0.04 | 470 | 7.00 | Significantly harm the general environment. | Facilities |
| AM | A78 | 7.28 | Add a new penultimate sentence: | For clarity |
| | | | When marketing a site both the marketing process and the outcome must | |
| 200 | NATO | D. I'. | be to the satisfaction of the Council. | |
| MM | M50 | Policy | Amend the policy as follows: | For clarity and to reflect changes to |
| | | ED4 | ED4 MIXED USE AREAS | policy ED2 |
| | | | 1. The Mixed Hee Areas listed below are quitable for the following | |
| | | | 1. The Mixed Use Areas listed below are suitable for the following types of development: office and light industry, health and | |
| | | | educational uses, civic and community facilities, and other uses that | |
| 1 | | | are compatible with complement the character of the area. | |
| | | | Bootle Commercial Area | |
| | | | 2.—Land at Crosby Road North, Waterloo | |
| | | | 3.2. Land at Copy Lane, Netherton | |
| | | | 4-3. Land to the West of Ormskirk Road, Aintree | |
| | | | 5.4. Switch Island, Aintree | |
| | | | 6.5. Land at Hawthorne Road / Church Road, Bootle | |
| AM | A79 | Policy | Add additional policy links and context as follows: | For clarity |
| | | ED4 | ED5 Tourism | |
| ' | | | ED6 Regeneration | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| | | | ED8 Southport Seafront NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species National /regional context | |
| | | | LCR Visitor Economy Strategy to 2020 (2009) | |
| AM | A80 | 7.30 | First sentence: The Bootle Central Commerical Area is dominated by high rise 1960's and 1970's office buildings. | For clarity |
| MM | M51 | 7.31 | Delete paragraph 7.31: The Waterloo Mixed Use Area is an area which has developed along Crosby Road North, close to the District Centre. Whereas offices dominate in the north and south, the central area has a much more varied character, containing civic, cultural and health uses, as well as a number of residential institutions. | For clarity, as the area in Waterloo is no longer included in this policy. |
| MM | M52 | Policy ED5 | Amend policy ED5 as follows: ED5 TOURISM Strategic Tourism Locations 1. Tourism development will be supported in the following locations, subject to there being no adverse effects on the integrity of sites of international nature conservation importance and to other natural and heritage assets, or other Local Plan policies: • Southport Seafront and Southport Central Area • Crosby Coastal Park • Aintree Racecourse • Adjacent to the Leeds and Liverpool Canal. Other Tourism Development 2. Elsewhere sustainable tourism development will be supported in principle where it relates to location-specific tourism assets and is | To respond to the representation by the National Trust [P.663], as set out in the Statement of Common Ground between the Council and the National Trust [Examination Library document EN.36] and for consistency with heritage policies |
| AM | A81 | Dollov | <u>consistent with other Local Plan policies.</u> Amend policy links as follows: | For clarity and to reflect the new |
| AIVI | AOI | Policy ED5 | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt | For clarity and to reflect the new tourism-related policies included in |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | ED7 Southport Central Area | Chapter 7 |
| 11 | | | • ED8 Southport Central Area • ED8 Southport Seafront | Chapter 7 |
| | | | ED8A Southport Marine Park | |
| | | | ED8B Aintree Racecourse | |
| ' | | | NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats | |
| | | | and species | |
| ١., | | | NH4 The Sefton Coast and development | |
| | | | Chapter 11 Natural and Heritage Assets Policies | |
| AM | A82 | 7.39 | Amend paragraph 7.39, including the addition of bullet points: | For clarity |
| 1 , | | | The areas listed in the policy are amongst the most important areas for | |
| | | | tourism in Sefton. Southport Seafront, Southport Central Area, Crosby | |
| | | | Coastal Park and Aintree Racecourse are shown on the Policy Map. Southport | |
| | | | Seafront and Southport Central Area are shown on the Policy Map. Policies ED6 'Regeneration Areas', ED7 'Southport Central Area' and | |
| | | | Policies ED6 'Regeneration Areas', ED7 'Southport Central Area' and ED8 'Southport Seafront' provide a more detailed overview of the | |
| 11 | | | policy approach to Southport Seafront and Southport Central | |
| | | | Area these areas . | |
| ' | | | Crosby Coastal Park covers the coastal area of public open space | |
| | | | from Hightown to the boundary with the Liverpool Freeport at | |
| | | | Waterloo and Seaforth. Its attractions include 'Another Place', | |
| | | | Crosby Marine Lane, Crosby Lakeside Adventure Centre, the | |
| | | | extensive play area south of South Road and formal gardens within | |
| | | | Waterloo Conservation Area. | |
| | | | Aintree Racecourse includes the main stands and facilities and other | |
| | | | leisure and tourism facilities on the Ormskirk Road frontage, as well | |
| | | | as the racecourse itself which lies mostly within the Green Belt. | |
| | | | The Leeds and Liverpool Canal passes through or adjacent to the | |
| | | | built up area in Bootle, Litherland, Netherton, Aintree, Melling, | |
| AM | A83 | 7.39A – | Maghull and Lydiate, although part of the canal is in the Green Belt. Add new paragraphs 7.39A and 7.39B after paragraphs 7.39: | To respond to the National Trust's |
| Aivi | AOS | 7.39A – 7.39B | 7.39A Other Local Plan policies with which tourism development must be | representation [P.663] |
| | | 7.370 | consistent include those listed above, policy MN7 'Sefton's Green Belt', | representation [F.003] |
| | | | and other policies. For example, many sites in these locations are also | |
| | | | Local Wildlife Sites or may raise other nature conservation or heritage | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-------------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | <u>issues.</u> | |
| | | | 7.39B Other tourism areas or assets in Sefton include the Hornby Centre, | |
| | | | Trans Pennine Trail and Coast Path. Policy NH4 recognises the | |
| | | | importance of golf courses and informal recreation located on the | |
| | | | Sefton Coast. For example the National Trust's site at Formby Point is | |
| | | | important for tourism, the local economy, ecology and nature | |
| | | | conservation. The tourism policy together with Policy NH4 'The Sefton | |
| | | | Coast and development', and other relevant Local Plans policies, | |
| | | | provide the framework for assessing development proposals on the | |
| | | | Coast. | |
| AM | A84 | Policy | Amend part 1b) ii (Former Gasworks Site, Marsh Lane, Bootle) of the policy: | For clarity |
| | | ED6 | The redevelopment of this site for appropriate uses will be | |
| ī | | | permitted where it can be demonstrated that: | |
| | | | i. the proposed use is compatible with the adjacent residential | |
| | | | <u>area, a residential area</u> | |
| | | | ii. the proposed use <u>provides a significant regeneration benefit</u> | |
| | | | to the areais compatible with the Council's regeneration | |
| | | | objectives for the area , and | |
| | | | iii. any partial redevelopment would not prejudice the | |
| | | | development of make it more difficult to develop the | |
| | | _ | remainder of the site. | |
| AM | A85 | Policy | Remove 'defined' from in front of 'Seaforth centre' in part 2d) of the policy. | For clarity |
| AM | A86 | ED6 7.48 | Amend the first sentence of paragraph 7.48: | For clarity |
| Aivi | AOO | 7.40 | The Bootle Central Area includes Bootle Town Centre and, the Bootle Office | For clarity |
| | | | Quarter Commercial Area, including Hugh Baird College, and other adjacent | |
| I | | | land and buildings. | |
| AM | A87 | 7.53 | Amend the penultimate sentence as follows: | To update the Plan |
| | 1.3, | | Local Plan policies ED7 'Southport Central Area' and, ED8 'Southport | . o apacto the right |
| | | | Seafront' and ED8A 'Marine Park' provide detailed guidance on development | |
| | | | within these areas , including for the redevelopment of the 16.2 ha Marine | |
| | | | Drive site within the Seafront area. | |
| AM | A88 | 7.56 | Add the list of properties after paragraph 7.56: | For clarity and to define Seaforth centre |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|-------|-----------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | Seaforth centre contains the following properties: | which isn't defined as a town, district or |
| | | | • 1 – 15 (odd) Sandy Lane; | local centre in policy ED2 |
| | | | 12 – 26 (even) and 11 – 83 (odd) Seaforth Road; | |
| | | | • 35a and 35b Seaforth Vale; | |
| | | | • 1-25 (inclusive) Stella Precinct | |
| | | | The factory building on Norfolk Place; and 1a Hawarden Grove | |
| AM | A89 | 7.60 | Amend paragraph 7.60 as follows: | To update Plan |
| | 7.07 | 7.00 | Central Southport is covered by two three separate specific Local Plan | To apacto Fight |
| | | | policies. The Southport Central Area policy (ED7) addresses the parts of the | |
| | | | centre south east of the Promenade. The area to the north west of the | |
| | | | Promenade is dealt with by the Southport Seafront policy (policy ED8). The | |
| | | | redevelopment of the 16.4ha site on Marine Drive is subject to the Marine | |
| | | | Park policy (ED8A). The Tourism in Sefton policy (ED5) also provides a | |
| MM | M53 | Policy | strategic approach to tourism in Southport. Amend policy ED7 as follows: | To address the discussion during |
| IVIIVI | IVIOS | ED7 | ED7 SOUTHPORT CENTRAL AREA | To address the discussion during hearings sessions and to take into |
| | | | EDT SOUTHFORT CENTRAL AREA | account the Historic England [P.648] |
| | | | 1. Within the Southport Central Area development proposals should | representation |
| | | | be consistent with, and make a positive contribution to, the | • |
| | | | economic function of the area, the quality of the environment and | |
| | | | maintain the significance of heritage assets and their settings. | |
| | | | 2. Development proposals for new within the Southport Central Area | |
| | | | for the following uses will be acceptable in principle, subject to | |
| | | | other Local Plan policies: | |
| | | | a) Hotels and guest houses are acceptable in principle | |
| | | | b) for Arts and cultural uses, and visitor attractions are | |
| | | | acceptable in principle | |
| | | | c) New Education uses development, including the expansion of Southport College, is acceptable in principle | |
| | | | d) Uses which secure a sustainable future for vacant or 'at | |
| | | | risk' heritage assets. | |
| | | | | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|---------------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | 53. Development within the Central Area must should: a) Have no unacceptable impact on existing living conditions, and b) Cause no unacceptable harm to the appearance of street frontages, and to the vitality and viability of the town centre in general. c) Take opportunities to enhance heritage assets and their settings including securing their re-use, repair and restoration where appropriate. Where heritage is degraded through poor quality previous changes, enhancements should form part of proposals. Within the Lord Street and Promenade Conservation Areas, new or replacement features should enhance the appearance of buildings, public spaces, and the historic character of the area. 6. On the Lord Street frontages, new development is expected teshould promote active frontages that support vitality and viability. Amusement arcades and centres will not be permitted on the north west Lord Street frontage between 91 and 581 Lord Street. 7. The use of upper floors for a range of uses comparable with the retail and commercial character of the area will be encouraged. The use of upper floors for residential development will be permitted where they provide acceptable living conditions. | |
| AM | A90 | Policy ED7 | Add new contextual link as follows: Southport Investment Strategy | To updated Plan |
| AM | A91 | 7.63 | Delete 'between 91 and 581 Lord Street' from the end of this paragraph. | To take into account the Historic England [P.648] representation |
| AM | A92 | 7.63A | Add new paragraph 7.63A after paragraph 7.63: The architectural and historic interest of Southport is one of its key attractions which support the tourist economy. Throughout Southport town centre a number of the historic buildings show signs of poor maintenance or have suffered from inappropriate additions or changes to architectural | Amended to take into account the Historic England [P.648] representation |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|---------------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | features. When development affecting historic buildings is proposed, appropriate repairs and restoration of any key missing features should form part of proposals in order to enhance the conservation area, and capture wider economic and environmental benefits to support the regeneration of | |
| MM | M54 | Policy ED8 | the town centre as a whole. Amend part 1 of policy ED8 as follows: 1. Proposals within the Southport Seafront area for the following uses will be acceptable in principle, subject to other Local Plan policies and designations: Amend part 2 of the policy as follows: 2. Development that would be detrimental to the historic and landscape character of the Seafront or its function as a regionally important centre for tourism, or harm the integrity of adjacent internationally important nature sites, will not be permitted. Delete parts 3 and 4 of the policy: Marine Park site, Marine Drive 3. The Marine Park site (16.4 ha) is allocated for major visitor-based development [shown on the Policy Map]. Redevelopment of this site must support Southport's visitor economy and: a) Reflect the regional leisure and tourism role of Southport, and b) Ensure that any associated non-tourism development is consistent with the strategy for the site as a whole and is complementary to the key tourism role of the site, and e) Be of high design quality, incorporating attractive frontages to both Marine Drive and Esplanade and high quality landscaping, and d) Link with and complement King's and South Marine Gardens, enhancing the existing pedestrian route through the site to link with the town centre, and improving views | To take account of the draft Southport Investment Strategy and to address discussion during hearings sessions and Historic England [P.648] comments |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | across from the Promenade. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 4. Any proposal to partially develop the site should be prepared in | |
| | | | the context of a development strategy for the whole site, and must | |
| | | | not prejudice the ability to provide a comprehensive redevelopment | |
| | | | as envisaged in this policy. | |
| AM | A93 | Policy | Add new contextual link as follows: | To updated Plan |
| | | ED7 | Southport Investment Strategy | |
| AM | A94 | 7.64 | Add 'and designations' to end of this paragraph | For clarity |
| MM | M55 | 7.66 | Delete paragraph 7.66: | This paragraph is no longer relevant to |
| | | | The Visitor Economy Strategy also supports the development of a major | Southport Seafront policy |
| | | | new tourist attraction at Marine Park, as set out in section 3 of this policy. | |
| | | | This site represents a significant opportunity to provide high quality | |
| | | | development of a scale that enhances Southport's role as a regionally | |
| | | | important centre for tourism, and to act as a catalyst for further tourism | |
| | | | investment in Southport. In particular, this site offers the potential to create | |
| | | | a development that increases the number and type of visitors to Southport, | |
| | | | and encourages more families to visit the town. Development of this site will | |
| | | | be expected to meet each of the criteria set out in the policy to ensure that | |
| l | | | the highest quality of development is achieved on this prominent site. | |
| MM | M56 | Policy | Add a new policy ED8A and accompanying explanatory text: | To give the site greater prominence and |
| | | ED8A | | to reflect the draft Southport Investment |
| | | | ED8A MARINE PARK, SOUTHPORT | Strategy and LCR Visitor Economy |
| | | | | Strategy to 2020 and to address |
| | | | 1. Marine Park (16.4 ha) is allocated for major visitor-based | discussion during the hearings sessions |
| | | | development [shown on the Policy Map]. Redevelopment of this | and the Historic England [P.648] |
| | | | site must significantly enhance the regional leisure and tourism | comments |
| | | | role of Southport. | |
| | | | 2. The redevelopment of this site must: | |
| | | | a) Be of high design quality that compliments the existing | |
| | | | historic seaside environment and its open character | |
| | | | b) Incorporate active frontages to both Marine Drive and | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Esplanade c) Significantly improves pedestrian links within and through the site. This must include the provision of a high quality, landscaped pedestrian link through the site continuing the linear route connecting Scarisbrick Avenue and the Venetian Bridge in King's Gardens to the seafront d) Incorporate high quality landscaping, including enhancements to the north western edge of the Marine Lake. e) Retain the open seafront setting of the listed pier, and maintain views to and from it f) Ensure that the position, orientation, and scale of new buildings allows for open views to be retained towards the sea from the Promenade and the Seafront Gardens g) Improve views from the Promenade towards Ocean Plaza. h) Provide appropriate compensatory open space and green infrastructure within the site 3. The expansion of the existing fairground and the provision of new outdoor leisure facilities are acceptable in principle. 4. Any proposal to partially develop the site should be prepared in the context of a development strategy for the whole site. 5. Development that would adversely affect the integrity of adjacent internationally important nature sites will not be permitted. Policy links: ED5 Tourism ED6 Regeneration | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | ED8 Southport Seafront NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species National /regional context LCR Visitor Economy Strategy to 2020, 2009 Southport Investment Strategy | |
| | | | Explanation | |
| | | | 7.67A The Marine Park site represents a significant opportunity to provide high quality development of a scale that enhances Southport's role as a regionally important centre for tourism, and to act as a catalyst for further tourism investment. In particular, this site offers the potential to create a development that increases the number and type of visitors to Southport, and encourages more families to visit the town. The Visitor Economy Strategy also supports the development of a major new tourist attraction at Marine Park. Development of this site will be expected to meet each of the criteria set out in the policy to ensure that the highest quality of development is achieved on this prominent site. | |
| | | | 7.67B The site is in a sensitive location between the seafront, the Grade II listed pier and the Promenade Conservation Area, incorporating the Kings and South Marine Registered Historic Gardens. It is essential that the historic and visual connections between the development site, the pier, the historic Promenade frontage, and the historic gardens and the sea are retained. The fairground compliments Southport's heritage as a seaside resort town, and the continuation of this use is seen as maintaining the seafront's historic character 7.67C Marine Park is located at a key gateway to Southport town centre so design, layout and quality of development are critical to the success and perception of the town. The Ocean Plaza buildings currently detract from views across the historic seafront. Opportunities to | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| Туре | | rian ker. | improve the longer ranging views across the seafront from the Pier, Promenade, and Kings and South Marine Registered Historic Gardens, should be taken. 7.67D The development of this site may involve the loss of some or all of Princes Park (a non-designated heritage asset). It is envisaged that the benefits of meeting parts 1 and 2 of this policy will outweigh this loss. Appropriate new open space and green infrastructure should also be provided within the site to compensate for any loss of open space. | |
| MM | M57 | Policy ED8B | Add new policy ED8B: AINTREE RACECOURSE 7.67E Aintree Racecourse is an asset of national importance, and is the home of the Grand National Festival, which hosts the world class National Steeplechase. It is therefore a major and valuable recreation, tourism and conferencing facility which attracts significant numbers of visitors throughout the year thereby contributing positively to the Borough and the wider Region's economy. ED8B AINTREE RACECOURSE 1. Within the part of Aintree Racecourse which lies within the Green Belt, development must meet all of the following criteria: a) maintain or enhance the existing use of the Racecourse b) preserve the character of the area c) be consistent with national Green Belt Policy and other Local Plan policies. 2. Within the remaining part of Aintree Racecourse, development which enhances the recreational, tourism and conference functions of the Racecourse will be supported where consistent with other Local Plan policies. | To address issues raised in the representation of the Jockey Club (P.711) and the discussion during hearings |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | Key Policy Links: ED5 Tourism MN7 Sefton's Green Belt National /regional context Liverpool City Region Visitor Economy Strategy to 2020, 2009 Explanation 7.67F Aintree Racecourse is an appropriate use within the Green Belt, in which the majority of the site is located. Development that enhances the recreational use of the Racecourse as a racing venue will be supported where consistent with other Local Plan policies. Development in this area should respect the openness of the Green Belt. It is also important that development does not harm existing outdoor recreation uses in the area of the Racecourse within the Green Belt, due to the special character and function of the Racecourse. This is in addition to the restrictions on development within the Green Belt. 7.67G In the area of the Racecourse which is outside the Green Belt (i.e. the Ormskirk Road frontage), development proposals related to tourism, recreation or conferencing, particularly those relating to the Racecourse, such as hotel and conference facilities, will be supported. | |
| | | | where consistent with other Local Plan policies. Retail uses, including food and drinks uses, which are ancillary to the function of the Racecourse will be supported. | |
| AM | A95 | Policy ED9 | Insert a new part of the policy 7A: Development proposals in proximity to the listed St Michael's Cross should enhance its setting. | To take into account Historic England [P.648] representation |
| | | using and co | | |
| MM | M58 | 8.2A | Add a new paragraph 8.2A after paragraph 8.2 as follows: | For clarity and to further explain the |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | A Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be prepared to provide | above modification |
| | | | more detailed guidance to aid the delivery of affordable and special needs | |
| | | | and older persons housing. This will be subject to review dependent on any | |
| | | | changes in the Borough's requirements for affordable and special needs | |
| | | | housing, based on the findings of future Strategic Housing Market | |
| | | | Assessments, the Sefton Older Persons' Housing Strategy or other | |
| | | | commissioned studies. These studies are likely to be informed by any | |
| | | | changes to the definition of affordable housing introduced by the | |
| | | | Government. | |
| AM | A96 | 8.5 | Delete 'what' from line 1. | Minor editorial change |
| | A97 | 8.7 | Amend paragraph 8.7 as follows: | To reflect the correct policy names |
| | | | This chapter also sets out requirements for development in Policy HC3 | |
| | | | 'Residential Development and Development in Primarily Residential Areas' | |
| | | | and House Extensions, Alterations and Policy HC4 'House Extensions and | |
| | | | Alterations and Conversions to Houses in Multiple Occupation and | |
| | | | FlatsConversions to Houses in Multiple Occupation and Flats'. | |
| AM | A98 | 8.8 | Replace 11,070 with 11,520 | To update the Plan |
| AM | A99 | 8.10 | Amend the first sentence: | To correct a grammatical error |
| | | | A Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) was published in 2014. This | |
| | | | identified that Sefton had a total affordable housing required requirement of | |
| | | | approximately 7,815 homes over the period 2012-2030 which is equivalent | |
| | | | to about 434 affordable homes a year. | |
| MM | M59 | Policy | Amend part 5 of the policy by deleting last sentence: | For clarity and to accord with NPPF |
| | | HC1 | Special needs housing can be substituted for up to 50% of the site | paragraph 50. To allow greater flexibility |
| | | | affordable housing contribution on a bedspace for bedspace basis. | and to reflect changes in Government |
| | | | The residual affordable housing requirement should meet the | definitions of affordable housing, and the |
| | | | relevant tenure requirement. | fact that the affordable housing |
| I I | | | | requirement may change over the life of |
| | | | Amend part 6 of the policy as follows: | the Plan. |
| | | | Where extra care or sheltered housing is proposed to be substituted | |
| | | | for affordable housing, this must meet the tenure requirements set | |
| | | | out in parts 2 and 4 of this policy. 80% of this should be provided as | |
| | | | social rented/affordable rented and the remaining 20% provided as | |
| | | | intermediate housing for all parts of the Borough apart from Bootle | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | and Netherton, where it should be provided as 50% | |
| | | | social/affordable rented housing and 50% intermediate housing. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Add new parts 9 and 10 to the policy: | |
| | | | 9. Off-site provision of affordable housing, or a financial | |
| | | | contribution of broadly equivalent value, will be considered | |
| | | | where it can be robustly justified, and where the agreed | |
| | | | approach contributes to the objective of creating mixed and | |
| | | | <u>balanced communities.</u> | |
| ı | | | 10. In implementing the policy, the Council will have regard to: | |
| | | | a) the definitions and provisions of affordable and/or special | |
| | | | needs housing in relevant national guidance as they may change | |
| | | | over time; and | |
| | | | b) changes in the Borough's requirements for affordable and | |
| | | | special needs housing based on new evidence of need as set out | |
| | | | in future commissioned Strategic Housing Market Assessments | |
| | | | or similar studies. | |
| AM | A100 | 8.13 | Insert sentence at the start of paragraph 8.13: | For clarity |
| | | | The affordable housing contribution is rounded up to the nearest whole | |
| | | | number equating to a minimum of 30% of bedspaces. Within this 30%, the | |
| | | | social housing element is rounded up to a minimum of 80% in respect of | |
| | | | the total affordable housing requirement. | |
| AM | A101 | 8.20 | Delete paragraph 8.20: | For clarity because the paragraph is a |
| | | | A Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be prepared to provide | repetition of the new, more detailed 8.2A |
| | | | more detailed guidance to aid the delivery of affordable and special needs | |
| | | | housing. This will be subject to review dependent on any changes in the | |
| | | | Borough's requirements for affordable and special housing needs. | |
| AM | A102 | 8.23 | Change 'form' to 'from' | For clarity |
| AM | A103 | 8.24 | In the penultimate sentence delete 'of' before '15% of new housing' and add | For clarity |
| | | | commas after 'the plan period' and 'about 1,674 dwellings'. | |
| MM | M60 | Policy | Amend the threshold in part 1 from 15 to 25 dwellings | To reflect discussion during hearings |
| | | HC2 | | sessions, and to update the |
| | | | Replace part 2 of the policy with the following: | requirements in relation to Technical |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | In addition, at least 20% of all new homes, in developments of 15 homes or more, should be designed to meet the Lifetime Homes Standards. In developments of 50 or more dwellings, at least 20% of new market properties must be designed to meet Building Regulation Requirement M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'. Amend part 3 of the policy as follows: Where housing for older people or people with special needs is provided as part of a larger scheme, this should, where appropriate, be located within the scheme in the most accessible location for local services and facilities Add new part 5 to the policy: The Council will support proposals for custom or self-build homes on appropriate sites. To identify and provide for those who wish to custom or self-build their own homes the Council will: | Standards and new Custom Build Homes legislation |
| | | | Manage a register of interested parties who wish to custom or self-build their own home | |
| | | | Identify at least two pilot schemes to be made available for custom or self-builders. | |
| AM | A104 | 8.30 | Insert 'of' after 'Given that the number' in the first sentence | To correct a typographical amendment |
| MM | M61 | 8.31 | Amend paragraph 8.31 as follows: In this context, the construction of 20% of new housing in developments of 50 homes or more to Building Regulation Requirement M4(2) Lifetime Homes standards will help to provide more flexible accommodation. Optional Technical Standards for Housing allow planning authorities to set additional technical requirements exceeding the minimum standards required by Building Regulations in respect to access. Optional requirement M4(2) ensures new housing incorporates features that make it adaptable to a wide range of occupants, including older people. The emerging Affordable, Special Needs and Older People's Housing SPD will provide further guidance on policy HC2 part 2. Lifetime Homes are ordinary homes designed to incorporate 16 design criteria that can be universally applied to new homes at minimal cost. Each design feature adds to the comfort and convenience | The paragraph currently sets out the requirements for Lifetime Homes, which are no longer extant |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| | | | of the home and supports the changing needs of individuals and families at different stages of life. Lifetime Homes are designed to be both flexible and adaptable; they are not 'special', but are designed to create and encourage better living environments for everyone and help people who are coping with illness or dealing with reduced mobility in later life to remain in their homes. | |
| AM | A105 | 8.34 | Add new final sentence to the paragraph: This could form part of the Affordable, Special Needs and Older People's Housing SPD. | For clarity |
| MM | M62 | 8.34A | Add a new paragraph after paragraph 8.34: Custom and Self-build homes are a means of allowing local people or groups to commission, design, construct or complete their own home. Sefton has recently completed an Assessment of the Potential for Self-build and Custom Build Homes in the borough. Whilst this assessment hasn't been able to determine a level of demand in Sefton for these types of schemes, it proposed a number of recommendations to allow the Council to further understand likely demand. One recommendation is for the setting up of a register to allow people and groups to indicate that they want to custom or self-build their homes. Another recommendation is that the Council identifies a small number of pilot sites to be made available for custom and self-build homes. The Council intends to implement both of these recommendations in the short term. These will provide a much better view of the demand for this type of accommodation in Sefton and may lead to a Supplementary Planning Document and/or a review of how the Council disposes of its excess land. | To reflect new legislation (Self Build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015) and the findings of the North Star Assessment [HO.13] |
| AM | A106 | Policy HC3 | Amend part 3b) and c) of the policy as follows: Residential development will be permitted in other areas except where: a) the land is required for the purpose for which it is designated, b) the development would conflict with the allocated or designated land use, and c) it would result in an unacceptable residential environment, or e)d) it is inconsistent with other policies in the Plan. | For clarity and to respond to HBF representation [P.707] in relation to net developable areas |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|-------|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Amend part 4 of the policy: | |
| | | | New residential development must achieve a minimum density of 30 | |
| Ì | | | dwellings per hectare of the net developable area, except where: | |
| AM | A107 | 8.38 | Add an additional sentence to the end of the paragraph: | For clarity |
| | 7107 | 0.30 | There may be other policy reasons (e.g. flood risk, heritage or nature | Tor clarity |
| | | | conservation) why the development may be inappropriate. This does not | |
| | | | apply in the Green Belt unless the criterion set out in paragraphs 89 and 90 | |
| | | | of the National Planning Policy Framework are met. | |
| ММ | M63 | Policy | In part 2 of the policy add "including from flood risk" to the end of the first | To respond to the Inspector's comment |
| 101101 | WOS | HC5 | bullet point. | at the examination hearing. |
| AM | A108 | 8.55 | Add '(HC5.3)' after 'Plex Moss Lane, Ainsdale' in line 5. | For clarity and to respond to FRAGOFF |
| 7 4.0. | 71.00 | 0.00 | Replace the final sentence with the following: | [P.740], and to reflect the Habitats |
| | | | Development proposals for sites HC5.3 and HC5.4 should be accompanied | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | | by a site specific Habitats Regulations Assessment and provide appropriate | Plan. |
| | | | protection to the integrity of the Special Protection Areas/Ramsar sites bird | |
| | | | populations. The Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the Local Plan | |
| | | | requires a site specific HRA for sites HC5.3 and HC5.4, in order to provide | |
| | | | appropriate protection to the integrity of the Special Protection | |
| | | | Areas/Ramsar sites bird populations. Appropriate species surveys will be | |
| | | | required to determine the presence/ likely absence of target species where | |
| | | | identified. Sufficient information must be provided with the planning | |
| | | | application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations | |
| | | | Assessment. As site HC5.3 is located in open countryside in a prominent | |
| | | | location, it is essential that appropriate boundary treatment is provided. The | |
| | | | development of site HC5.4 for a transit site should also include appropriate | |
| | | | boundary treatment. | |
| MM | M64 | Policy | Amend part 2 of the policy as follows: | To respond to Historic England's |
| | | HC7 | Development of the site must retain key green infrastructure and | representation [P.740] and Sport's |
| | | | minimise harm to the historic environment and its settingthe | England's representation [P.725] |
| | | | heritage characteristics of the site. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Amend part 3 of the policy and header above: | |
| | | | Sites formerly in use as schools, colleges or ether care institutions | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|---|--|
| - 11 | | | Development for an alternative use which is compatible with the | |
| | | | surrounding area is acceptable in principle, where appropriate | |
| | | | evidence is provided that the institution and its ancillary facilities | |
| | | | are surplus to recreational requirements. | |
| | | | | |
| MM | M65 | 8.69 | Amend the first two sentences as follows: | To respond to Historic England's |
| | | | Land which is used as, or has last been used as, playing fields, outdoor | representation [P.740] and Sport's |
| | | | sporting facilities or their ancillary facilities Land which forms playing fields | England's representation [P.725] |
| l | | | or has been last used as playing fields must also meet the provisions of section 1 (b) of policy NH5 'Protection of open space and Countryside | |
| | | | Recreation Areas'. This aims to prevent the loss of public open space or | |
| | | | other outdoor sports and recreation facilities available to the public, in line | |
| | | | with national policy and guidance. | |
| Chapte | er 9 Infr | astructure | | |
| AM | A109 | 9.5 | Add to the end of the second sentence: | To reflect the Habitats Regulations |
| | | | Good infrastructure planning is essential to achieving a high quality of | Assessment -of the Local Plan. |
| | | | development. Working with partners, including both public and private | |
| | | | infrastructure providers, local people and developers, is critical to ensuring that the right infrastructure is provided in the right location at the right | |
| | | | time, so that development proposals will be supported by the timely | |
| | | | provision of an appropriate level of infrastructure prior to development. | |
| MM | M66 | Policy | Amend policy IN1 as follows: | For clarity and to respond to TWUK |
| | | IN1 | IN1 INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS | representation [P.658]. To use |
| ı | | | | consistent wording throughout the policy |
| | | | 1. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan, Appendix 1 and other policies in | and to improve readability. |
| | | | the Local Plan lists the essential infrastructure required for the | |
| | | | implementation of the Local Plan strategy. | |
| | | | 2. Social, community, environmental and physical infrastructure will | To respond to the Theatre Trust's |
| , | | | be protected, enhanced and provided where there is an identified | representation [P.599] |
| | | | need to support sustainable communities. | |
| | | | 2. Dovolonor contributions may also be sought in appropriate | |
| | | | 3. Developer contributions may also be sought in appropriate | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|-------------|
| Туре | | Flall Rel. | locations to assist with regeneration objectives set out elsewhere in the plan. | |
| | | | 4. Where appropriate, contributions will be sought to enhance and provide infrastructure to support new development. This may be secured as a planning obligation through a legal agreement, through the Community Infrastructure Levy or through other agreements. | |
| | | | 5. Where appropriate, the Council may require developers to provide the necessary infrastructure themselves as part of their development proposals, rather than making financial contributions. | |
| | | | 5A. Developer contributions may also be sought in appropriate locations to assist with regeneration objectives set out elsewhere in the plan. | |
| | | | 6. Planning conditions or phased legal agreements may be used to ensure essential infrastructure is provided within appropriate timescales. | |
| | | | 7. The Council will work with a range of partners to make sure that infrastructure is provided in the right location when required. | |
| | | | 8. The impact of providing or contributing to infrastructure on the viability of development proposals will be considered. Where scheme viability will be affected, developers will be expected to | |
| | | | provide Viability Assessments which will be taken into account as a material consideration in the determination of planning applications. Essential infrastructure is required regardless of viability. | |
| AM | A110 | 9.7 | Add two additional bullet points to the end of the list in this paragraph. Habitat compensation or mitigation [see policy NH2 Protection and Enhancement of Nature Sites, Priority Habitats and Species] | For clarity |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| | | | Cross boundary infrastructure | |
| AM | A111 | 9.8 | Amend the second sentence: The Community Infrastructure Levy should be used to pool funds to secure infrastructure in the wider area and potentially on cross boundary infrastructure. | To respond to Wirral MBC's representation (P.594) |
| AM | A112 | 9.11 | Add 'wastewater' before 'treatment' in final sentence of the paragraph | For clarity |
| AM | A113 | 9.12 | Amend paragraph 9.12 as follows: 9.12 The timely provision of an appropriate level of infrastructure means that in some instances dependent must should only take place once any necessary new wastewater treatment infrastructure (including retrofit schemes) is in place. This is both not only to service the development but also and to avoid an adverse effect on internationally important nature sites. These instances will be determined through cooperation with other organisations, notably the Environment Agency and United Utilities who which are responsible for deciding what wastewater-treatment infrastructure may be required. | To reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| AM | A114 | 9.12A – 9.12C | Add three new paragraphs after paragraph 9.12: 9.12A Once more details are known on development sites, for example, the approach to surface water management and proposed connection points to the foul sewer network, United Utilities will seek to coordinate the delivery of development with timing for the delivery of infrastructure improvements. 9.12B On the larger sites, it may be necessary to ensure that the delivery of development is guided by strategies for infrastructure which ensure coordination between phases of development over lengthy periods of time and by numerous developers. 9.12C Sefton Council will support the principle of investment in infrastructure to respond to development and environmental needs. Infrastructure is key to the delivery of sustainable development and economic growth, and meeting the development needs of the Borough. | To respond to United Utilities representation [P.722] |
| MM | M67 | 9.13 | Add an additional sentence to the end of the paragraph: However, viability issues must not be a reason to avoid the provision of | For clarity |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | essential infrastructure, i.e. infrastructure needed to make the development | |
| | | | acceptable in planning terms. | |
| AM | A115 | 9.14 | Amend the final sentence as follows: | Minor editorial change |
| | | | Policy EQ3 addresses wider accessibility issues including making | |
| | | | development accessible to <u>a</u> wide range of <u>transport types</u> types of transport | |
| | | | and for people with restricted levels of mobility. | |
| MM | M68 | Policy | In the last bullet point of part 1 of the policy, replace 'Aintree Curve' with | To provide clarity and update the Plan |
| | | IN2 | the 'North Mersey Branch line'. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Amend part 2 of the policy as follows: | |
| | | | Improvements to The Council's general priorities for the transport | |
| | | | network will take account of the need for include: | |
| | | | Improving safety and accessibility for all transport users | |
| | | | Protecting the freight distribution network | |
| | | | Maintaining, improving and extending the walking and cycling | |
| | | | network | |
| | | | Better connecting new and existing neighbourhoods with the | |
| | | | public transport network | |
| | | | Creating opportunities for existing transport to become more | |
| ı | | | sustainable such as by promoting/installing charger units at | |
| | | | appropriate places along routes locations. | |
| | | | Delete (Himburgue America) from the first built weigh of wort A of the malicular | |
| | | | Delete 'Highways Agency' from the first bullet point of part 4 of the policy. | |
| | | | Add a new part 7 to the policy: | |
| | | | The Council will support initiatives to reconnect the Port of | |
| | | | Liverpool (Southern Zone) between Alexandra Dock and Sandon | |
| | | | Dock to the rail network. Subject to the compliance with Policy ED1 | |
| | | | and NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority | |
| | | | habitats and species', proposals by Peel Ports to extend the existing | |
| | | | rail lines within the Port of Liverpool at Seaforth to directly serve | |
| | | | the new Liverpool 2 container terminal will be supported. | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| AM | A116 | Policy IN2 | Amend policy links and context: • ED1 The Port and Maritime Zone • EQ2 Design • EQ3 Accessibility • WM11 'Sustainable Waste Transport' (Waste Local Plan) | To respond to Merseytravel representation (P.553) |
| | | | Merseyside Local Transport <u>Plan (LTP3)</u> Joint [Merseyside and Halton] Waste Local Plan 2013 <u>Road Investment Strategy</u> <u>Liverpool City Region Combined Authority Transport Plan for Growth</u> <u>Liverpool City Region Long Term Rail Strategy</u> | For clarity |
| AM | A117 | 9.15 | Add a reference to the LCR Transport Plan for Growth after LTP3. | For clarity |
| MM | M69 | 9.17 | Replace final sentence: Options are being explored to reconnect the Port of Liverpool (Southern Zone) from Alexandra Dock to the rail network as part of the Port Master Plan and Long Term Freight Strategy. Network Rail is also developing proposals to improve rail freight capacity on the Bootle Branch Line and the wider rail network and Peel Ports is considering options for a direct rail connection to the new Liverpool 2 container terminal. Highways England is developing proposals for a major highway improvement to the Port, as identified in the Road Investment Strategy, which will be delivered within the Local Plan period. It is likely that during the Local Plan period further work will be required to improve road access to the port. | To update the Plan |
| AM | A118 | 9.18A | Add new paragraph: The Council is committed to supporting a range of travel choice to developments particularly providing for walking, cycling and public transport. Accessibility by sustainable transport modes is addressed in policy EQ3 'Accessibility' and guidance provided in the 'Ensuring Choice of Travel' SPD. | To make the link to policy EQ3 from part 2 of policy IN2 'Transport'. |
| AM | A119 | 9.22 | Add a reference to the Liverpool City Region Long Term Rail Strategy after LTP3. | To update the Plan |
| AM | A120 | 9.28 | Delete 'and Appropriate Assessment'. | This is not necessary as 'appropriate assessment' is part of the HRA |
| AM | A121 | 9.33 | Change 'renewal' to 'renewable' in first sentence | To correct grammatical amendment |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | Ref. | | Add four new paragraphs after paragraph 9.33: 9.33A Further national guidance is set out in National Planning Practice Guidance and the Ministerial Written Statements of 25 March and 18 June 2015. The latter indicates that when determining planning applications for wind energy development for one or more wind turbines, local planning authorities should only grant planning permission if: The proposed development site is in an area identified as suitable for wind energy development in a Local or Neighbourhood Plan; and Following consultation, it can be demonstrated that the planning impacts identified by affected local communities have been fully addressed and the proposal has their backing. 9.33B The Written Ministerial Statement of 25 March 2015 included provisions on the siting of large scale ground-mounted solar panel installations to ensure that the locations chosen for these schemes are the most suitable, taking into account factors such as the agricultural quality of the land concerned. 9.33C The Plan does not allocate any sites for large scale renewable energy schemes in the Green Belt, and does not identify any sites as being suitable for wind energy development. The area of search for wind energy at Ince Blundell identified at the Preferred Options stage of Local Plan preparation has not been taken forward. This area was originally identified in the Liverpool City Region Renewable Energy Capacity Study (2011). This Study looked only at wind speeds and high level constraints with a view to identifying areas suitable for multiple turbine installations, and did not look at, matters such as flood risk, landscape character, cumulative impact or the specific impacts on heritage assets. It did not consider wind energy installations at a small enough scale to comply with the requirements of the 18 June 2015 Ministerial Written Statement. Ince Blundell Parish Council and local residents submitted objections to this proposal at the Preferred | To update the Plan |
| | | | Options stage. 9.33D The immediate review of the Plan provides the opportunity for the Council, working where appropriate in collaboration with the other Liverpool | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|------|-----------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | City Region authorities, to generate a new evidence base regarding | |
| | | | renewable energy, including wind. This would assist the Council to | |
| | | | determine such renewable energy applications in the light of the | |
| | | | Framework, National Planning Practice Guidance and most particularly the | |
| | | | Ministerial Written Statement of June 2015. In light of the current situation, | |
| | | | the Council will be unable to consent applications for onshore wind energy | |
| | | | development until a review of the Local Plan is undertaken. | |
| MM | M71 | 9.34 | Amend the final sentence: | To update the Plan |
| | | | Any proposals will be assessed against the Framework and other policies | |
| | | | within the Plan, National Planning Practice Guidance and the Ministerial | |
| | | | Written Statement of June 2015. | |
| | | | nvironmental quality | |
| MM | M72 | Policy | Amend the sixth bullet point as follows: | For clarity |
| | | EQ1 | Appropriately locating food and drink shops, hot food takeaways, | |
| | | | drinking establishments, restaurants, cafes and other non-food and | |
| | | | drink uses which have health impacts, having regard to other land | |
| 2.22.2 | 1470 | - ·· | uses in the local area | 5 1 11 11 11 11 |
| MM | M73 | Policy | Replace the policy, links, context and explanatory text with the exception of | For clarity and to address the discussion |
| | | EQ2 | paragraphs 10.19 and 10.20: | during hearings sessions |
| | | | EQ2 DESIGN | |
| | | | EQ2 DESIGN | |
| | | | 1. Development will only be permitted where it is of a high | |
| | | | quality design that responds positively to the local character | |
| | | | and distinctiveness of the surroundings. | |
| | | | and distinctiveness of the same and anger | |
| | | | Development will only be permitted where: | |
| | | | 2. To achieve high quality design, development must | |
| | | | demonstrate that the following have been considered: | |
| | | | aScale, density, massing, height, landscape, layout, | |
| | | | alignment, orientation, materials, access, active | |
| | | | frontages, townscape, architecture and amenity. | |
| | | | bRetaining or creating good quality landmark and | |
| | | | gateway features. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | c:-Ease and safety of movement and circulation of walkers, cyclists, vehicles and people with limited mobility, both within and into the site d:-Safety and security of those within and outside the development. e:-Preservation and enhancement of views towards, within and out of the development. f:-Flexibility and adaptability to change in order to be sustainable. g:-The delivery of high quality, well-connected and well-maintained public space. | |
| | | | 1. In relation to site context: (a) The proposal responds positively to the character, local distinctiveness and form of its surroundings. (b) In areas of lesser quality the development enhances the character of the area rather than preserves or reproduces negative aspects of the existing environment. (c) Key views of townscape, including landmark and gateway buildings, and important landscape features are retained or enhanced. | |
| | | | 2. In relation to site design, layout and access: The arrangement of buildings, structures and spaces within the site, including density and layout, and the alignment and orientation of buildings, relates positively to the character and form of the surroundings, achieves a high quality of design and meets all of the following criteria: (a) Ensures safe and easy movement into, out of, and | |

| Mod Ref | | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--------|
| Mod Ref Type | Local Plan Ref. | within the site for everyone, including pedestrians, cyclists and those with limited mobility (b) Integrates well with existing street patterns (c) Protects the amenity of those within and adjacent to the site (d) Ensures the safety and security of those within and outside the development through natural surveillance and the creation of active frontages (e) Creates well-connected attractive outdoor areas which fulfil their purpose well. 3. In relation to the design of buildings and structures: (a) Proposals make a positive contribution to their | Reason |
| | | surroundings through the quality of their design in terms of scale, height, form, massing, style, detailing, landscaping, use of materials and meet criteria (b) to (d) listed in part (2) above (replacing 'site' with 'building' in c.) (b) Proposals for non-residential buildings consider flexibility in design to facilitate conversion to other uses in the future. (c) Proposals for residential buildings consider the adaptability of new homes to meet the long term needs of residents. (d) Proposals are designed to take advantage of natural solar gain. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | (a) Key landmarks are retained, and new gateway | |
| | | | features provided, to ensure locally distinctive | |
| | | | developments. | |
| | | | (b) Structural planting is provided to soften the urban | |
| | | | edge and provide a suitable transition to open | |
| | | | countryside. | |
| | | | (c) A clear road hierarchy is set out, and different | |
| | | | character areas within the development are | |
| | | | provided, to assist navigation through and around the | |
| | | | development. | |
| | | | <u>development.</u> | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Key policy links | |
| ĺ | | | WM9 Sustainable Waste Management Design and Layout for New | |
| | | | Development (Waste Local Plan) | |
| | | | Policy WM 10 High Quality Design and Operation of Waste Management | |
| | | | Facilities (Waste Local Plan) | |
| | | | Policy HC4 House Extensions, Alterations and Conversions to Houses in | |
| | | | Multiple Occupation and Flats | |
| | | | MN2 Housing Employment and Mixed use allocation | |
| | | | • EQ3 Accessibility | |
| | | | EQ7 Energy efficient and low carbon design Policy HC4 House Extensions, Alterations and Conversions to Houses in | |
| | | | Policy HC4 House Extensions, Alterations and Conversions to Houses in Multiple Occupation and Flats | |
| ı | | | Martiple Occupation and Hats | |
| | | | National /regional context | |
| | | | Building for Life 12 | |
| | | | Planning for Place (CABE) | |
| | | | Optional Building Regulations Standard M4(2) | |
| | | | http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/housing- | |
| | | | optional-technical-standards/ | |
| | | | Joint [Merseyside and Halton] Waste Local Plan 2013 (the 'Waste Local Plan') | |
| | | | Plan') | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Plan Ref | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-------------------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | Explanation 10.10 Places are defined by their local character. It is important that all development in Sefton takes into account the best of local character, and does not reproduce existing poor design. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. 10.11 Major developments and new neighbourhoods must be designed to have a real sense of quality and identity. They should be integrated with the adjacent settlement and street patterns and land uses and have good connections by all means of transport (including walking and cycling) to local services and facilities including places of work, schools and health facilities, and other facilities - see policy EQ3 Accessibility. 10.12 Cenerally development should be designed with high quality, attractive frontages onto public spaces. Examples include shopping areas, larger roads in residential areas, major transport routes, green spaces and the Leeds and Liverpool Canal. The design of new development should take account of what is valued and valuable in the local area. Developers will be expected to have demonstrated that they have explored opportunities for retention of locally valued assets. All of this helps create or maintain places that are distinctive and look good. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | 10.13 Good design also means making sure that places are safe and secure | |
| | | | in terms of crime and reducing fear of crime. This creates places | |
| | | | where people want to live and increases health and well-being. This | |
| | | | can be achieved for example through use of natural surveillance - | |
| | | | from windows, public areas, passers-by and passing traffic - and | |
| | | | creation of 'active frontages' so that buildings front onto public areas. | |
| | | | 10.14 Proposals must be designed to take account of views within, into and | |
| | | | out of the development. This is especially important for sites on major | |
| | | | transport routes, sites which are heritage assets or affect the setting | |
| | | | of heritage assets, sites next to public spaces or at the edge of the | |
| | | | urban area. It is also important for house extensions. | |
| | | | 10.15 Good design is also sustainable design. To help meet the challenge | |
| | | | of climate change buildings and spaces should, where possible, be | |
| | | | designed to be adaptable and flexible. Successful places can adapt to | |
| | | | changing circumstances and demands, and are able to respond to a | |
| | | | range of future needs. | |
| | | | 10.16 Buildings often need to adapt over time to accommodate a different | |
| | | | use, or in response to changes in the number, age, health and | |
| | | | mobility of occupiers. The 'Lifetime Homes Optional Building | |
| | | | Regulations Standard M4(2)' approach required in policy HC2 'Housing | |
| | | | type, mix and choice' relates to this. For example, house extensions | |
| | | | may be designed for future flexibility by including space for pushchair | |
| | | | or wheelchair storage or a 'wet room'. Adaptable homes offer real | |
| | | | benefits in terms of the use of resources and the stability of an area, | |
| | | | as occupiers may not have to move to meet their changing needs | |
| | | | 10.17 Development should also be designed to respond to the challenge of | |
| | | | climate change, to be as energy efficient as possible, and to use | |
| | | | resources wisely as set out in policy EQ7 'Energy efficient and low | |
| | | | carbon design'. Impermeable hard surfaces such as paving should be | |
| | | | kept to a minimum to reduce the flow of surface water into public | |
| | | | drains, rather than soakaways. Policy EQ8 'Managing flood risk and | |

| Surface water deals in more detail with these issues. 10.18. Provision of high quality space around buildings—whether public or private space, green or hard surfaced—is integral to good design. These spaces can strengthen communities by offering opportunities for recreation and places for people to meet. Policy EQD Provision of public open-space, strategic paths and trees in development-deals in more detail with these issues. 10.10. Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings. In terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Pelicy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11. Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, full their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12. In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to create design solutions which are bold, inspiring and longlasting. | Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|---|------|------|-----------|---|--------|
| 10.18 Provision of high quality space around buildings — whether public or private space, green or hard surfaced — is integral to good design. These spaces can strengthen communities by offering opportunities for recreation and places for people to meet. Policy EQO "Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development" deals in more detail with these issues. 10.10 Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in "Settlement Character Plans" which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment, for many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| private spaces, green or hard-surfaced—is integral to good design. These spaces can strengthen communities by offering opportunities for recreation and places for people to meet. Policy EQP Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' deals in more detail with these issues: 10.10. Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area, Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning, Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11. Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12. In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | surface water' deals in more detail with these issues. | |
| private spaces, green or hard surfaced—Is integral to good design. These spaces can strengthen communities by offering opportunities for recreation and places for people to meet. Policy EQP Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' deals in more detail with these issues. 10.10. Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area, Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11. Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12. In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| These-spaces can strengthen communities by offering opportunities for recreation and places for people to meet. Policy EQ9 Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' deals in more detail with these issues. 10.10 Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area, Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| recreation and places for people to meet. Policy EG9 - Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' deals in more detail with these issues. 10.10 - Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' deals in more detail with these issues. 10.10 Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that falls to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | These spaces can strengthen communities by offering opportunities for | |
| 10.10. Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| 10.10 Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | more detail with these issues. | |
| their surroundings, in terms of density, size, layout, architecture, design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | 10.10 Proposals should respond positively to the character and form of | |
| design and landscape. Context may mean any of these, from the architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that falls to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| architectural detail of buildings to the general character of the area. Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| Sefton has many diverse and distinctive areas. These are set out in 'Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| Settlement Character Plans' which form part of the Design Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| Appraisals. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that good design is achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | Supplementary Planning Guidance and in Conservation Area | |
| for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | achieved in all developments. Planning permission should be refused | |
| way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities | |
| 10.11 Good quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | available for improving the character and quality of an area and the | |
| within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | way it functions (National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 64). | |
| within the context of its surroundings and wider area. The main aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | 10.11. Cood quality design covers buildings, the site as a whole and the site | |
| aspects are how the development looks and how it works. Places and buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| buildings should also have their own identity, fulfil their purpose well, be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| be robust and adapt easily to changing requirements. 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| 10.12 In areas of lesser design quality in Sefton, development should enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| enhance the area rather than reproduce an existing poor environment. For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | be robust and adapt cashly to changing requirements. | |
| For many constrained sites, developers should take the opportunity to | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| create design solutions which are bold, inspiring and longlasting. | | | | | |
| | | | | create design solutions which are bold, inspiring and longlasting. | |
| 10.13 Sites, streets and other places must be designed to ensure safe and | | | | 10.13. Sites, streets and other places must be designed to ensure safe and | |
| easy movement into, through and out of them for all potential users, | | | | | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | including those of limited mobility, for pedestrians, cyclists, public | |
| | | | transport users, cars users, and for servicing, deliveries and collection. | |
| | | | Special consideration should be given to pedestrians and people with | |
| | | | disabilities, the elderly, the temporarily infirm and parents with young | |
| | | | children. The layout must be appropriate to the use and the context. | |
| | | | 10.14 Safety and security can be promoted through careful design of | |
| | | | buildings and spaces, for example through promoting natural | |
| | | | surveillance. Natural surveillance can take place in a variety of ways, | |
| | | | e.g. overlooking from windows and from people passing by on roads, | |
| | | | open spaces and paths. | |
| | | | 10.15 The term 'outdoor area' includes gardens, amenity space, car | |
| | | | parking areas, and other public spaces. A high quality of detailing and | |
| | | | materials is required. These spaces can strengthen communities by | |
| | | | offering opportunities for recreation and places for people to meet. | |
| | | | Policy EQ9 'Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in | |
| | | | development' deals in more detail with these issues. | |
| | | | 10.16 Buildings and structures should make a positive contribution to the | |
| | | | overall design of a development. Structures include boundary walls, | |
| | | | fences and gate piers, and swimming pools and any swimming pool | |
| | | | enclosures. The design of buildings and structures can have a major | |
| | | | impact on the amenity of adjacent properties, the street scene and the | |
| | | | character of the area. | |
| | | | 10.17 Major and urban edge sites should contain key landmarks, gateways | |
| | | | and varied features to ensure a distinctive development and facilitate | |
| | | | easy access and navigation through the site. It is important that | |
| | | | landscaping is provided to soften the urban edge and improve views | |
| | | | of new development from open countryside. | |
| | | | 10.19 A Design and Access Statement may be required with some | |
| | | | applications. The Council's validation checklist sets out when these are | |
| | | | needed. This should demonstrate how the development will meet the | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| MM | M74 | Policy | criteria in this policy. 10.20 The Council intends to produce supplementary guidance to provide more detail on how this policy will be interpreted. Amend the policy as follows: | To ensure greater clarity and to include |
| | | EQ3 | EO3 ACCESSIBILITY In order to improve accessibility in Sefton, new development must adhere to the following principles: Be located and designed to encourage walking and cycling both within, to and from the site Where practical, be located in areas that are accessible, or are capable of being made accessible, to bus stops and rail stations Be accessible to an existing range of local services and facilities or, where appropriate, be supported by new services and facilities Ensure the needs of all residents and users of services and buildings, including those with limited mobility are met Consider the needs of all residents and users of services and buildings, including those with limited mobility Ensure existing pedestrian and cycle paths are protected and where possible enhanced Ensure the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and all road users is not adversely affected, and Consider the safety of pedestrians, eyelists and all road users, and Comply with the Council's parking standards. Have regard to the Council's parking standards and the recommendations of any submitted Transport Assessment or Transport | protection of existing cycle and footpaths, to reflect discussion during hearings sessions, in response to the representation by the Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Ltd [726] and to take account of the Ministerial Statement March 2015. |
| AM | A122 | 10.22 | Replace the final sentence of paragraph 10.22 as follows: The SPD also sets out when a development will need a Transport | For clarity and to improve readability. |

| Assessment or Transport Statement (see policy IN2 Transport), Green Travel Plans and the Council's agreed parking standards. The SPD provides guidance on undertaking an accessibility assessment (Transport Assessment or Transport Statement) and a Green Travel Plan. The Merseyside parking standards, adopted by the Council in 2009, are also set out in the SPD. AMM A123 Policy EQ4: Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species: AMM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced_ in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AMM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely, to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended), Pelicy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended), Pelicy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended), Pelicy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended), Pelicy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habi | |
|--|-------|
| Trevel Plans and the Council's agreed parking standards. The SPD provides guidance on undertaking an accessibility assessment (Transport Assessment or Transport Statement) and a Green Travel Plan. The Merseyside parking standards, adopted by the Council in 2009, are also set out in the SPD. AM A123 Policy EQ4 Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| guidance on undertaking an accessibility assessment (Transport Assessment or Transport Statement) and a Green Travel Plan. The Merseyside parking standards, adopted by the Council in 2009, are also set out in the SPD. AM A123 Policy EQ4 Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A123 Policy EQ4 Amend part 1 of policy EQ4: Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A123 Policy EQ4 Amend part 1 of policy EQ4: Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A123 Policy EQ4 Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| Development proposals should demonstrate that environmental risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| risks have been evaluated and appropriate measures have been taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AMM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AMM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| taken to minimise the risks of adverse impacts which include amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | .ocal |
| amenity, damage to health and wellbeing, property and the natural environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| environment (including internationally important nature sites) from: Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 As recommended in the Habitation Regulations Assessment of the I Plan. As recommended in the Habitation Regulations Assessment of the I Plan. As recommended in the Habitation Regulations Assessment of the I Plan. As recommended in the Habitation Regulations Assessment of the I Plan. | |
| Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| Add a new policy link to: NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A124 10.27 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.27: Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the first sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| Development proposals need to take into account whether there is an environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| environmental risk and, if there is, how this can be managed, mitigated or reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| reduced, in accordance with this policy, other Local Plan policies and statutory and regulatory requirements. AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | .ocai |
| AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| AM A125 10.29 Amend the second sentence of paragraph 10.29: As recommended in the Habitate Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| Policy NH2 'Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species' is relevant for any development likely to have a significant effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| and species' is relevant <u>for any development likely to have a significant</u> effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| effect on an internationally important nature site or its supporting habitat, in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | UCai |
| in line with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as | |
| | |
| antenued), foncy with frotestion and enhancement of nature sites, phonty | |
| habitats and species' is relevant. | |
| AM A126 10.35 Amend paragraph 10.35 as follows: For clarity | |
| There are national air quality standards that every borough is required to | |
| meet, and Sefton Council monitors air quality across the Borough in relation | |
| to these standards. Where these standards are consistently not met, an Air | |
| Quality Management Area (AQMA) has to be declared. Poor air quality can | |
| be very damaging to residents. There is a clear link between poor air quality | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| | | | issues and respiratory problems health. This policy aims to manage air quality issues linked to development. | |
| MM | M75 | Policy EQ5 | Amend policy EQ5 as follows: EQ5 AIR QUALITY 1. Development proposals must demonstrate that they will not: • Hinder the achievement of Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) objectives and the measures set out in an Air Quality Management Area Action Plan; or Result in a significant worsening of air pollution levels in an Air Quality Management Area or • Hinder the revocation of an Air Quality Management Area by: • introducing significant new sources of air pollutants, or • Introducing new development whose users will be especially susceptible to air pollution, or • Lead to the declaration of an Air Quality Management Area; or • Lead to a significant material decline in air quality. 2. Where appropriate, Managements must incorporate appropriate measures to reduce air pollution and minimise exposure to harmful levels of air pollution to both occupiers of the site and | To take account of discussion during the examination hearings and for clarity |
| MM | M76 | 10.37 | occupiers of neighbouring sites. Amend paragraph: Development must not compromise Sefton's ability to meet national air quality targets, reflected in its AQMAs and Action Plans and other local air quality plans. As well as the individual impacts, the cumulative effects of development within an AQMA or elsewhere will also be taken into account, where in combination they result in a material decline in air quality. And development that would be likely to lead to the declaration of an Air Quality Management Area will not be permitted. The cumulative effects of development within an area will also be taken into account, where in combination they result in air quality getting worse | For clarity, to better reflect the linkages to national air quality targets and policy. |
| MM | M77 | 10.37A | Add two new paragraphs after paragraph 10.37: | To provide guidance on how part 2 of the |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|---------|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Туре | | and | 10.37A When assessing the level of the impact of development | policy will be applied, and to better |
| | | 10.37B | proposals on air quality, relevant issues in relation to both parts of this | reflect national Planning Practice |
| | | 10.376 | policy are likely to include: | Guidance. |
| | | | The 'baseline' local air quality and the location of the | Guidance. |
| | | | development in relation to existing AQMAs or known air pollution | |
| | | | sources or issues; | |
| | | | Whether the proposed development could significantly change | |
| | | | air quality during the construction and operational phases; | |
| | | | Whether there is likely to be a significant increase in the number | |
| | | | of people exposed to a problem with air quality, e.g. when new | |
| | | | housing is proposed in an area known to experience poor air | |
| | | | quality; and/or | |
| | | | Other issues set out in local air quality plans or national Planning | |
| | | | Policy Guidance (PPG). | |
| | | | 10.37B In relation to part 2 of the policy, the type and scale of both | |
| | | | impact assessment and mitigation measures should be proportionate and | |
| | | | will depend on the location of the site, the proposed development and the | |
| | | | likely impact on air quality. Planning obligations or other legal agreements | |
| | | | or mechanisms may be used to secure these measures. Examples of | |
| | | | mitigation include: | |
| | | | Design and layout of development to increase separation | |
| | | | distances from sources of air pollution; | |
| | | | Provision of trees or other green infrastructure to absorb dust | |
| | | | and other pollutants; | |
| | | | Provision or promotion of infrastructure for means of travel | |
| | | | which have a low impact on emissions, including low emissions | |
| | | | <u>vehicles;</u> | |
| | | | Funding contributions towards measures to offset the impact on | |
| | | | air quality arising from new development, including those | |
| | | | identified in local or City Region air quality action plans and low | |
| | | | emission strategies; and/or | |
| | | | Other examples set out in local air quality plans or national Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)'. | |
| MM | M78 | 10.38 | | The paragraph refers to the 4 aug |
| IVIIVI | IVI / 8 | 10.38 | Delete paragraph 10.38: | The paragraph refers to the 'Low |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Further guidance on ways to improve air quality can be found in advice | Emissions and Air Quality Planning |
| | | | prepared by Sefton: 'Low Emissions and Air Quality Planning Guidance | Guidance Note', which is no longer |
| | | | Note'. The note shows ways of designing development to improve air quality | extant. |
| | | | and/or minimise exposure for users of sensitive developments to air | |
| | | | pollution. | |
| AM | A127 | 10.44 | Amend paragraph 10.44 as follows: | To update the Plan and for clarity. |
| | | | The policy sets out a supportive framework to encourage energy efficient | |
| | | | and low carbon design. The government's March 2014 and March 2015 | |
| | | | stream-lining of housing and construction standards means that | |
| | | | requirements for energy efficiency and use of renewable, low carbon or | |
| | | | decentralised energy are now set out in the Building Regulations, which take | |
| | | | account of the government's commitment to achieving zero-carbon homes. | |
| | | | Hence it is no longer appropriate for the Council to have its own Local Plan | |
| | | | policy with local standards or requiring all proposals for non-residential | |
| | | | development to incorporate renewable energy equipment to provide at least | |
| | | | 10% of their predicted energy requirements from renewable sources. The | |
| | | | Council intends to reconsider the need for local requirements if the national | |
| | | | policy context changes in the future. | |
| AM | A128 | 10.46 | Delete the final sentence of paragraph 10.46: | To update the Plan and for clarity. |
| | | | The policy sets out a supportive framework to encourage energy efficient | |
| | | | and low carbon design. | |
| MM | M79 | 10.48 | Replace paragraph 10.48 with the following: | To update the Plan |
| | | | Government proposals set out in July 2014 indicate that while developers | |
| | | | will have to build to at least Level four of the former Code for Sustainable | |
| | | | Homes standard in the near future, in some cases this can be achieved off- | |
| | | | site through the "Allowable Solutions" framework. This would include | |
| | | | insulation of existing buildings and small-scale renewable energy schemes, | |
| | | | or the house builder could make a payment into a fund which then invests | |
| | | | in carbon abatement projects sufficient to meet the house builder's zero | |
| | | | carbon obligation. In July 2015 the Government indicated that it would | |
| | | | not be pursuing the 'Allowable Solutions' mechanism for achieving zero | |
| | | | carbon homes in major housing schemes. If the national policy context | |
| | | | regarding use of 'Allowable Solutions' changes in the future, the Council will | |
| | | | encourage development in Sefton which includes 'Allowable Solutions', and | |

| Type Plan Ref. any 'Allowable Solutions' themselves, to be compatible with the Council's energy strategies and plans. These include the Sefton Sustainable Energy Action Plan (SEAP), the Liverpool City Region SEAP or the Sefton Home Energy Conservation Act Plan 2013. MM M80 10.49 Delete paragraph 10.49: To update the Plan | n |
|--|---|
| energy strategies and plans. These include the Sefton Sustainable Energy Action Plan (SEAP), the Liverpool City Region SEAP or the Sefton Home Energy Conservation Act Plan 2013. MM M80 10.49 Delete paragraph 10.49: To update the Plan | n |
| Action Plan (SEAP), the Liverpool City Region SEAP or the Sefton Home Energy Conservation Act Plan 2013. MM M80 10.49 Delete paragraph 10.49: To update the Plan | n |
| MM M80 10.49 Delete paragraph 10.49: To update the Plan | n |
| MM M80 10.49 Delete paragraph 10.49: To update the Plan | n |
| | n |
| | |
| The Council recommends that, as far as possible, development in Sefton | |
| which includes 'Allowable Solutions' should be compatible with the Council's | |
| energy strategies and plans, such as the Sefton Sustainable Energy Action | |
| Plan (SEAP), the Liverpool City Region SEAP or the Sefton Home Energy | |
| Conservation Act Plan. | |
| AM A129 10.53 Amend the final sentence as follows: To update the Plan | n |
| It also links to the forthcoming national requirements for developers to | |
| make sustainable drainage set out in the National Planning Policy | |
| Framework and other national policy guidance. applications, to be | |
| determined by the Council, and for Council adoption of approved SuDS built | |
| in accordance with the approved scheme under Section 32 and Schedule 3 | |
| of the Flood and Water Management Act 2010. | |
| MM M81 Policy Amend policy ED8 as follows: In response to a residue to a solution of the control of the contro | |
| EQ8 Inspector to seek t | |
| | ncy on the previously ations to the policy, and |
| | Local Flood Authority. |
| | ency with the NPPF and |
| | Guidance, to reflect |
| | hearings sessions and |
| | representations by |
| passed. Within the site, uses with the greater vulnerability to Countryside Proper | |
| | s Lancashire [P.715] |
| it is demonstrated that there are overriding reasons why this and United Utilities | |
| should not take placein accordance with national policy. Within the | C [, _]. |
| site, buildings must be located in the areas at lowest risk of | |
| flooding. | |
| | |
| 2. Development proposals must not increase flood risk from any | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|--------|
| Турс | | r idir itor. | sources within the site or elsewhere, and where possible should reduce the causes and impacts of flooding flood risk. | |
| | | | 2A. Development proposals must incorporate an integrated approach to the management of flood risk, surface water and foul drainage. | |
| | | | 2B. Ground floor and basement access levels of all development should be a minimum of 600mm above the 1 in 100 annual probability fluvial flood level or the 1 in 200 annual probability tidal flood level with an allowance for climate change, taking into account the presence of defences and the residual risks of failure of those defences. | |
| | | | 2C. Ground floor and basement access levels of all development should be a minimum of 300mm above the 1 in 100 annual probability surface water flood level with an allowance for climate change. | |
| | | | Surface water management | |
| | | | 3. In addition to the national requirements, site-specific Flood Risk Assessments will also be required for all development on sites of 0.5 hectares or more in Critical Drainage Areas as defined in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Site-specific Flood Risk Assessments will be required for all development on sites of 0.5 hectares or more in Critical Drainage Areas as defined in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. | |
| | | | 4. Where reasonably practicable, development must incorporate sustainable drainage systems to manage surface water flooding run-off within the site, so that: a) Surface water run-off rates and volumes are reduced by 20% (compared to the pre-existing rates) for sites covered by buildings or impermeable hard surfaces, and for greenfield | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | sites do not exceed greenfield rates. b) Surface water discharge is targeted using a sequential approach, and proposals to for the attenuated discharge of surface water into anything other than the ground must demonstrate why the other sequentially preferable alternatives cannot be implemented: i. Into the ground (infiltration) ii. Into a watercourse or surface water body, iii. Into a surface water sewer, or | |
| | | | iv. Into a combined sewer.c) Above ground, natural drainage features rather than engineered or underground systems are used. | |
| | | | 5. Sustainable drainage systems must be designed to provide effective drainage for properties and their capacity must take account of the likely impacts of climate change and likely changes in impermeable area within the site over the lifetime of the development. Sustainable drainage systems and any water storage areas must control pollution and should enhance water quality and existing habitats and create new habitats where practicable. Sustainable drainage systems and any water storage areas must control pollution and should enhance water quality and existing habitats and create new habitats where practicable. | |
| | | | 5A. Suitable arrangements for long-term access to and operation, maintenance and management of sustainable drainage systems must be incorporated within development proposals. This includes both surface and subsurface components of sustainable drainage systems, over the lifetime of the development. | |
| | | | 6. Development on an area which is an adopted Sustainable Drainage System or has a formal flood risk management function is acceptable in principle where the development proposals do not reduce the ability of the area to manage the surface water or flood risk. | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| AM | A130 | 10.55 | Amend the first sentence as follows: Regarding Section part 1 of the policy, the National Planning Policy Framework and National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) set out the 'sequential test' and subsequent 'exception test' approach which must be followed to make sure that development is located within areas at lowest risk of flooding from all sources (except in relation to most changes of use or minor developments). | For consistency |
| AM | A131 | 10.57 | Add 'only' before 'limited weight' | For clarity |
| MM | M82 | 10.57A | Add a new paragraph 10.57A after paragraph 10.57: Paragraph 103 of the Framework says that development proposals should not increase flood risk elsewhere, and paragraph 100 says that local plans should use opportunities offered by new development to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding. Part 2 of the policy reflects this. Where development proposals include raising ground levels in areas where surface water or flood water flows or collects (including Flood Zones 2 and 3), compensatory reductions in ground levels within the site must also be included. That is, where infilling of the flood plain or sustainable drainage systems is proposed, flood storage must be provided to compensate for this, including an allowance for climate change. This is to make sure that areas next to the site or further away do not suffer from increased surface water or flood levels. | To provide clarity about the requirements about compensation for the loss of flood storage. |
| AM | A132 | 10.58 | Replace 'section 2' with 'part 2' and 'section 4(a)' with 'part 4 a)' | For clarity |
| MM | M83 | 10.58A | Add a new paragraph 10.58A after paragraph 10.58: Part 2A reflects the need for an effective, integrated, approach to management of flood risk, surface water and foul drainage. This would include assessment of potential interactions and the most effective ways of managing these, in combination, rather than considering each in isolation. Paragraphs 10.60 to 10.61 are also particularly relevant in this context. Parts 2B and 2C are based on the recommendations in the 2013 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, and need to make sure that development is safe. | In response to a request by the Inspector. Also to reflect concerns that an integrated approach to the management of flood risk, surface water and foul drainage is important for all development, and so is more appropriate in policy EQ8 than the text formerly included as a proposed modification to Appendix 1. |
| MM | M84 | 10.59 | Amend the first sentence as follows: <u>The Framework sets out the national requirements for site-specific Flood</u> | To ensure consistency with the NPPF |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Risk Assessments. Part 3 sets out additional requirements, Section 3 is | |
| | | | based on the recommendations in the 2013 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment | |
| | | | and the 2011 Surface Water Management Plan and reflects the significance | |
| | | | of surface water flood risk in Sefton. | |
| MM | M85 | 10.60 | Replace paragraph 10.60 as follows: | To update the text to reflect the |
| | | | It is recognised that Sections 4 and 5 may be difficult to achieve for some | Government's agreed approach national |
| | | | changes of use or extensions. Regarding section 4, aApplicants should refer | policy regarding sustainable drainage |
| | | | to the National Good Planning Practice Guidance, National Planning Practice | systems |
| | | | Guidance, Ministerial Statement (December 2014) and Defra's Non- | |
| | | | Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems (2015), | |
| | | | CIRIA's SuDS Manual and local guidance on sustainable drainage systems | |
| | | | (SuDS), and should set out the arrangements for on-going maintenance. It | |
| | | | may be that the most sustainable form of surface water drainage varies between different parts of a development site, including where a site | |
| | | | | |
| | | | includes areas covered by buildings or impermeable hard surfaces as well as undeveloped 'greenfield' areas, or due to the site's topography. In these | |
| | | | cases the applicant must incorporate the most sustainable drainage option | |
| | | | for each different part of the site within the overall SuDS drainage scheme. | |
| | | | It is recognised that Sections 4 and 5 may be difficult to achieve for some | |
| | | | changes of use or extensions. Regarding sustainable drainage systems and | |
| | | | parts 4, 5 and 5A of the policy, applicants should refer to national and local | |
| | | | guidance on sustainable drainage systems (SuDS), and should set out the | |
| | | | arrangements for on-going maintenance. It may be that the most | |
| | | | sustainable form of surface water drainage varies between different parts of | |
| | | | a development site, including where a site includes areas covered by | |
| | | | buildings or impermeable hard surfaces as well as undeveloped 'greenfield' | |
| | | | areas, or due to the site's topography. In these cases the applicant must | |
| | | | incorporate the most sustainable drainage option for each different part of | |
| | | | the site within the overall drainage scheme. It is recognised that Parts 4, 5 | |
| | | | and 5A may be difficult to achieve for some changes of use or extensions. | |
| ММ | M86 | 10.60A | Add a new paragraph 10.60A after paragraph 10.60: | To update the text to reflect the |
| | | | The guidance includes the National Planning Practice Guidance, National | Government's agreed approach national |
| | | | Planning Practice Guidance, Ministerial Statement (December 2014) and | policy regarding sustainable drainage |
| | | | Defra's Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage | systems |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | Systems (2015), and CIRIA's SuDS Manual. | |
| AM | A133 | 10.61 | Replace 'section 4b)' with 'part 4b)' | For consistency |
| MM | M87 | 10.61A - 10.61C | Add the following new paragraphs after paragraph 10.61: 10.61 A It may also be necessary to co-ordinate the delivery of infrastructure improvements. In the case of the larger development sites, it may be necessary to ensure that the delivery of development is guided by United Utility's strategies which ensure coordination between different developments and phases over lengthy periods of time by numerous developers. 10.61B Regarding part 5A of the policy, it should not be assumed that Sefton Council or a Parish or Town Council will adopt or maintain any sustainable drainage system. The applicant will be expected to make sure that suitable arrangements and legal agreements are in place, for the lifetime of the development, for access to and operation, maintenance and management of sustainable drainage systems. The Council will need to be satisfied that these are in place before planning permission is granted. 10.61C The Council would usually expect these arrangements and legal agreements to include planning conditions, legal agreements and legal and other mechanisms which, for the lifetime of the development: a) Clarify who will be responsible for management and maintenance of the sustainable drainage system and how this will be funded b) Provide contact details of the responsible body to the lead local flood authority c) Recognise that the maintenance and management schedules and requirements of the sustainable drainage system are integral parts of that system and so will also form part of the approved sustainable drainage system are integral parts of that system and so will also form part of the approved sustainable drainage system to be implemented. This includes procedures for monitoring and review. d) Recognise that all of the items in c) above, and material changes to any of the items in c) above, must be agreed in writing by the Council before they are implemented. | To respond to United Utility's representation (P.722). For clarity, and to ensure consistency with Planning Practice Guidance. |

| _ocal | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Plan Ref. 10.62 | Amend paragraph 10.62 as follows: Section Part 6 recognises the need to retain the flood risk management functions of existing SuDS or flood risk management schemes, adopted by the Council and of other areas which have a formal flood risk management function, for example those shown as flood or surface water storage areas within planning permissions, or operating as such. Such areas are part of Sefton's strategic green infrastructure network. | To update the text to reflect the Government's agreed approach regarding sustainable drainage systems and for clarity |
| Policy EQ9 | Replace part 1 of policy EQ9: Proposals for 50 or more new-build homes, or which are part of a phased development for a site of 50 or more new homes, must provide appropriate high quality—new public open space. Appropriate high quality new public open space of at least 40 square metres per new-build home must be provided for the following developments: Proposals for 150 or more new-build homes Proposals for 11 to 149 new-build homes on sites which are more than 2 kilometres from a main park or Countryside Recreation Area Add a new part 1A to the policy: 1A. This new public open space must be provided within the site unless it can be demonstrated that enhancement of off-site open space is more appropriate, in terms of: a) The type and density of housing development and site size, or b) Proximity to existing main, neighbourhood and community parks, or c) Other site-specific factors. Amend part 3 of the policy: Development proposals which includes new public open space must incorporate suitable arrangements for long-term management and, maintenance of, and public access to, the new open space. | To respond to Sport England's representation page 27 [P.725], and in the light of the Open Space and Recreation Study [Examination Library document OP.3] and of existing provision distribution, and for clarity. |
| 1 | Plan Ref. 0.62 | Amend paragraph 10.62 as follows: Section Part 6 recognises the need to retain the flood risk management functions of existing SuDS or flood risk management schemes, adopted by the Council and of other areas which have a formal flood risk management function, for example those shown as flood or surface water storage areas within planning permissions, or operating as such. Such areas are part of Sefton's strategic green infrastructure network. Replace part 1 of policy EQ9: Proposals for 50 or more new-build homes, or which are part of a phased development for a site of 50 or more new homes, must provide appropriate high quality new public open space. Appropriate high quality new public open space of at least 40 square metres per new-build home must be provided for the following developments: Proposals for 150 or more new-build homes Proposals for 150 or more new-build homes Proposals for 11 to 149 new-build homes on sites which are more than 2 kilometres from a main park or Countryside Recreation Area Add a new part 1A to the policy: 1A. This new public open space must be provided within the site unless it can be demonstrated that enhancement of off-site open space is more appropriate, in terms of: a) The type and density of housing development and site size, or b) Proximity to existing main, neighbourhood and community parks, or c) Other site-specific factors. Amend part 3 of the policy: Development proposals which includes new public open space must incorporate suitable arrangements for long-term management and; |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | Links to, or extensions of existing public rights of way, strategic paths or cycleways will be supported required where they improve the accessibility of an existing community or a development site. | |
| MM | M89 | 10.65 | Amend paragraph 10.65 as follows: Parts 1Sections 1—and 1A2 of the policy aim to secure appropriate new public open space provision in relation to new housing development. 18 square metres per person, or New-build homes include homes in Use Classes C3 and C4: houses, bungalows, and flats and Houses in Multiple Occupation. Conversions are excluded from any count of new build homes on a site. Parts 1 and 1A also apply to proposals for less than 150 homes which are part of phased development for a site of 150 or more new homes. | In line with the changes to the policy and in the light of the Open Space and Recreation Study |
| MM | M90 | 10.65A | Add a new paragraph after paragraph 10.65: In part 1 the figure of 40 square metres per home is based on the findings of the Open Space and Recreation Study 2015. It does not include provision for new outdoor sports, which may need to be factored in once the Playing Pitch Strategy has been approved. The threshold figure of 150 new-build homes and the extent of accessibility deficiency areas are also based on the findings of the Open Space and Study 2015. The Council considers that new open space must be at least 0.6 hectares in size, also based on this 2015 Study. While the type of public open space provided should take into account the criteria in part 1A, plus existing local open space provision including identified shortfalls, the Council would generally expect an equipped play area be provided. New public open space must be integrated into the development site and provided to a high design quality, and where appropriate and practicable should provide other green infrastructure benefits, such as tree planting, flood or water storage areas or new habitats. | In line with the changes to the policy and in the light of the Open Space and Recreation Study |
| MM | M91 | 10.66 | Amend paragraph 10.67 with the following: Part 1A of the policy recognises that there may be some circumstances where on-site provision of new public open space may not be appropriate. However, it is recognised that this is dependent on tIhe type, (e.g. family homes, flats, care homes etc) and size (number of bedrooms) of new homes may be relevant., and that in some cases a lower standard of on-site or off-site provision may be more appropriate. It is also recognised that | In line with the changes to the policy and in the light of the Open Space and Recreation Study |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | with higher density housing schemes, on-site provision of new open spacear lower level of open space provision may be acceptable where the application of the open space standards would prejudice the delivery of an otherwise acceptable scheme. The type of public open space provided should take into account the needs of future residents of the development, site-specific factors and existing local provision including shortfalls identified in 2015 Sefton Recreation and Open Space study or strategy. New public open space should be provided to a high design quality and where appropriate and practicable should provide other green infrastructure benefits, such as flood or water storage areas or new habitats. There may be situations where the Council and developer agree that the site is too small to accommodate appropriate public open space, especially for smaller sites in accessibility deficiency areas. There will also be situations where development sites are close to existing public parks and other open space, for example next to a main park, within 1 kilometre of a neighbourhood park or within 400 metres of a community park, as set out in the Open Space and Recreation Study | |
| MM | M92 | 10.67 | Replace paragraph 10.67 with the following: In these circumstances it may be more appropriate for these existing parks to be enhanced. Even where a site of more than 10 homes is within an accessibility deficiency area, as set out in the Open Space and Recreation Study 2015, site—specific factors may mean it is more appropriate to enhance existing open space or its accessibility from the site. This will be secured through Section 106 planning obligations where these meet the tests set out in Regulations 122 and 123 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 [as amended] and paragraph 204 of the Framework, other legal agreements, or other appropriate delivery mechanisms. In almost all cases this new public open space should be provided within the development site. However, where the development site is within 1 kilometre's walking distance of an existing neighbourhood park, or where the Council and developer agree that the site is too small to accommodate appropriate public open space, it may be acceptable instead for the developer to contribute to the improvement of the neighbourhood park or to other local public open space. | In line with the changes to the policy and in the light of the Open Space and Recreation Study and to reflect the range of appropriate delivery mechanisms |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | | |
| AM | A135 | 10.68 | Amend paragraph 10.68 as follows: | For clarity, to correct a factual |
| | | | It is recognised that some housing developments (particularly developments | inaccuracy, and to reflect the Habitats |
| | | | of less than 150 dwellings) may not provide site-specific solutions to offset | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | | the impact of recreational pressure on the internationally important nature | Plan. |
| | | | sites on the Sefton Coast. However, Sefton Council's management of its | |
| | | | parks and playing field assets generally encourages use of sites away from | |
| | | | the Coast. The Council's management of its Countryside Recreation Area | |
| | | | assets, its role within the Sefton Coast Landscape Partnership and the | |
| | | | management activities of other partners, continue to manage access to, and | |
| | | | visitor pressure on, coastal sites. Policy EQ9 and notably the retention, | |
| | | | This provision and enhancement of public open space within or closegood | |
| | | | access to housing development sites in Sefton - in most cases away from | |
| | | | the Sefton Coast - also makes an important contribution to managing | |
| | | | recreation pressure on the internationally important nature sites on the | |
| | | | Sefton Coast. This is important in helping Sefton to meets its commitments | |
| | | | under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as | |
| | | | amended Habitats Regulations 2010 (as amended). | |
| AM | A136 | 10.68A | Add a new paragraph 10.68A after paragraph 10.68: | In line with the changes to the policy and |
| | | | It should not be assumed that Sefton Council or a Parish or Town Council | in the light of the Open Space and |
| | | | will adopt any new open space. Part 4 makes clear that the applicant will | Recreation Study |
| | | | be expected to make sure that legal agreements, suitable plans and | |
| | | | arrangements are in place for long-term management, maintenance and | |
| | | | public access to the site. The Council will need to be satisfied that these are | |
| | | | in place before planning permission is granted. | |
| AM | A137 | 10.69A | Add a new paragraph 10.69A after paragraph 10.69: | For clarity and to update the Plan |
| | | | The Council intends to prepare a Public Open Space Supplementary | |
| | | | Planning Document to set out more detailed guidance relating to new public | |
| | 1100 | 10-0 | open space and its design. | |
| AM | A138 | 10.70 | Replace 'section 4' with 'part 4' | For consistenct |
| AM | A139 | 10.73 | Amend the second sentence as follows: | For clarity |
| | | | While tree cover in Sefton is generally relatively low but has increased | |
| | | | significantly through the Mersey Forest, street trees and other urban trees | |
| | | | are very significant because of these benefits. | |
| AM | A140 | 10.76 | Amend the final sentence as follows: | To update the Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|----------------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | The Council intends to prepare a Supplementary Planning Document to set | |
| | | | out more detailed guidelines for new Public Open Space, Landscaping and | |
| | | | Trees in the Design Supplementary Planning Document. | |
| MM | M93 | Policy EQ10 | Amend policy ED10 as follows: EQ10 FOOD AND DRINK | To establish Council's position on controlling food and drink uses, and in particular, Class A5 uses in the vicinity of schools and colleges, as a result of the |
| | | | 1. Proposals for food and drink uses in the town, district and local centres will only be permitted where they are located so as to meet all of the following criteria: | discussion at the hearings. |
| | | | They would not cause significant harm to local amenity They would not result in unacceptable groupings of similar | |
| | | | uses where they would harm the character of the area, the | |
| | | | vitality and viability of a centre or shopping parade or harm public health, and | |
| | | | Any external ventilation and extractor systems do not: | |
| | | | a. Significantly harm the external appearance of the | |
| | | | building or the street scene | |
| | | | b. Harm the residential amenity of neighbouring | |
| | | | properties through noise or odours. | |
| | | | 2. Proposals for food and drink uses in or adjacent to Primarily | |
| | | | Residential Areas and/or close to school and educational | |
| | | | establishments will not be permitted where they cause significant | |
| | | | harm to living conditions for local people, encourage unhealthy | |
| | | | lifestyle choices in local people or harm the residential character of | |
| | | | the local area. In order to address the problem of obesity amongst | |
| | | | children, proposals for hot food takeaways [Class A5 uses] within | |
| | | | 400 metres of secondary schools and further education | |
| | | | establishments will not be permitted. Exceptions will be made | |
| | | | where: | |
| | | | It is located within a designated town, district or local centre; | |
| | | | or The premises are not open until after 1700 hours. | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| AM | A141 | Policy EQ10 | Insert an additional policy link: • EQ1 Planning for a Healthy Sefton | For consistency and clarity |
| MM | M94 | 10.78 | Amend paragraph 10.78 as follows: Food and drink uses have the potential to have particular impacts upon the visual and residential amenity of an area and to the health of local communities. They may be acceptable within the Primarily Residential Area, if they do not harm residential amenity. this Part 1 of this policy specifically refers to food and drink uses as covered by classes A3-A5 of the Use Classes Order, that is, Restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments and hot food takeaways. Part two of this policy refers to Use Class order A5, i.e. hot food takeaways. This policy does not apply to shops [Use Class A1] which sell food, such as groceries or sandwiches and other cold food, for consumption off the premises. | For clarity so that the explanation relates better to parts 1 and 2 of the policy. |
| MM | M95 | 10.79 | Amend paragraph 10.79 as follows: Premises selling food and drink have the potential to have particular impacts upon the visual and residential amenity of an area. They may be acceptable within the Primarily Residential Area, if they do not harm residential amenity. In order to protect the amenity of neighbouring occupiers, conditions will be used to restrict opening hours. | Paragraph 10.79 has been amended to justify proposed modifications to EQ10.2 as a result of health concerns in Sefton |
| MM | M96 | 10.79A | Add new paragraph 10.79A after paragraph 10.79: In line with paragraph 69 of the NPPF, achieving high quality design and a healthy environment is a key objective of the Sefton Local Plan. The Borough has a higher rate of obese children than England as a whole and this policy seeks to control hot food takeaways within the vicinity of schools and further education establishments. Hot food takeaways typically sell low cost, energy-dense meals with little nutritional value that can contribute towards obesity and its ensuing health issues. When implemented alongside other policies and initiatives, controlling access to A5 uses around schools can contribute towards reducing rates of obesity. | Paragraph 10.79A has been inserted to justify proposed modifications to EQ10.2 as a result of health concerns in Sefton |
| AM | A142 | 10.81 | Amend paragraph 10.81 as follows: The Council intends to prepareis preparing supplementary guidance on this issue, to set out in more detail how this policy will be implemented, in the form of the 'Hot Food Takeaways and Betting Shops' SPD. Where evidence | To remove the reference to Article 4 Direction |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----------|----------|----------------|---|--|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | that proliferation of food and drink uses are potential causing harm localised areas, the Council will consider restricting the scope to change uses under | |
| AM | A143 | 10.84 | Add the following sentence to the start of paragraph 10.84: The policy does not override the need to apply for listed building consent where required. This includes Lord Street, Southport which is within a conservation area and the verandas, which are designated heritage assets. Advertisements within or adjacent to Heritage Assets can be particularly harmful if they are not displayed sensitively. The verandahs in the Lord Street Conservation Area (in Southport) are a distinctive feature and present particular problems with inappropriately designed advertisements. | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |
| Chapt | er 11 Na | tural and h | eritage assets | |
| MM | M97 | 11.1A | Add new paragraph and heading at the start of chapter 11: 11.1A Part A of this chapter sets out the strategic and other policies for all of Sefton's environmental assets. Then Part B sets out the strategic and other policies for Sefton's heritage assets. Insert subheading: | To respond to the discussion at the hearings. |
| | | | PART A NATURAL ASSETS | |
| AM | A144 | 11.1 | Amend paragraph: Sefton has an outstanding environment which helps to make it distinctive, and which is valued by local residents, businesses and visitors. Both nNatural and heritage assets are important components of this environment and should be retained and enhanced. Opportunities to achieve this will come through development proposals and other initiatives by the Council, its many partners and other organisations, with a range of funding sources. Recent and continuing initiatives include the refurbishment of the historic Kings Gardens, Southport, and woodland planting and the update of the Sefton Coast Management Plan. | To respond to the discussion at the hearings and to update plan |
| AM | A145 | 11.2 | Amend the first bullet point as follows: <u>Natural habitats, d</u> D esignated nature sites and priority habitats and the ecological network, notably the Sefton Coast | For consistency with the change to policy NH1. For clarity and to reflect the legislation relating to these sites. |
| MM | M98 | 11.3 - 11.4 | Delete paragraphs 11.3 and 11.4: 11.3 Sefton's distinctive heritage assets include the 'Classic Resort' of | To respond to the discussion at the hearings. Paragraph 11.3 is re-inserted |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| Туре | | rian ker. | Southport, the historic centres of settlements such as Churchtown, Little Crosby and Waterloo and the recently discovered traces of the Mesolithic village found in the Lunt area. 11.4 This chapter sets out the strategic policy for all of Sefton's environmental assets. Then it sets out the policies for Sefton's natural | at the start of the new 'PART B HERITAGE ASSETS' section of the chapter – see below. |
| | M99 | Policy NH1 | Amend policy NH1 as follows: NH1 ENVIRONMENTAL_NATURAL_ASSETS 1. Sefton's natural and heritage assets together with its landscape character should continue to contribute to the Borough's sense of place, local distinctiveness and quality of life. Development proposals and other initiatives should contribute positively towards achieving this. 2. A hierarchical approach will be taken to the protection and enhancement of Sefton's natural and heritage assets, according to their designation and significance. 3. Development should seek to protect and manage Sefton's natural assets (including natural habitats, sites and Ecological Network and green infrastructure). Where possible, development should: • Maintain, restore, enhance or extend these natural assets; and • Create new habitats and green infrastructure, and • Secure their long-term management of these natural assets. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs. To separate out the policy relating to heritage assets. |
| | | | 4. Where it has been demonstrated that appropriate protection or retention of natural assets cannot be achieved, and there are no alternatives, mitigation and/or as a last resort compensatory provision will be required. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|------------------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | 5. The main priorities are, improving access, quality, linkages and habitat within the city region ecological network (including the Nature Improvement Area), improving access to and the quality of public open space and other outdoor facilities available to the public and urban trees. | |
| | | | 4. Sefton's heritage assets should be protected from losses and harmful changes to their, fabric and features or in their settings. Development should: Secure the long-term future of the heritage asset Be designed to avoid harm Be of a high quality design which is sympathetic to the historic context of the heritage assets affected Incorporate proposals for proper repair and re-instatement of historic features and/or involve work which better reveals the significance of Sefton's heritage assets and their settings | |
| | | | Where losses are unavoidable, recording, analysis and reporting must be undertaken where appropriate. | |
| MM | M100 | 11.5A – 11.5D | Add the following new paragraphs after paragraph 11.5: 11.5A Sefton's natural assets include the designated nature and geological sites and Priority Habitats which comprise the Core Biodiversity Area that underpin the Liverpool City Region (LCR) Ecological Network. Paragraph 9 of the Framework recognises that pursuing sustainable development includes moving from a net loss of biodiversity to achieving net gains for nature, in line with wider Government policy set out in 'Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services' (2011). Other national policy for nature conservation is set out in paragraphs 109 to 119 of the Framework. This complements legal duties and requirements for nature conservation set out in a range of legislation including the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs |
| | | | Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended. 11.5B The internationally important nature sites are the most important | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | features of the City Region's outstanding natural environment and network of green infrastructure as set out in the LCR Ecological Network. In line with the hierarchical approach, these sites have rigorous policy and legal protection and should only be developed where there are no alternative solutions, there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest and where there has been appropriate mitigation and / or compensatory provision. 11.5C The key priorities for nature and geology in the City Region are: • To manage our natural assets better – to protect the integrity of nature sites of international importance in the City Region, and to protect the City Region's nature and geodiversity assets; and • To make sure there is no net loss of these natural assets and to extend and enhance the City Region's Ecological Network and natural assets in line with the National Planning Policy Framework. 11.5D The LCR Ecological Network draws together the evidence (for example, nature site designations and Priority Habitats) and indicates strategic priorities and opportunities in Sefton and across the City Region. Many natural assets occur at a landscape-scale and cross local authority boundaries. Neighbouring areas of Lancashire, Greater Manchester and Cheshire are currently preparing Ecological Networks, which will allow a more integrated approach between Sefton and adiacent local authorities. | |
| AM | A146 | 11.6 | Delete the first sentence: Sefton's natural assets include the designated nature and geological sites and priority habitats and associated features which are part of the emerging Liverpool City Region (LCR) ecological network. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs |
| MM | M101 | 11.7 | Replace paragraph 11.7 with the following: The local authorities in the Liverpool City Region are jointly preparing an ecological network. This draws together evidence and indicates strategic priorities and opportunities for habitat creation and enhancement in Sefton and across the sub-region including in a Nature Improvement Area (NIA). This is in line with national guidance, and recognises that many natural | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| Type | | Plati Ket. | assets occur on a landscape scale, across local authority boundaries. Neighbouring areas of Lancashire, Greater Manchester and Cheshire are currently preparing ecological networks. Discussions between City Region authorities, partners and neighbouring areas including through Nature Connected (the Government-recognised Local Nature Partnership) have resulted in a more integrated approach between Sefton and adjacent local authorities. The local authorities in the City Region have worked together to prepare the LCR Ecological Network as joint evidence and to help plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale. Discussions with neighbouring areas through Nature Connected, the Government-recognised Local Nature Partnership, have enabled wider connections beyond the City Region to be made. | |
| MM | M102 | 11.7A | Add a new paragraph 11.7A after paragraph 11.7: The LCR Ecological Network includes a Core Biodiversity Area of designated nature and geological sites and Priority Habitats. It also includes linking networks and opportunities for further habitat creation and enhancement. The linking networks and opportunities for further habitat creation and enhancement are set out in seventeen Nature Improvement Focus Areas which together make up the LCR Nature Improvement Area. See www.meas.org.uk/1263 for more information. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs |
| MM | M103 | 11.8 | Replace paragraph 11.8 with the following: The local authorities in the City Region, Natural England, and other partners also continue to work together to manage visitor pressure on the Sefton Coast. Sefton's recent and current initiatives to help manage visitor pressure are set out in the explanation to policies EQ9 'Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development', NH4 'The Sefton Coast and Development' and NH5 'Protection of public open space and Countryside Recreation Areas'. The local authorities in the City Region continue to work together, and are committed to helping manage visitor pressure on the internationally important designated sites. This is a response to the ongoing Habitats Regulations Assessment process for their respective development plans. The opportunities identified in the LCR Nature Improvement Area provide a mechanism that helps focus and manage visitor pressure on the Sefton coast and at other internationally | To respond to the representation from Wirral MBC's comments [P.594] and to reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | important nature sites within the City Region appropriately. More | |
| | | | information about the hierarchical approach to the protection and | |
| | | | enhancement of Sefton's designated sites, Priority Habitats, Priority Species | |
| | | | and protected species, according to their designation and significance, is set | |
| | | | out in Policy NH2. | |
| AM | A147 | 11.9 | Add additional third bullet point as follows: | To reflect the model policies agreed by |
| | | | and Countryside Recreation Areas (which are also accessible nature space)_ | the Merseyside LPAs |
| | | | including some parts of the Sefton Coast | , and the second |
| AM | A148 | 11.10 | Amend the final sentence: | For clarity |
| | | | Green infrastructure <u>helps</u> reduce air pollution, and air temperatures locally, | |
| | | | and helps to reduce and manage flood risk. | |
| AM | A149 | 11.14 | Delete paragraph 11.14: | To reflect discussion during hearings |
| | | | Sefton's heritage assets are also a finite resource which once lost cannot be | sessions |
| | | | replaced. More information about Sefton's approach to its conservation, | |
| | | | enhancement and public enjoyment of its heritage assets is set out in | |
| | | | policies NH9-NH14 at the end of this chapter. | |
| AM | A150 | Policy | Amend the third sentence of part 1 of policy NH2: | To correct a typographical error, and to |
| | | NH2 | Development which may adversely affect the integrity of | reflect the Liverpool City Region-wide |
| | | | internationally important sites will only be permitted where there | policy approach. |
| | | | are no alternative solutions and there are imperative reasons of | 1 3 11 |
| | | | overriding public interest, and where suitable compensatory | |
| ' | | | provision has been made. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Amend part 2 of the policy as follows: | |
| | | | Development which may affect other designated sites of nature | |
| | | | and/or geological conservation importance, or Priority Habitats, and | |
| | | | legally protected species and/or Priority Species will be permitted | |
| | | | where it can be demonstrated that there is no significant harm. | |
| | | | where it can be demonstrated that there is no significant name. | |
| | | | Amend the third bullet of part 3 of the policy as follows: | |
| | | | Priority Habitats: where the reasons for and the benefits of | |
| | | | development on balance clearly outweigh the impact on the nature | |
| | | | conservation value of the habitat and its broader contribution to the | |
| | | | LCR Ecological Network. where the reasons for and the benefits of | |
| | 1 | | Lon Louisia Network. Where the reasons for and the benefits of | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | development on balance outweigh the habitat's broader | |
| | | | contribution to the ecological network. | |
| | | | Add a new fourth bullet point to part 3 of the policy as follows: | |
| | | | Protected and Priority Species: where it is demonstrated that no | |
| | | | significant harm will result. | |
| | | | Replace the final paragraph of part 3 of the policy as follows with new parts 4 and 5: | |
| | | | For national and local sites, where it has been demonstrated that | |
| | | | significant harm cannot be avoided appropriate mitigation or, as a | |
| | | | last resort, replacement or other compensation will be required, to | |
| | | | accord with the hierarchy of sites. The location of appropriate | |
| | | | mitigation, replacement or other compensation will be targeted, | |
| | | | using a sequential approach, as follows: | |
| | | | Within the development site | |
| | | | In the immediate locality and / or within the core biodiversity | |
| | | | area | |
| | | | Within a Nature Improvement Area within the Borough | |
| | | | Within a Nature Improvement Area elsewhere in the | |
| | | | Liverpool City Region, and lastly, | |
| | | | • Elsewhere. | |
| | | | Where it has been demonstrated that significant harm cannot be | |
| | | | avoided, appropriate mitigation, replacement or other | |
| | | | compensatory provision may be required, to accord with the | |
| | | | hierarchy of sites. The location of appropriate mitigation, | |
| | | | replacement or other compensatory measures will be targeted. | |
| | | | using a sequential approach as follows: | |
| | | | • On site; | |
| | | | Immediate locality and / or within the Core Biodiversity Area; | |
| | | | LCR Nature Improvement Area within the Borough; and lastly | |
| | | | LCR Nature Improvement Area outside the Borough. | |
| | | | Replace part 4 of the policy with new part 6: | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Development proposals which affect sites of nature conservation | |
| | | | importance, priority habitats and/or priority or legally protected | |
| | | | species must be supported by an ecological appraisal showing | |
| | | | details of avoidance, mitigation and/or compensation, and | |
| | | | management. Development proposals which affect sites of nature | |
| | | | conservation importance, Priority Habitats, legally protected species | |
| | | | or Priority Species must be supported by an Ecological Appraisal | |
| | | | and include details of avoidance, mitigation and / or compensation, | |
| | | | and management, where appropriate. | |
| | | | Replace part 5 of the policy with new part 7: | |
| | | | This policy also applies to sites which are recognised and | |
| | | | designated during the Plan period as being of nature conservation | |
| | | | importance, including land provided as compensation under this | |
| | | | policy. Plan policies apply to other sites recognised during the Plan | |
| | | | period as being of nature conservation Importance, including land pro | |
| AM | A151 | Policy | Add new context: | |
| | | NH2 | <u>Circular 06/2005 'Biodiversity and Geological Conservation</u> | |
| AM | A152 | 11.17 | Amend paragraph 11.17 as follows: | To reflect the model policies agreed by |
| | | | In line with paragraph 117 of the National Planning Policy Framework, the | the Merseyside LPAs |
| | | | LCR Ecological Network includes a Core Biodiversity Area of designated | |
| | | | nature and geological sites and Priority Habitats, linking networks and | |
| | | | opportunities for further habitat creation or enhancement. Five of the | |
| | | | seventeen Nature Improvement Area Focus Areas in the LCR are located | |
| | | | wholly or partly in Sefton. Within the Core Biodiversity Area in Sefton, there | |
| | | | is a hierarchy of designated sites and habitats (see appendix 2): The policy | |
| | | | focus, hierarchical approach and strategic priorities are in line with national | |
| | | | guidance and legislation. The hierarchy of designated sites, priority | |
| | | | habitats, and priority or legally protected species and their significance is | |
| | | | set out in the policy and is: | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----|------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| AM | A153 | Plan Ref. 11.17A – 11.17B | Add two new paragraphs after paragraph 11.17: 11.17A The Core Biodiversity Area also include Priority Habitats and Species, which are 'habitats and species of principal importance' for the conservation of biodiversity in England. They are identified as being the most threatened and in need of conservation action. Further guidance is available in the Nature Conservation Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). 11.17B The Council, together with other public bodies (such as the Environment Agency), has a duty under section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (NERC) Act 2006 to conserve biodiversity when carrying out its normal functions. This 'biodiversity duty' includes Priority Habitats and Species. Priority Habitats sit outside the designated site hierarchy and may be of national (e.g. Ancient woodlands) or, sometimes, local importance. Legally protected species are those which have specific protection under legislation (e.g. badgers, bats). The Nature Conservation SPD provides examples of priority and protected species in Sefton. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs |
| AM | A154 | 11.18 11.18A - 11.18D | Amend paragraph 11.18 as follows: An Ecological Appraisal, which should be carried out by a suitably qualified experienced ecologist, must support planning applications which affect sites of nature importance and / or Priority Habitats and Species. The details required are set out in the Nature Conservation SPD designated nature or geological sites and / or priority habitats and species. Add four new paragraphs after paragraph 11.18 as follows: 11.18A Policy NH2 sets out the hierarchical approach to the protection and enhancement of Sefton's designated nature and geodiversity sites, Priority Habitats and Species and legally protected species. It also sets out how the strategic priorities of managing the natural assets better and making sure | For clarity and to reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs and the Lancashire Lancashire Wildlife Trust representation page 4 [P329] alongside Natural England comments |
| | | | there is no net loss of these assets will be achieved. 11.18B For each level of the hierarchy, where there may be potential adverse effects for internationally important nature sites, or significant | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|-------------|
| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | harm for other sites and Priority Habitats, the policy also sets out the relative weight which will be given to the reasons for and the benefits of development and the impact on the nature conservation value of the site and its broader contribution to the LCR Ecological Network. For Sites of Special Scientific Interest, significant harm includes adverse effects on the site's notified special interest features, in line with paragraph 118 of the Framework. In such cases for each level of the hierarchy, the policy also sets out the approach to appropriate mitigation, replacement or other compensatory provision. The advice of suitably competent persons, such as ecologists, should be sought by applicants and the decision maker in relation to this policy. The focus on significant harm and the approach regarding avoidance, mitigation, replacement or other compensatory provision to secure no net loss of biodiversity is in line with principles set out in the Framework, Planning Practice Guidance, Circular 06/2005 'Biodiversity and Geological Conservation' and 'Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services'. | Reason |
| AM | A156 | 11.21 | which affect internationally important nature sites. It is expected that very few development schemes would meet these requirements. 11.18D Development proposals and the decision making process on planning applications should also take into consideration the fact that some habitats, such as ancient woodland and veteran trees, are irreplaceable because of their age and complexity and cannot be recreated once they are lost. Amend the first sentence as follows: In Sefton priority species include Natterjack toads, Sand lizards, Red squirrels, Whooper swans and Pink-footed geese and bats. | For clarity |
| AM AM | A157 | 11.22 | Change 'Section 1' to 'Part 1'. | For clarity |
| AIVI | A158 | 11.22A | Add a new paragraph after paragraph 11.22: <u>In addition to these provisions planning proposals should take into</u> <u>consideration potential impacts arising from, for example, other sources of</u> | For clarity |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | pollution, increased human disturbance or hydrological changes. | |
| AM | A159 | 11.23 | Amend the first sentence as follows and add a new second sentence to paragraph 11.23: The Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan (<u>notably</u> in its Appendix) identifies a number of allocated sites where a site-specific Habitats Regulations Assessment will need to accompany any planning application. These requirements are also set out in Appendix 1 of this Plan and the explanations to policies MN3 'Strategic Mixed use allocation – Land east of Maghull', MN6 'Land at Brackenway', MN6A'Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown', MN8 'Safeguarded Land' and HC5 'Planning for gypsies and travellers'. | For clarity regarding site specific requirements, and to reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| | | | Amend 'Habitat Regulations Assessment' to 'Habitats Regulations | |
| | | | Assessment' in the fifth sentence | |
| AM | A160 | 11.24 | Change 'Section 2' to 'Part 2'. | For consistency. |
| AM | A161 | 11.26, 11.26A – 11.26C | Replace paragraph 11.26 with the following three paragraphs: 11.26 Section 3 of the policy sets out the approach to avoidance, mitigation, and, as a last resort, compensation. The Council will prepare a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to provide more guidance on mitigation, compensation and enhancement. This will apply to both designated sites and habitats outside the designated sites that support species listed as being important in the designations of the internationally important sites. In this policy compensation means provision in kind, for example similar habitat elsewhere which supports the same range of species, rather than financial compensation. It is crucial to the strategic priority of 'no net loss' 11.26A Part 3 of the policy sets out the approach to mitigation, and as a last resort, compensation. Here, compensation means compensatory provision, and may include financial compensation, where appropriate. It is crucial to the priority of no net loss that appropriate mitigation or, as a last resort, compensatory provision is made. The Nature Conservation SPD sets out in more detail how this should be achieved. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs, and to reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | 11.26B It is important that the location of appropriate mitigation, replacement or other compensatory provision follows the sequential approach set out in the policy. This seeks to target such measures as close as possible to the development site. In some instances the immediate locality of the site may include nearby sites in West Lancashire or another district. The Nature Conservation SPD sets out more detail about this. 11.26C Some proposed development sites have been identified as supporting species listed as being important in the designations of the internationally important sites. The Nature Conservation SPD sets out more detail about how the provision of functionally linked compensatory habitat for the loss of supporting habitat for pink-footed geese and other bird species recognised as being important to the international nature sites designations should be achieved, and how this relates to the sequential approach. In Sefton supporting habitats include feeding and roosting areas for Pink-footed goose, swans and a range of wading birds, taking into | |
| AM | A162 | 11.27 | Amend paragraph 11.27 as follows: To comply with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended Habitats Regulations 2010 (as amended), compensation for internationally important sites must be made before development begins, as set out in the policy. More information is set out in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan, and the Nature Conservation SPD. For other designated sites or species, mitigation, replacement or other compensation can take place as part of the development (during the development process). This compensation may be provided by the applicant direct, or through another person or organisation, such as a local land manager. It is sometimes termed 'biodiversity offsetting'. The Nature Conservation SPD will-includes examples of how habitat for mitigation or compensation could be provided and manager. | For clarity, and to reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| AM | A163 | 11.28 | be provided and managed. Amend paragraph 11.28 as follows: Landowners and farmers in Sefton play a local role in managing land which includes important habitats, principally for farmland birds, and pink-footed geese and other birds referred to in the Special Protection Area | For clarity and to respond to Natural England comments |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | designations, or land in the Nature Improvement Area. Sefton Council owns or manages a number of sites, including much of the Sefton Coast. Partner organisations who own or manage such sites in Sefton include the Environment Agency (such as Lunt Meadows washland), the National Trust and Natural England (on the Sefton Coast), the Lancashire Wildlife Trust (such as north of Formby), the Canal and Waterways Trust (the Leeds and Liverpool Canal) and Mersey Forest and the Forestry Authority (for example Town Lane country park). In relation to the Sefton Coast the focus is first on avoiding impacts; where this is not possible mitigation options will be explored, including providing alternative functionally linked habitat for Special Protection Area/Ramsar species. The SPD will include examples of mitigation options, particularly in relation to pink footed geese. | |
| MM | M104 | 11.28A – 11.28B | Add two new paragraphs after the sub-heading 'Enhancement': 11.28AThe sequential approach for the location of appropriate mitigation, replacement or other compensatory provision should also be followed for other enhancements. 11.28BPolicy NH3 'Development in LCR Nature Improvement Areas' below, provides more information about the LCR Nature Improvement Area (NIA) and NIA Focus Areas. The Nature Conservation SPD sets out more detail about this. | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs, and to reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| AM | A164 | 11.30, 11.31 | Delete paragraphs 10.30 and 10.31 and replace them with the following: 11.30 There will be opportunities for the Council and landowners or managers referred to in paragraph 11.28 above, to enhance these habitats or create new habitats including for farmland birds and pink-footed geese. This will enhance the ecological network and/or the Nature Improvement Area (NIA). This could be through changes to how green spaces are managed or habitat creation at a larger scale, funded from a variety of sources. More guidance will be set out in the SPD. 11.31 The purpose of the NIA, in line with the Framework, is to focus the opportunities for creating and enhancing habitats in order to achieve the greatest gains. This may include: mitigation, compensation or changes in | To reflect the model policies agreed by the Merseyside LPAs |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | land management. Thus the NIA offers a solution which enables sustainable growth and housing needs to be met without compromising Sefton's or the City Region's environmental assets. It is anticipated that funding would | |
| | | | come from a variety of sources. 11.30A The Council will encourage opportunities for habitat enhancement | |
| | | | within development proposals within the Nature Improvement Areas. These opportunities may be linked to, but should be additional to or include | |
| | | | biodiversity provision, within wider green infrastructure provision or to the provision of water quality measures or sustainable drainage systems. These opportunities range, for example, from larger scale habitat creation within | |
| | | | larger sites (such as wetland habitat linked to surface water management (SuDS) or flood risk storage areas) to smaller scale habitat creation on | |
| | | | smaller sites (such as 'bat boxes', bulb planting).11.30B Sefton Council owns or manages a number of sites. Other key | |
| | | | partners who own or manage nature sites in Sefton include the Environment Agency, Mersey Forest and National Trust. Other landowners and farmers also play a local role in managing land which includes important habitats, | |
| | | | principally for a range of farmland birds and overwintering birds, such as Lapwing, Curlew and Pink-footed geese and animals, such as bats, Water vole, Brown hare and otter, amphibians and reptiles. | |
| | | | 11.30C Additionally, there will be opportunities for the Council, together | |
| | | | with its partners, to enhance Sefton's natural assets, and with it, the green infrastructure network. The Council will also encourage other opportunities arising from development to enhance appropriate areas focusing on the LCR | |
| | | | Nature Improvement Area (NIA) which is part of LCR Ecological Network. This could be through changes to land management practices for land in their control as well as habitat creation at a larger scale. It is anticipated | |
| MM | M105 | Policy | that funding would come from a variety of sources. Replace policy NH3 with the following: | To reflect the LCR Nature Improvement |
| | | NH3 | NH3 DEVELOPMENT IN THE NATURE IMPROVEMENT AREA | Areas |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|------------------------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Development proposals within the Nature Improvement Area will be | |
| | | | permitted where they complement the identified opportunities for | |
| | | | habitat creation and / or habitat management, and are consistent | |
| | | | with other policies in the Plan. Development within the Nature | |
| | | | Improvement Area will be permitted where it: | |
| | | | Enables the functioning of the Nature Improvement Area; | |
| | | | Contributes to the opportunities for habitat creation and / or | |
| | | | habitat management as set out in the NIA Focus Area | |
| | | | profiles; and | |
| | | | Is consistent with other policies in the Plan. | |
| AM | A165 | 11.32 | Amend paragraph 11.32 as follows: | To update the Plan |
| | | | This policy, together with other Plan policies and the Nature Conservation | |
| | | | proposed Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) seeks the enhancement | |
| | | | of Sefton's natural assets, including restoring or adding to natural habitats | |
| | | | and other landscape features, and the creation of habitats where | |
| | | | appropriate. | |
| MM | M106 | <u>-</u> | Delete paragraph 11.33 and insert four new paragraphs: | For clarity and to update the Plan |
| | | 11.3A-D | 11.33 The Nature Improvement Area shown on the Policy Map is that part | |
| | | | of the Liverpool City Region Nature Improvement Area which is within | |
| | | | Sefton. In total there are sixteen NIA Focus areas in the City Region | |
| | | | NIA, covering a range of habitats (these are listed in Appendix 2). | |
| ĺ | | | 11.33AThe Liverpool City Region Nature Improvement Area (NIA), in line | |
| | | | with paragraph 117 of the National Planning Policy Framework, is an | |
| | | | integrated and prioritised framework for targeting opportunities for habitat | |
| | | | creation and enhancement in the area where the greatest gains are likely to | |
| | | | be achieved. This may include biodiversity offsetting, mitigation, | |
| | | | compensation or changes in land management. Thus the NIA offers | |
| | | | solutions which enables sustainable growth and housing needs to be met | |
| | | | without compromising Sefton's or the City Region's natural assets. | |
| | | | 11.33BNature Improvement Areas have been proposed by Government as | |
| | | | the principal mechanism for restoring and managing wildlife. They are | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | intended to achieve significant enhancements to ecological networks by providing opportunities to improve existing wildlife sites, build ecological connections and restoring ecological processes. Delivering at a landscape-scale, these areas should connect with their local economies and communities. 11.33C There are 17 NIA Focus Areas across the City Region, 5 of which are in Sefton [see appendix 2 and the emerging Nature SPD]. When taken together they combine to form the LCR NIA. 11.33D The NIA boundary will be kept up-to-date as part of the Local Plan evidence. This will enable future opportunities to be taken account of. Future reviews of the LCR Ecological Network will be in accordance with an agreed monitoring process. Each NIA Focus Area has been mapped and is | |
| | | | also supported by a detailed NIA Focus Area profile which can be used to inform and guide use of the development management policies as well as the activities of other landowners, managers and other interests. Both the NIA Focus Area maps and profiles will be included within the Ecological Network evidence base. | |
| AM | A166 | Policy NH4 | Amend the policy links as follows: MN7 Sefton's Green Belt ED1 The Port and Maritime Zone ED6 Tourism ED8 Southport Seafront NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species | For clarity |
| AM | A167 | Figure 11.2 | Amend the Coastal Change Management Area map to the west of Formby | To update the Plan |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | Southport Formby Southport | |
| AM | A168 | 11.45 | Amend the first sentence of paragraph 11.45: Some coastal leisure facilities in Sefton are at risk from coastal change, notably Blundellsands Sailing Club at Hightown, and a substantial part of the National Trust's site at Formby Point. | To respond to the National Trust representation page 2 [P.663] |
| AM | A169 | 11.46 | Amend the third sentence of paragraph 11.46: Sefton's Countryside Recreation Areas offer important opportunities for informal recreation in a countryside, coastal or woodland setting. | For clarity |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----|------|--------------------|---|--|
| AM | A170 | Plan Ref. 11.47 | Amend the final sentence of paragraph 11.47: Countryside Recreation Areas, shown on the Policy Map, are also important, and are also key components of Sefton's green infrastructure. | To provide clarity, and consistency with changes to paragraph 11.9 |
| AM | A171 | Policy NH5 | Amend the bullet points of part b of policy NH5 as follows: An assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the public open space or outdoor sports facilities to be surplus to Sefton's standardsrequirements, or The loss of public open space or outdoor sports facilities resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location, or The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss. | For clarity |
| AM | A172 | 11.49 | Amend the penultimate sentence and delete the final sentence of paragraph 11.49: <u>Such sites of 0.2 hectares or more Most open space</u> in the urban area <u>is-are</u> shown on the Policy Map. <u>Outdoor sports sites smaller than 0.25 hectares in the urban area (mostly bowling greens and tennis courts) are not shown on the Policy Map although the policy applies to them.</u> | To provide clarity and consistency with policy EQ9 |
| AM | A173 | 11.50 | Amend the bullet points as follows: Of this open space, Sefton's public open space in the urban area, comprises: • Main parks, neighbourhood Public parks (including local parks) (including local parks Blundellsands Key Park and community parks (including formal play areas, public nature sites in the urban area and larger, public amenity green spaces) • Public playing fields, pitches and outdoor sports sites • Public mature sites • Public water bodies used for recreation such Crosby Marine Lake, Southport Marine Lake and the canal and its towpath. • Allotments • Larger public amenity green spaces • Public cemeteries in Bootle and Netherton, Southport and Thornton. | For clarity |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Public open space in the Green Belt includes the canal and its towpaths and some more formal parks or outdoor sports sites. | |
| AM | A174 | 11.50A | Add new paragraph 11.50A after paragraph 11.50: Public open space in the Green Belt includes the canal and its towpaths, and some more formal parks or outdoor sports sites, notably Fleetwood Road playground, Birkdale Common, Birkdale Cemetery and Liverpool Road Recreation Ground, Ainsdale, in Southport; Balls Wood Park, Leatherbarrow Lane, in Maghull; St Catherine's Abbey, Lydiate; and The Delph, Melling. | For clarity |
| AM | A175 | 11.52 | Amend paragraph 11.52 as follows, including adding a list of sites in the Green Belt which are subject to this policy: Sefton's other outdoor sports and recreation facilities which are available to the public include sports club pitches and outdoor sports sites which are used by local teams and sports' leagues, allotments and Blundellsands Key Park. This includes bowling greens within the grounds of some of Sefton's public houses and sports or other club sites. These are identified within approved or forthcoming Recreation and Playing Pitch Strategies as being used by local leagues or teams; so are schools' outdoor sports facilities where there are formal agreements for use by local sports teams, clubs or organisations. Other outdoor sports and recreation sites available to the public which are in the Green Belt and so not shown on the Policy Map include: • Blundell Lane and Birkdale allotments, Southport, Hoggs Hill Lane allotments in Formby, Queensway allotments, Crosby and Beach Road allotments in Bootle • Four outdoor sports sites south of Altcar Lane, Formby, on Sandy Lane, Hightown, plus the Northern Club, Chaffers Fields and Brook Vale playing fields in Crosby, Buckley Hill playing fields in Bootle • Sefton Arms bowling green, Sefton Village. • The Moss Lane allotments, located close to Southport's eastern boundary, are also part of Sefton's leisure provision, but as they are in West Lancashire this Local Plan does not apply to them. | For clarity and in the light of the Inspector's Initial Findings regarding Land South of Formby Industrial Estate. |
| AM | A176 | 11.53 | Amend paragraph 11.53 as follows: | For clarity |
| | | | While churchyards, to which the public have has access for walking, cycling | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | or quiet contemplation, may have informal recreation value, these sites are mainly designated as Primarily Residential Area on the Policy Map. | |
| MM | M107 | 11.54 | Replace paragraph 11.54 with the following: The most recent Seften Green Space Strategy (2008) and Recreation and Open Space Study (2009) remain the basis for assessing existing local provision in relation to this policy, until they are superseded by updated studies or strategies. while Policy EQ9 'Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' sets out the current basis for judging appropriate provision of public open space in new housing development. The forthcoming Playing Pitch and Open Space and Recreation Strategies may result in revised standards, to guide the application of policy NH5 and EQ9. The most recent Open Space and Recreation Study or Strategy, Playing Pitch Strategy and Non-Pitch Sports Strategy are the basis for assessing whether public open space or outdoor sports facilities are surplus to requirements, as set out in the first bullet point of part 1 b) of the policy. Where replacement provision for facilities that are not surplus to requirements is necessary under the second bullet point of part 1 b), appropriate delivery mechanisms, such as legal agreements, must be in place before planning permission is granted. Replacement provision may involve the development of new open space or sports facilities, or a significant improvement in the quality of existing facilities such that they are capable of accommodating much greater usage, for example through the provision of artificial '3G' playing pitches for sports use. Policy EQ9 'Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development' sets out the current basis for judging appropriate provision of public open space in new housing development. | In response to requests by the Inspector to refer generically to the most recent studies and strategies, and to set out more clearly how replacement provision should be achieved, including in relation to allocations under policy MN2 'Housing, employment and mixed use allocations' |
| AM | A177 | 11.56 | Add a new penultimate sentence: Hesketh Golf Course is a Site of Special Scientific Interest and Local Wildlife Site and Local Geological Site. | For clarity |
| AM | A178 | 11.61 | Amend final sentence as follows: Greater enhancements may be needed where the wider landscape is degraded, for example close to major route corridors (such as the M58, M57 and railway) or within areas which were formerly landfill sites such as the Rimrose Valley (between Crosby and Bootle) and Sefton Meadows (south of | For clarity |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Maghull). | |
| MM | M108 | Policy | Amend part 1 of policy NH8: | To respond to comments |
| | | NH8 | To minimise the need for minerals extraction, the use of recycled, | by the Minerals Product Association |
| | | | secondary and substitute materials will be encouraged. Mineral | [719], to reflect that the Port has |
| | | | Safeguarding Areas have been defined for deposits of sub-alluvial | permitted development rights and to |
| | | | sand and windblown silica sand (The Shirdley Hill Formation). | include the text agreed as part of the |
| | | | Mineral resources found to be present on sites intended for | Statement of Common Ground with the |
| | | | development will be expected to be extracted for beneficial use | MPA, and t |
| | | | prior to development taking place, unless special circumstances can | To reflect the discussion at the hearings. |
| | | | be demonstrated that justify proceeding without prior extraction. | To include in the policy, the resolution |
| | | | Within Mineral Safeguarding Areas, as shown on the policy map, | that Sefton will seek the highest |
| | | | proposals for development will not be permitted unless: | standards when determining applications |
| | | | | for shale gas. |
| | | | • It is compatible with safequarding the mineral; or | |
| | | | • the applicant can demonstrate that the mineral concerned is no | |
| | | | longer of any value or potential value; or | |
| | | | • the mineral can be extracted satisfactorily prior to the | |
| | | | development taking place; or | |
| | | | • the development is of a temporary nature and can be completed | |
| | | | and the site restored to a condition that does not inhibit | |
| | | | extraction; or | |
| | | | there is an overriding need for the development; or | |
| | | | • the development is included on the list of exempt developments | |
| | | | in figure 11.2A | |
| | | | Amend part 2of the policy as follows: | |
| | | | Existing, planned and potential infrastructure supporting the | |
| | | | aggregates industry will be safeguarded from inappropriate | |
| | | | development. This includes strategic rail freight links and sites for | |
| | | | concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other | |
| | | | concrete products and the handling, processing and distribution of | |
| | | | substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material. Proposals | |
| | | | for non-mineral related development that may threaten, lead to the | |
| | | | loss of or damage to, the functioning of safeguarded infrastructure | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|---|
| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | or locations will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that: • An alternative site within an acceptable distance can be provided, which is at least as appropriate for the use as the safeguarded site; and • It can be demonstrated that the infrastructure no longer meets the current or anticipated future needs of the minerals, building and construction industry or the waste management industry. The Port of Liverpool and strategic rail freight links suitable for the movement of minerals will be safeguarded from inappropriate development. Proposals for non-mineral related development that may threaten the functioning of the wharfage of the Port of Liverpool, transport links or other infrastructure through which minerals are landed, processed (including secondary and recycled materials) and trans-shipped, will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that: • The infrastructure is not required for mineral purposes, and is unlikely to be so in the future, or • There is an overriding case for development taking place, or • Equivalent alternative infrastructure capacity exists which is able to meet commercial needs. Amend second bullet of part 4 of the policy as follows: Air and water quality Add new part 5 of the policy as follows: In determining shale gas applications Sefton will seek the highest levels of environmental, health and social protection and benefit consistent with prevailing national policy and regulation, including that relating to Environmental Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment. Compliance with industry best practice standards as defined by United Kingdom Onshore Oil and Gas | Reason |
| DADA | M100 | 11.63 | (UKOOG) will also be expected. | To woon and to common onto but the Minarala |
| MM | M109 | 11.63 | Replace paragraph 11.63 with the following: | To respond to comments by the Minerals |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-------------------------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | Sefton has no active aggregate or industrial mineral extraction sites or any known resources considered likely to be commercially viable during the period covered by the plan. Therefore no mineral safeguarding areas are defined. Sefton has a number of active materials' recycling facilities that supply recycled and substitute materials to the construction market. The Port of Liverpool and Sefton's transport infrastructure facilitates the landing and transhipment of minerals, including aggregates from marine and onshore sources. Policy NH8 provides for these facilities to be safeguarded in the interests of facilitating the continuing supply of minerals. Sefton Council participates actively in the NW Aggregates Working Party and subscribes to the national Managed Aggregate Supply System through market monitoring and production of an annual Local Aggregates Assessment (LAA). The LAA is produced jointly with other authorities to reflect an aggregates sub-region defined by Government to include Merseyside, Warrington and Greater Manchester. Matters related to minerals reserves and land banks are therefore monitored and reported annually at this sub-regional level through the LAA. This is the principal component of the evidence base to inform Sefton's future role in facilitating the appropriate supply of aggregate minerals. Sefton will maintain its commitment to the Managed Aggregate Supply System through continued representation in the North West Aggregates Working Party. | Product Association [719] and to reflect the discussion at the hearings about the regional hierarchy for aggregates. |
| MM | M110 | 11.63A and 11.63B | Insert two new paragraphs: 11.63A Whilst Sefton has defined Mineral Safeguarding Areas [MSAs], identified on the Policy Map, relating to identified sand resources, these are understood to be of limited scale and scope and not currently commercially viable or likely to become so in the future. The designation of MSAs does not indicate a presumption that resources defined in the MSAs will be worked. However, the policy seeks to avoid sterilisation of aggregate resources through non-mineral development and to encourage mineral resources present on development sites to be extracted where it is sensible to do so. Applications for non-mineral development in the MSAs, which are not listed in Figure 11.2A, will be required to include a Minerals Assessment setting out how it complies with section 1 of the policy. The Mineral Assessment should be proportionate to the situation and should have regard | To respond to comments by the Minerals Product Association [719] and to reflect the discussion at the hearings about the regional hierarchy for aggregates. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|-------------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | to the BGS report 'Mineral Safeguarding in England: good practice advice, | |
| | | | 2011' or any subsequent updates. The Council will provide an Information | |
| | | | Note for developers to provide guidance on mineral safeguarding and | |
| | | | mineral assessments. | |
| | | | 11.63B Safequarding principles will also be applied to transport and other | |
| | | | infrastructure important to the aggregate minerals market. The Port of | |
| | | | Liverpool and Sefton's transport infrastructure facilitates the landing and | |
| | | | transhipment of minerals, including aggregates from marine and onshore | |
| | | | sources. However, as the Port of Liverpool benefits from permitted | |
| | | | development rights for many types of development, safeguarding of | |
| | | | minerals infrastructure would not be enforceable in the port's operational | |
| | | | area. If areas within the Port of Liverpool currently used for minerals | |
| | | | purposes are no longer available for port-related reasons, the Council will | |
| | | | work with the Port to seek a suitable alternative facility within the port | |
| | | | estate. Policy NH8 provides for these facilities to be safeguarded in the | |
| | | | interests of facilitating the continuing supply of minerals. | |
| MM | M111 | Figure | Insert a new figure 11.2A: | For clarity |
| | | 11.2A | | |
| | | | Figure 11.2A Development Types that do not require a Minerals | |
| | | | Assessment | |
| | | | Figure 11.2A | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Development Types that do not require a Minerals Assessment | |
| | | | Applications for less than 10 new homes [or if the number of] | |
| | | | homes isn't known sites less than 0.5 hectare]; | |
| | | | Applications for non-residential development where the floor | |
| | | | space to be created by the development is less than 1,000 | |
| | | | square metres; | |
| | | | Applications for non-residential development on a site having an | |
| | | | area of less than 1 hectare; | |
| | | | Applications that are in accordance with the development plan | |
| | | | where the plan took account of the prevention of unnecessary | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | mineral sterilisation and determined that prior extraction should not be considered when development applications in a MSA came forward; Applications for advertisement consent; Applications for reserved matters including subsequent applications after outline consent has been granted; Prior notifications (telecoms, forestry, agriculture, demolition); Certificates of Lawfulness of Existing Use or Development (CLEUD) and Certificates of Lawfulness of Proposed Use or Development (CLOPUD); Applications for works to trees; Applications for temporary planning permission. | |
| AM | A179 | 11.64 | Amend the first sentence of paragraph 11.64 as follows: There are current licences for onshore oil and gas exploration which cover parts of Sefton, whilst other licenses are due to be awarded by government in 2015. | To update the Plan |
| MM | M112 | 11.65 | Delete paragraph 11.65: Proposals for any development should not lead to proven or potential mineral resources being sterilised. Changes in land use which may jeopardise the minerals resource will be resisted unless the need for the development outweighs the benefits of the mineral resource. | Overtaken by proposed modifications to paragraph 11.63 |
| AM | A180 | 11.66 | Amend paragraph 11.66 as follows: The following constraints are likely to affect any proposed mineral (including hydrocarbon) applications in Sefton: International and national environmental designations, notably internationally important nature sites National heritage designations and assets Urban areas | As recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| AM | A181 | 11.67 | Amend paragraph 11.67 as follows: Applications for the exploration, appraisal and production of minerals may require an Environmental Impact Assessment and/or Habitats Regulations Assessment in relation to internationally important nature sites. Applicants should liaise at the earliest possible opportunity with the Council to | As recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | determine the requirements for these assessments to enable the Minerals Planning Authority to comply with their <u>statutory and</u> regulatory requirements. | |
| MM | M113 | 11.68 | Delete paragraph 11.68: Sefton will maintain its commitment to the Managed Aggregate Supply System through continued representation in the North West Aggregates Working Party and through the annual production of a Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA). This is a principal component of the evidence base to inform Sefton's future role in facilitating the appropriate supply of aggregate minerals. | Overtaken by proposed modifications to paragraph 11.63 |
| AM | A182 | 11.71A | Add a new paragraph 11.71A after paragraph 11.71: Sefton Council is intending to prepare a non-statutory and advisory Good Practice and Expectations Document which will be publicly available to industry and local communities. In addition Sefton Council is seeking to establish a Cross- Regulator Working Group for all shale oil and gas development proposals at all stages. | To respond to the Green Party [P.723] and the Sefton Council Lib Democrat Group [P.488] |
| MM | M114 | 11.72 | Replace the penultimate bullet point with the following: Information to enable Sefton Council as Competent Authority to complete Habitats Regulations Assessment Sufficient information to enable Sefton Council as Competent Authority to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment, in line with the requirements of NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature sites, priority habitats and species | As recommended in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |
| AM | A183 | | Amend subheading: PART B SEFTON'S HERITAGE ASSETS AND BUILT HERITAGE | As part of the restructure of chapter 11 by separating the heritage and natural assets into two subsections. |
| АМ | A184 | 11.75, 11.75A and 11.75B | Split paragraph by moving second part of paragraph to new part 11.75B and adding new paragraph 11.75A: 11.75 Sefton's heritage assets and built heritage make an important contribution to its local distinctiveness, environmental quality, health and well-being and cultural heritage. Sites and buildings which have historic interest are known as 'heritage assets'. These are often regarded as attractive aspects of an area, contribute to local distinctiveness and can be a focus of local pride. | As part of the restructure of chapter 11 by separating the heritage and natural assets into two subsections. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|----------------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | 11.75A Sefton's distinctive heritage assets include the 'Classic Resort' of Southport, the historic centres of settlements such as Churchtown, Little Crosby and Waterloo and the recently discovered traces of the Mesolithic village found in the Lunt area. | |
| | | | 11.75B Heritage assets should be retained and enhanced. Opportunities to achieve this will come through development proposals and other initiatives by the Council, its many partners and other organisations, with a range of funding sources. Recent initiatives include the refurbishment of the King's and South Marine Gardens in Southport. The most important and significant heritage assets have statutory designations. Sefton's designated heritage assets include Listed Buildings, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens and Conservation Areas. These comprise: Over 560 entries on the List of Buildings of Special Historic or Architectural Interest including more than 830 individual buildings. 25 Conservation Areas 5 Parks and Gardens on the national register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest 13 Scheduled Monuments. | |
| MM | M115 | Policy NH9A | Insert a new policy and explanatory text: NH9A HERITAGE ASSETS 1. Sefton's heritage assets together with its historic landscape character should continue to contribute to the Borough's sense of place, local distinctiveness and quality of life. Development proposals and other initiatives should contribute positively towards achieving this. 2. The Council will seek to protect the significance of Sefton's heritage assets and their settings. Opportunities will be pursued to enhance heritage to reinforce the identity of the distinctive towns, villages and rural landscapes within Sefton. | To respond to the discussion at the hearings and incorporate previously approved modifications to Policy NH1 |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----------|-------|-------------|--|---------|
| Type | Iton. | Plan Ref. | Troposed change | RedSoft |
| . , , , , | | T IGIT TOT. | | |
| | | | 3. Key elements which contribute to the distinctive identity | |
| | | | of Sefton, and which will therefore be a strategic priority | |
| | | | for safeguarding and enhancing into the future, include; | |
| | | | | |
| | | | The verandahs throughout Southport, particularly in Lord | |
| | | | Street, which add considerably to its local distinctiveness. | |
| | | | The historic centre, resort and traditional seafront of | |
| | | | Southport including the conservation areas of Lord Street | |
| | | | and Promenade, and their settings. | |
| | | | The spacious planned character of Victorian and | |
| | | | Edwardian suburban conservation areas such as those in | |
| | | | Birkdale, Blundellsands, Christ Church, Moor Park and | |
| | | | Waterloo Park. | |
| | | | Country estates, their countryside settings and associated | |
| | | | villages including Ince Blundell Hall, Crosby Hall and | |
| | | | North Meols Hall. | |
| | | | The dispersed layout and simple rural character of village | |
| | | | conservation areas such as Lunt, Homer Green and Sefton | |
| | | | <u>village.</u> | |
| | | | The open and flat ditched former wetland landscapes. | |
| | | | The broad sands, dune system, pinewoods and habitats | |
| | | | that characterise parts of the Sefton coast, and the | |
| | | | ancient and modern historic features within them such as | |
| | | | the prehistoric footprints and wartime remnants; | |
| | | | The 18th century Leeds and Liverpool Canal, associated | |
| | | | historic features and its setting. | |
| | | | Valued historic green spaces and their key features, | |
| | | | particularly registered Historic parks and gardens, but | |
| | | | also undesignated parkland and cemeteries such as | |
| | | | Crosby Hall and Duke Street Cemetery. | |
| | | | Important archaeological sites such as village and wayside grosses mosted sites Lunt Mosdows and St | |
| | | | wayside crosses, moated sites, Lunt Meadows and St | |
| | | | <u>Catherine's Chapel;</u> | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|--------|
| | | | 4. Designated heritage which is 'at risk' will be a priority for action. Opportunities to secure enhancements to safeguard and sustain these assets will be expected to be taken. 5. Proposals affecting Sefton's heritage assets and their settings should ensure that features which contribute to their significance are protected from losses and harmful changes. Development should therefore: Secure the long-term future of the heritage asset Be designed to avoid harm Be of a high quality design which is sympathetic to the historic context of the heritage assets affected Incorporate proposals for proper repair and reinstatement of historic features and/or involve work which better reveals the significance of Sefton's heritage assets and their settings Where losses are unavoidable, a thorough analysis and recording of the asset should be undertaken. | |
| | | | Key policy links and objectives: Other policies in this chapter | |
| | | | Explanation 11.76A This policy aims to protect, enhance and manage Sefton's strategic heritage assets, taking a proportionate approach according to the designation and significance of the assets. | |
| | | | 11.76B Sefton's heritage assets are a finite resource which once lost cannot be replaced. More information about Sefton's approach to its conservation, enhancement and public enjoyment of its heritage assets is set out in policies NH9-NH14 at the end of this chapter. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | 11.76C Sefton's heritage priorities are set out in part 3 of the policy. The | |
| | | | aspects which contribute to the significance of these assets will be | |
| | | | expected to be retained. Opportunities should be taken to enhance | |
| | | | the character of these key assets through incorporation of relevant | |
| | | | priorities within corporate strategies and masterplans, regeneration | |
| | | | proposals and development. | |
| | | | 11.76D Enhancements might include using an area's heritage as a catalyst | |
| | | | for its regeneration, locating and designing new development such | |
| | | | that it reflects local identity and creates a positive relationship with | |
| | | | heritage assets, or restoring lost historic features and spaces. | |
| | | | 11.76E Sefton has a rich archaeological resource and opportunities to | |
| | | | investigate it during the course of development will be actively | |
| | | | pursued, ensuring sites are not lost without having been explored and | |
| | | | recorded, with an emphasis on public engagement and dissemination | |
| | | | of the findings to increase awareness of the depth of Sefton's past. | |
| | | | 11.76F The Council is producing a Heritage Strategy which will contain a | |
| | | | positive and proactive strategy for Sefton in line with national | |
| | | | guidance. It will include: | |
| | | | An overview of the benefits that Sefton's heritage brings | |
| | | | The features which contribute to Sefton's towns and villages | |
| | | | Action Plans for heritage which is at risk, or vulnerable of becoming including identifying expert unities for exhaustment. | |
| | | | so, including identifying opportunities for enhancement Management proposals for these and for Sefton's conservation | |
| | | | areas which will provide more detailed guidance, and | |
| | | | Identifying opportunities for funding to help enhance heritage | |
| | | | assets and their settings. | |
| | | | 11.74C In addition, the Council intends to develop a fleed list of baritage | |
| | | | 11.76G In addition, the Council intends to develop a 'local list' of heritage assets in accordance with best practice guidelines, enabling local | |
| | | | heritage to be more readily identified and conserved when | |
| | | | development proposals are being considered. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----|------|--------------------|---|--|
| AM | A185 | Plan Ref. 11.77 | Amend paragraph 11.77 as follows: The starting point is the conservation and enhancement of the asset and its setting. Where appropriate, Sefton Council will support proposals for sensitive adaptation or accommodate new uses to secure their long term future, taking into account the requirements of the NPPF and the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. Development proposals should sustain and enhance the heritage value of sites. | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] and to take account of the revisions to the structure of this chapter. |
| AM | A186 | 11.78 | Amend paragraph 11.78 as follows: Development offers the opportunity to enhance the public appreciation and enjoyment of Sefton's historic areas. Where development proposals relate to a heritage site which has deteriorated, or which has been harmed by inappropriate development, opportunities should be taken to reveal the heritage interest of the site better for example to make repairs, reinstate missing architectural features, undo previous inappropriate changes and secure the long term maintenance of the site's features.conserve and enhance the public appreciation and enjoyment of Sefton's environment. Where proposals affect a heritage asset and its setting which has been harmed by inappropriate development, opportunities should be taken to better reveal the significance of the asset, for example to make repairs, reinstate missing architectural features, undo previous inappropriate changes and secure the long term maintenance of the site's features. | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |
| АМ | A187 | 11.79 | Replace paragraph 11.79 as follows: Proposals for the development of a heritage asset will ideally be in support of its 'optimum viable use.' This is the use which is viable, and where the changes are optimum in terms of entailing the least harm to the important features of the heritage asset. The 'optimum viable use' is not necessarily the most profitable use. The most profitable use may be less than optimum in terms of its impact on the heritage asset. Development proposals affecting a heritage asset will ideally be in support of its 'optimum viable use.' This is the use which is viable, and where the changes entail the least harm to the significance of the heritage asset and its setting. The 'optimum viable use' is not necessarily the most profitable one. The most profitable use may be less than optimum in terms of its impact on the significance of the heritage asset. | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-----|------|--------------------|--|--|
| MM | M116 | Plan Ref. 11.80 | Amend paragraph: The advice of suitably qualified persons should be sought by applicants in relation to proposals which are likely to affect heritage assets or their settings. A written 'heritage statement' prepared by a suitably qualified person must accompany all applications that affect designated and non-designated heritage assets or their settings, to show detail how the special heritageits significance value of the site has been taken into account. Through the heritage statement applicants should show that they understand what is special about the significance of the heritage asset and show how the changes proposed might impact on its special featureson it. Sources of information which can be consulted to help find out about the value of a heritage site are varied. They include the Historic Environment Record, local libraries and archives and property deeds. | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |
| AM | A188 | 11.81 | Delete paragraph 11.81: In order to make the most of the benefits of Sefton's historic environment the Council will prepare a Heritage Strategy which will set out a positive and proactive strategy for Sefton, in line with the National Planning Policy Framework, other national guidance and this policy. The Heritage Strategy will include: - Overview of the range of social, cultural and environmental benefits heritage brings - How heritage assets will be conserved, sustained and enhanced - The features which contribute to the character of Sefton's towns and villages - Identifying opportunities for enhancement to heritage assets most at risk through neglect or decay - Identifying opportunities for funding to help enhance heritage assets and their settings. | It has been updated and now appears as paragraph 11.76C. To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |
| AM | A189 | 11.82 | Amend the first sentence of paragraph 11.82: The policy generally seeks to protect designated and non-designated heritage assets from demolition or harm. | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] |
| MM | M117 | Policy NH9 | Amend policy NH9 as follows: NH9 DEMOLITION OR SUBSTANTIAL HARM TO DESIGNATED | To respond to the representation by Historic English [P.648] |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| | | Tan Kei. | HERITAGE ASSETS Development which results in substantial harm to, or demolition of, a designated heritage asset or its setting will not be permitted, unless it can be demonstrated that substantial public benefits outweigh the harm or loss. | |
| AM | A190 | 11.87 | Amend the final sentence of paragraph 11.87: The features of listed buildings which is are desirable to preserve are therefore extensive and varies vary with the type of building and the reasons why it is special. | To correct a grammatical error |
| | M118 | Policy NH10 | Amend policy NH10 as follows: NH10 WORKS AFFECTING LISTED BUILDINGS 1. Works affecting a Listed Building or its setting will only be permitted where: a) Any alterations preserve the historic fabric and features of the building and/or its setting which contribute to its significance are important to it. b) Any new additions are well designed and respect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. c) New development affecting in the building's setting respects and conserves historic and positive existing relationships between the listed building and its surroundings. d) Development does not undermine the long term economic viability of the listed building or otherwise harm options for its long term maintenance. 2. Opportunities should be taken to enhance the significance of a listed building or its setting | To respond to Historic England's representation [P.648] and discussion during hearings sessions |
| | | | 3. Development which harms elements that contribute to the significance of a Listed Building or its setting will not be permitted, | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|----------------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | unless it can be demonstrated that public benefits outweigh the | |
| | | | <u>harm.</u> | |
| MM | M119 | Policy NH11 | Amend policy NH11 as follows: | To respond to the Historic England representation page 10 [P.648] |
| | | | NH11 DEVELOPMENT AFFECTING CONSERVATION AREAS | |
| | | | 1. Development within conservation areas or affecting their setting | |
| | | | will only be permitted where the proposal is of high quality | |
| | | | design and preserves or enhances the character or appearance | |
| | | | of the conservation area or its setting. Development must | |
| | | | ensure that: Changes of use within conservation areas should retain the mix of uses which are characteristic of the area. | |
| | | | retain the mix or uses which are characteristic or the area. | |
| | | | a) Replacement or new features are of an appropriate style | |
| | | | and use materials which are sympathetic to the age, | |
| | | | architecture and features of the affected property | |
| | | | b) Extensions, alterations or additions respect the layout and | |
| | | | historic pattern of development in the conservation area | |
| | | | <u>affected</u> | |
| | | | c) Hard and soft landscape features which contribute to the | |
| | | | historic value of the site to the conservation area are | |
| | | | retained (including historically significant features from | |
| | | | previous uses), and | |
| | | | d) The character of historic boundary treatments, patterns of | |
| | | | trees and planting in the conservation area are retained | |
| | | | and enhanced. | |
| | | | e) Changes of use within conservation areas generally retain | |
| | | | the mix of uses which are characteristic of the area. | |
| | | | 2. Development which affects harms features elements which make | |
| | | | a positive contribution to positively contribute to the significance | |
| | | | of a conservation area or its setting will only not be permitted | |
| | | | where:, unless it can be demonstrated that public benefits | |
| | | | outweigh the harm. | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | a) Replacement or new features are of an appropriate style and use materials which are sympathetic to the age, architecture and features of the affected property b) Extensions, alterations or additions respect the layout and historic pattern of development in the conservation area affected c) Hard and soft landscape features which contribute to the historic value of the site to the conservation area are retained (including historically significant features from previous uses), and d) The character of historic boundary treatments, patterns of trees and planting in the conservation area are retained and enhanced. | |
| | | | 3. Development proposals which provide opportunities to better reveal the significance of conservation areas and their settings will be supported. Where the asset affected is degraded, enhancements will normally be required, which affects sites or features which do not contribute positively to the character or appearance of the conservation area, must enhance the site or conservation area. | |
| AM | A191 | 11.93A | Add a new paragraph 11.93A after paragraph 11.93: The Council has a duty to declare as Conservation areas those areas of Sefton that have special architectural or historic interest, the character of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. Sefton Council has a duty to periodically review its Conservation Areas. As a result Conservation Area boundaries can change over time, and new Conservation Areas may be designated or de-designated. | For clarity and to reflect the discussion during hearings sessions |
| AM | A192 | 11.95 | Replace 'Sections 2' with 'Parts 1' at the start of the paragraph. | For consistency |
| MM | M120 | | Amend part 1 of the policy as follows and add a new part 3: Development within a Registered Historic Park or Garden or affecting its setting will only be permitted where the development must relates well to the elements which contribute to | To respond to the Historic England's representation [P.648] and discussion during hearings |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|--|---|
| | | | significant features of the significance of the historic park or garden, and is be of high quality design, which conserves and enhances is sympathetic to the special interest and function of the site. | |
| | | | Add new part 3: Development which harms elements which make a positive contribution to a Registered Historic Park or Garden or its setting will not be permitted, unless it can be demonstrated that public benefits outweigh the harm. | |
| AM | A193 | 11.103 | Amend the second sentence as follows: There are also three moated sites and, a domestic chapel and associated burial ground. | For clarity |
| MM | M121 | Policy NH13 | Amend policy NH13 as follows: NH13 DEVELOPMENT AFFECTING ARCHAEOLOGY AND SCHEDULED MONUMENTS AND NON_DESIGNATED ARCHAEOLOGY 1. Development affecting, or within the setting of, Scheduled Monuments or nationally important archaeological sites will only be permitted where the development does not detract from the importance of the site harm their significance or setting, unless it can be demonstrated that the development is necessary to deliver public benefits which outweigh the harm. Historically significant relationships between features within the site and between the site and its surroundings must be retained. | To respond to Historic English's representation page 11 [P648], and to address question 7.12 of the Inspector's Matters, Issues and Questions as well as discussion during hearings |
| | | | 2. Where Development development which harms the archaeological interest of buildings, and development on or within the setting of designated or non-designated archaeological sites or their setting (i.e. including buildings and sites recognised as having archaeological potential, where their significance, extent and state are unclear), development will not be permitted unless the benefits of the proposals outweigh the | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Plan Ref. | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------------|------|--------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | a) the nature and value of the archaeology is well understood b) the development has been designed to minimise harm to the archaeology, and c) provision is made for recording, reporting and interpretation where appropriate. | |
| AM | A194 | 11.106 | Amend the first and second sentences of paragraphs 11.106 as follows: Information about Sefton's sites with archaeological interest is held within the Merseyside Historic Environment Record (HER). This is enhanced as new information becomes available This is updated when new sites are discovered. | For clarity |
| AM | A195 | 11.106A | Add a new paragraph 11.106A after paragraph 11.016: Nationally important archaeology sites and their setting should be physically preserved. The preference is also for preservation in situ of archaeology of less importance. Where development could affect archaeological remains considered worthy of preservation in situ, proposals will either be refused or will require modification. Where preservation in situ may not be possible or necessary, provisions should be made to excavate record, analyse and report the archaeology. | For clarity |
| AM | A196 | 11.107 | Amend paragraph 11.107 as follows: Sefton has a variety of non-designated, locally important, heritage assets which include buildings, structures and monuments, historic gardens, green spaces and parks, historic designed, semi-natural and natural landscapes, historic townscapes, or areas of local as well as archaeological sites. The Council intends to develop a 'local list' of heritage assets in accordance with best practice guidelines, so that local heritage can be more readily identified. | For clarity |
| AM | A197 | Policy NH14 | Amend policy NH14 as follows: NH14 DEVELOPMENT AFFECTING NON-DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS | For clarity |
| | | | Development affecting a locally listed asset or its setting, or an | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|--------|-------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | undesignated non-designated heritage asset or its setting, will be | |
| | | | permitted where the aspects of the asset which contribute to its | |
| | | | significance are conserved or enhanced. | |
| MM | M122 | 11.109 | Add a new sentence to the end of paragraph 11.109: | For clarity |
| | | | A balanced judgement will therefore be required to establish the scale of | |
| | | | harm or loss against the significance of the heritage asset. | |
| | | | ion and Monitoring | |
| AM | A198 | 12.1 | Add a new sentence to the end of paragraph 12.1: | To respond to the |
| | | | The Council will use planning conditions and Section 106 and other legal | Lancashire Wildlife Trust's representation |
| | | | agreements to ensure that the requirements of the policies in the Local Plan | page 5 (P.329) |
| | | | <u>are implemented.</u> | |
| AM | A199 | 12.6 | Delete the final sentence of paragraph 12.6: | For clarity and to reflect discussion |
| | | | Monitoring is also central to the mechanisms for criteria based policies, for | during hearing sessions |
| | | | example in order to maintain a five-year housing land supply. | |
| Glossa | ary | | | |
| AM | A200 | Delete the | reference to Lifetime Homes Standard: | This standard is no longer extant |
| | | Lifetime I | lomes | |
| | | | ne Homes' standard is a set of 16 design criteria that provide a model for | |
| | | building ac | cessible, flexible and adaptable homes to support the changing needs of | |
| | | | and families at different stages of life. See | |
| | | http://www | <u>v.lifetimehomes.org.uk/</u> . | |
| AM | A201 | Add a defin | nition of conservation of habitats and species regulations: | For clarity, and to make sure there is |
| | | Conservat | tion of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, as amended (often | consistency with the Nature |
| | | | o as 'the Habitats Regulations') | Conservation Supplementary Planning |
| | | | me is The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, as | Document |
| | | | This implements the Habitats Directive in UK law. The Regulations set out | |
| | | the steps to | o consider when making decisions that affect internationally important sites | |
| | | and Europe | ean Protected Species. | |
| AM | A202 | | end of the Liverpool City Region (LCR) definition: | For clarity, and to make sure there is |
| | | West Lanca | ashire is a non-constituent member of the Liverpool City Region Combined | consistency with the Nature |
| | | Authority. | | Conservation Supplementary Planning |
| | | | | Document |
| AM | A203 | Add a defin | nition of main town centre uses: | For clarity and to |
| | 7,200 | | n Centre Uses | correspond with the definition in the |
| | 1 | IVIGITI TOWN | TOCHE COSCS | correspond with the demillion in the |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------|--------|------------------|--|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | opment (including warehouses, clubs and factory outlet centres); leisure, | Glossary to the NPPF |
| | | | ent facilities, the more intensive sport and recreation uses (including cinemas, | |
| | | | , drive-through restaurants, bars and pubs, nightclubs, casinos, health and | |
| | | | res, indoor bowling centres, and bingo halls); offices; and arts, culture and | |
| | | | velopment (including theatres, museums, galleries and concert halls, hotel | |
| | | | ence facilities). | |
| AM | A204 | | definition for Nature Improvement Area: | To update Plan for clarity and to make |
| l , | | | provement Area | sure there is consistency with the Nature |
| | | | rovement Areas are large, discrete areas that are intended to deliver a step | Conservation Supplementary Planning |
| | | | ature conservation, offer significant improvements for wildlife and people | Document |
| | | | sustainable use of natural resources, provide opportunities to restore and | |
| | | create wildl | ife habitats, and enhance connectivity between local sites. An inter- | |
| | | | network of wildlife habitats intended to re-establish thriving wildlife | |
| | | | and help species respond to the challenges of climate change. | |
| AM | A205 | | ition of net developable area: | To provide clarity as this |
| | | | pable area | phrase is used in policies MN2 and HC3 |
| | | | vailable for residential or employment generating uses excluding major | |
| | | | oads, primary schools, key areas of open space serving a wide area and | |
| | | significant I | <u>buffer strips.</u> | |
| AM | A206 | Add a defin | ition of Social infrastructure: | To respond to the Theatres Trust |
| | | Social infr | <u>astructure</u> | representation (P.599) |
| | | Infrastructu | re that provides for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, | |
| | | recreationa | I, leisure and cultural needs of the community. | |
| AM | A207 | Add a defin | ition of the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI): | For clarity |
| | | Statement | of Community Involvement (SCI) | |
| | | A documen | t which the council produces which sets out how the council will engage with | |
| | | partners, st | akeholders and the local community during the preparation of Development | |
| | | Plan Docum | nents and other policy guidance. | |
| Apper | ndix 1 | | | |
| AM | A208 | | uirements for site specific assessments under the Conservation of Habitats | For clarity, and to reflect the |
| | | and Species | Regulations 2010 (as amended) have been added to the start of this | recommendations of the Habitats |
| | | Appendix: | | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | | ated sites require a site-specific Habitats Regulations Assessment at the | Plan. |
| | | planning ap | plication stage, as set out below, more information is set out in the Habitats | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------|------|----------------------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | Assessment (HRA) of the Local Plan. Information about HRA requirements | |
| | | | th specific policies, safeguarded land and the gypsy and traveller sites | |
| | | | are set out in the explanation to those policies. Other site-specific | |
| | | | ts are set out below. Appropriate species surveys will be required to | |
| | | | the presence/ likely absence of target species where identified. Developers | |
| | | | e that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | |
| | | | Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. For example, a site- | |
| | | | bitats Regulations Assessment for sites within sensitive areas for birds listed | |
| | | | ial Protection Area (SPA) or Ramsar site citations will be likely to require a | |
| | | | ng bird survey during autumn, winter and spring to support any planning | |
| | | | In such cases the site should be surveyed by an experienced ornithologist | |
| | | | nonth from September to March. The provision of replacement habitat will be | |
| | | | the site is established to regularly support over 1% of the SPA/Ramsar | |
| | | | of that species. In some cases the site-specific Habitats Regulations | |
| | | | t may need to focus on impacts on the integrity of the amphibian populations | |
| 0.0.4 | 4000 | | sar site, for example natterjack toads, great crested newts. | Familiarity |
| AM | A209 | | itional bullet point to the requirements for MN2.2 Land at Bankfield Lane, | For clarity |
| l | | Southport | | |
| | | · | account of the Local Wildlife Site designation in meeting the requirements | |
| | | of po | olicy NH2. | |
| AM | A210 | | o MN2.4 Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown has been removed: | Requirement has been superseded by |
| | | MN2.4 Lar | id at Moss Lane – Churchtown Southport | proposed policy MN6A |
| | | Developme | nt of this site must: | · |
| | | | ide for the widening of Moss Lane between the Roe Lane/Mill Lane | |
| | | rour | dabout and Three Pools Waterway, to a minimum width of 6 metres with 2 m | |
| | | wide | footways on either side. This can be achieved within the existing highway | |
| | | bour | ndary. | |
| AM | A211 | Add an add | itional bullet point to the requirements for MN2.5 Land at Crowland | To reflect the Habitats Regulations |
| | | | uthport as follows: | Assessment of the Local Plan |
| | | • ensu | re that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | |
| | | <u>enak</u> | ole the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; | |
| MM | M123 | Amend the | first requirement and add new requirements below MN2.6 Land south of | For clarity, and to incorporate area of |
| | | | arden Centre, Southport | Proposed Open Space, excluding area of |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Proposed Change Plan Ref. | Reason |
|------|------|---|--|
| Type | | take all vehicular access from Benthams Way; and unless other equally or more appropriate vehicular access is agreed by the Council; provide new public open space, flood water storage, and habitat creation on the adjacent land designated as 'Proposed Open Space; meet the requirements of policy NH2, notably in relation to water voles and invasive species; make an appropriate financial contribution towards the provision of a new school playing field for the Bedford Road Primary School; and | high flood risk from allocation |
| АМ | A212 | Add an additional bullet point to the requirements for MN2.7 Land at Lynton Road, Southport as follows: • take account of the Local Wildlife Site designation in meeting the requirements of policy NH2. | For clarity |
| MM | M124 | Add new requirements as follows: MN2.8 Former Ainsdale Hope School, Ainsdale Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. This must include information about the impact of recreational pressure on the integrity of internationally important nature sites; • ensure that the loss of the former playing pitch(es) is addressed consistent with Local Plan policy NH5. This will be achieved via a commuted sum payment (on a per dwelling basis) towards the provision of a new 3G pitch(es) at Meols Park or adjacent land; and • provide new habitat and ecological improvements on the adjacent 'Ecological Improvement Area', the extent of which will be determined following the completion of future evidence. | To reflect the recommendations of the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan and discussion during hearings sessions in relation to playing pitch mitigation and ecology, which are reflected in the Inspector's Initial Findings |
| MM | M125 | Add new requirements as follows: MN2.9 Former St John Stone School, Meadow Lane, Ainsdale Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; • ensure that the loss of the former playing pitch(es) is addressed consistent with Local Plan policy NH5. This will be achieved via a commuted sum payment (on a per dwelling basis) towards the provision of a new 3G pitch(es) at Meols Park or | To reflect discussion during hearings sessions in relation to playing pitch mitigation |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|---|---|
| Type | | Plan Ref. adjacent land. | |
| AM | A213 | Insert a new requirement: MN2.10 Land at Sandbrook Road, Ainsdale Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | To reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan |
| MM | M126 | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. Insert a new requirements as follows: MN2.11 Land south of Moor Lane, Ainsdale Development of this site must: ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; preserve the setting of the Grade II listed Formby House Farmhouse. | As recommended in the Inspector's Initial Findings. |
| АМ | A214 | Insert a new requirement: MN2.13 Land at West Lane, Formby Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. This must include information about the impact of recreational pressure on the integrity of internationally important nature sites. | To reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan |
| MM | M127 | Add new requirements as follows: MN2.14 Former Holy Trinity School, Lonsdale Road, Formby Development of this site must: • be developed for older persons housing / accommodation (reserved for residents aged 55 and over) | To reflect the discussion during the hearings sessions in relation to provision of housing for the elderly in Formby |
| MM | M128 | Insert requirements as follows: MN2.14A Land at Shorrocks Hill, Lifeboat Road, Formby Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. Any planning application at this site should seek to avoid increased recreational pressure upon the SAC and address any likely significant effects upon these habitats as a result of the individual project alone; • secure the long term management of the adjacent woodland Local Wildlife Site between the site and Formby Point Caravan Park, to minimise impact on the | To address discussion during hearings sessions, the decision to allocate this site for housing and public infrastructure and as recommended by the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|-------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. adjacent internationally important nature sites; | |
| | | provide for full public access into the area of woodland between the site and | |
| | | Formby Point Caravan Park in a manner which minimises impact on the adjacent | |
| | | internationally important nature sites; | |
| | | extend the existing Formby no. 52 Bridleway through the woodland area from | |
| | | Lifeboat Road to Alexandra Road; | |
| | | provide a new 200 space public car park in the northern part of the allocation; | |
| | | <u>and</u> | |
| | | provide a new public toilet block adjacent to the car park and secure its long term | |
| 200 | 11100 | <u>management.</u> | T 0 |
| MM | M129 | Insert requirements as follows: | To reflect the discussion during the |
| | | MN2.15 Formby Professional Development Centre, Park Road, Formby The Raven Meols Community Centre is listed as an Asset of Community Value | hearings sessions in relation to this registered Asset of Community Value. |
| | | under the Community Right to Bid provisions of the Localism Act 2011. The | registered Asset of Community Value. |
| | | obligations under the relevant statutory provisions and regulations will need to be | |
| | | met, as will the requirements of policy HC6. | |
| MM | M130 | Amend requirements as follows: | To address a wide range of issues |
| | | MN2.16 Land at Liverpool Road, Formby | discussed during hearings sessions. As |
| | | Development of this site must: | recommended in the Inspector's Initial |
| 1 | | preserve the setting of grade II listed Loveladys Farmhouse and adjacent | Findings. |
| | | buildings by leaving the far west of the site (south of the existing gymnasium) | |
| | | open; and | |
| | | be served by a single point of access onto Liverpool Road, located to the east of the existing drainage ditch that runs south from no. 28 Monks Drive to Liverpool | |
| | | Road; | |
| | | Provide a road up to the land ownership boundary (which comprises a drainage | |
| | | ditch) without leaving any 'ransom strip'. This is to provide access into the | |
| | | western part of the site, and must be completed to an adoptable standard before | |
| | | occupation of the 50 th dwelling; and | |
| | | Identify opportunities to reduce flood risk elsewhere through a site Flood Risk | |
| | | Assessment, and implement any appropriate solutions. | |
| AM | A215 | Add requirement as follows: | As recommended by the Habitats |
| | | MN2.17 Land at Altcar Lane, Formby | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | Development of this site must: | Plan |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Proposed Change | Reason |
|---------|---------|---|--|
| Туре | | Plan Ref. | |
| | | ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. | |
| AM | A216 | Add a requirement as follows: | As recommended by the Habitats |
| | | MN2.18 Power House phase 2, Hoggs Hill Lane, Formby | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | Development of this site must: | Plan |
| | | ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment. | |
| AM | A217 | Add a new bullet point to MN2.19 Land at Andrew's Close, Formby: | As recommended by the Habitats |
| | | ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; | Plan |
| MM | M131 | Add new requirements for MN2.23 Land at Southport Old Road, Thornton: | To reflect discussion during hearings |
| | | ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | sessions in relation to transportation |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; | and vehicular congestion in the |
| | | provide a proportional financial contribution towards the implementation of the | Thornton area |
| D d D d | N/4 2 2 | Add now requirement for MN2 241 and at Halmata. The material | To reflect discussion during be enimal |
| MM | M132 | Add new requirements for MN2.24 Land at Holgate, Thornton: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | To reflect discussion during hearings sessions in relation to transportation |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; | and vehicular congestion in the |
| | | provide a proportional financial contribution towards the implementation of the | Thornton area |
| | | A565 Route Management Strategy (Thornton to Crosby section); | Thermore area |
| AM | A218 | In the 2 nd bullet point relating to site MN2.26, refer to site MN2.25, not MN2.26 | To correct a factual error |
| AM | A219 | Insert a new first bullet point for MN2.28 Land north of Kenyon's Lane, Lydiate: | As recommended by the Habitats |
| | | ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; and. | Plan |
| MM | M133 | Insert the following requirements for: | To address flood and drainage issues |
| | | MN2.30 Land East of Waddicar Lane, Melling | discussed during hearings sessions. As |
| | | Development of this site must: | recommended by the Habitats |
| | | ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to | Regulations Assessment of the Local |
| | | enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; and; | Plan |
| | | identify opportunities to reduce flood risk elsewhere through a site Flood Risk | |
| | | Assessment, and implement any appropriate solutions. | T 11 G 1 1 1 1 1 |
| MM | M134 | Insert the following requirements for: | To address flood and drainage issues |
| | | MN2.31 Wadacre Farm, Chapel Lane, Melling | discussed during hearings sessions. As |

| Mod | Ref. | Local Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. Development of this site must: ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; and identify opportunities to reduce flood risk elsewhere through a site Flood Risk Assessment, and implement any appropriate solutions. This should include improvements to the capacity of the existing watercourse that forms the northern and western site boundaries. | recommended by the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan |
| AM | A220 | Insert a new requirement for MN2.32 Land south of Spencers Lane, Melling: Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; and | As recommended by the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan |
| AM | A221 | Insert a new requirement for MN2.33 Land at Wango Lane, Aintree: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; | As recommended by the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Local Plan |
| MM | M135 | Add new requirements as follows: MN2.36 Former St Raymond's School playing field, Harrops Croft, Netherton Development of this site must: • ensure that the loss of the former playing pitch(es) is addressed consistent with Local Plan policy NH5. This will be achieved via a commuted sum payment (on a per dwelling basis) towards the provision of a new 3G pitch(es) at Litherland Sports Park. | In response to a request from the Inspector and to reflect discussion during hearings sessions in relation to playing pitch mitigation |
| MM | M136 | Add new requirements as follows: MN2.39 Former Daleacre School, Daleacre Drive, Netherton Development of this site must: • ensure that the loss of the former playing pitch(es) is addressed consistent with Local Plan policy NH5. This will be achieved via a commuted sum payment (on a per dwelling basis) towards the provision of a new 3G pitch(es) at Litherland Sports Park. | In response to a request from the Inspector and to reflect discussion during hearings sessions in relation to playing pitch mitigation |
| MM | M137 | Amend requirements for: MN2.41 Former St Wilfrid's School, Orrell Road, Bootle Development of this site must: • ensure that sufficient information is provided with the planning application to enable the Council to make a Habitats Regulations Assessment; • provide pedestrian and cyclist links between Orrell Road and Hawthorne Road to | To clarify that the use of the 40% of the site not allocated for housing, as discussed at the hearings. |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|--|---|--|
| Type | | • take Roa • <u>reta</u> | rove accessibility to the adjacent supermarket; and evehicular access from the existing signal controlled junction on Hawthorne d that serves the adjacent supermarket; and hin and improve the disused football pitches within the site and bring them k into use. | |
| MM | M138 | MN2.45 Fe | equirements as follows: ormer St Mary's Primary School playing fields, Waverley Street, Bootle ure that the loss of the former playing pitch(es) is addressed consistent with al Plan policy NH5. This will be achieved via a commuted sum payment (on a dwelling basis) towards the provision of a new 3G pitch(es) at Litherland orts Park. | In response to a request from the Inspector and to reflect discussion during hearings sessions in relation to playing pitch mitigation |
| AM | A222 | The following at the application of the application | Site-specific Habitats Regulations Assessments Ing allocated sites will require a site-specific Habitats Regulations Assessment ication stage: 2.4 Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown 2.5 Land at Crowland Street, Southport 2.8 Former Ainsdale Hope School, Ainsdale 2.9 Former St John Stone School, Meadow Lane, Ainsdale 2.10 Land at Sandbrook Road, Ainsdale 2.11 Land south of Moor Lane, Ainsdale 2.12 11 Land south of Moor Lane, Ainsdale 2.13 Land at West Lane, Formby 2.17 Land at Altear Lane, Formby 2.18 Power House phase 2, Hoggs Hill Lane, Formby 2.19 Land at Andrew's Close, Formby 2.23 Land at Holgate, Thornton 2.24 Land at Holgate, Thornton 2.25 Land cast of Waddicar Lane, Melling 2.30 Land east of Waddicar Lane, Melling 2.31 Wadacre Farm, Chapel Lane, Melling 2.32 Land South of Spencers Lane, Melling 2.33 Land at Wango Lane, Aintree 2.41 Former St Wilfrid's School, Orrell Road, Bootle | For clarity, HRA has been detailed in new opening paragraph to appendix 1 |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|-------|-------|---|--|--|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | | |
| | | | 2.46 Land East of Maghull | |
| | | •—MN | 2.49 Land to the South of Formby Industrial Estate | |
| | | •—HC! | 5.3 Land at Plex Moss Lane, Ainsdale | |
| | | •—HC! | 5.4 Land at New Causeway, Formby | |
| | | Plan, especthese sites example, to areas for the likely to reany planning ornithologic habitat will | mation is set out in the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the Local cially section 7.7.2, section 11.1 and the Appendix. Section 7.7.2 refers to in general as well as specifically to MN2.23, MN2.24 and MN2.32. For the site-specific Habitats Regulations Assessment—for sites within sensitive birds listed in the Special Protection Area (SPA) or Ramsar site citations will be quire a non-breeding bird survey during autumn, winter and spring to supporting application. In such cases the site should be surveyed by an experienced st twice per month from September to March. The provision of replacement I be required if the site is established to regularly support over 1% of the ar population of that species. In some cases the site-specific Habitats | |
| | | | | |
| | | | s Assessment may need to focus on impacts on the integrity of the amphibian softhe Ramsar site. | |
| Apper | div 2 | population | S OF THE KAINSAL SITE. | |
| Apper | A223 | Add a pow | second sentence before the table: | To provide clarity to correct errors and |
| | HZZ3 | The Liverp Amend thr Rib Mer Rib Live Mer | List of Internationally important designated nature sites as follows: ble & Alt Estuaries Ramsar Site rsey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore proposed Ramsar Site ble & Alt Estuaries Special Protection Area (SPA) rsey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore Special Protection Area (SPA) rsey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore Special Protection Area (SPA) rsey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore Special Protection Area (SPA) ton Coast Special Area of Conservation (SAC) | in response to the Lancashire Wildlife Trust representation page 8 [P.329] |
| | | SefHesMer | E List of Nationally important designated nature sites as follows: ton Coast Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) sketh Golf Links SSSI rsey Estuary Narrows SSSI ble Estuary & Alt Estuaries SSSI and National Nature Reserve (NNR) | |

| Mod | Ref. | Local | Proposed Change | Reason |
|------|------|--------------|---|--------|
| Type | | Plan Ref. | l sdale Sand Dunes NNR (within Sefton Coast SSSI) | |
| | | | in Hill NNR (within Sefton Coast SSSI) | |
| | | • Cab | III HIII NINK (WITHIN SEITON COAST 3331) | |
| | | Amend the | Local Wildlife Sites (LWSs) as follows: | |
| | | | a large number of over 50 Local Wildlife Sites (LWSs) in Sefton, including many | |
| | | where part | s also have international and/or national designations: | |
| | | Sites which | have only local designations are set out below. | |
| | | Delete the | following sentence and add the missing Local Wildlife Sites: | |
| | | | ere parts (including large parts) of the LWS also have international | |
| | | and/or na | ntional designations | |
| | | | way Ainsdale Sation to Hillside Station | |
| | | | eshore, Weld Road to Southport Pier | |
| | | | een's Jubilee Nature Trail, Southport | |
| | | | ole Estuary (including Marshside 1, Marshside 2 and Crossens) to Southport | |
| | | Pier | : d at Park Haven Trust, Maghull | |
| | | | way Coastal Road to Ainsdale Station | |
| | | | ntown Dunes, Meadow and Saltmarsh | |
| | | | forth Nature Reserve | |
| | | | stcliffe Road, verges | |
| ' | | | | |
| | | | aragraphs after the table: | |
| | | Priority ha | abitats and species | |
| | | Priority hab | pitats and species are defined nationally. There are over 1000 priority species | |
| | | | O priority habitats. More info can be found at http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page- | |
| | | <u>5717.</u> | | |
| Ì | | The Ecolog | ical Network provides more info about Priority Habitats present in the | |
| | | | city Region. | |
| | | | | |
| | | Protected | <u>species</u> | |
| | | The govern | ment provides advice about protected species at | |

| Mod Type | Ref. | Local Proposed Change Plan Ref. | Reason | | |
|-------------|------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | https://www.gov.uk/guidance/protected-species-and-sites-how-to-review-planning- | | | |
| | | proposals. | | | |
| Appen | dix 3 | | | | |
| MM | M139 | Replace the Monitoring Framework to set out the implications of not meeting targets | To address discussions that have taken | | |
| | | (Appended to end of Schedule) | place during the hearings | | |
| Appen | dix 4 | | | | |
| AM | A224 | Amend the list of SPDs: | For clarity and to update the plan, | | |
| | | Affordable, and, Special Needs and Older People's Housing SPD | including the fact that the New Housing | | |
| | | Control of Food Takeaways and Betting Shops SPD | SPD has already been adopted | | |
| | | Crosby Centre SPD | | | |
| | | Design SPD | | | |
| | | Development in Residential Areas SPD | | | |
| | | Food and Drink SPD | | | |
| | | Land East of Maghull SPD | | | |
| | | Nature Conservation SPD | | | |
| | | New Housing Development SPD | | | |
| | | Public Open Space, Landscaping and Trees Public Open Space SPD | | | |
| Appen | Appendix 5 | | | | |
| MM | M140 | Appendix 5 has been added 'List of saved Unitary Development Plan policies to be | To meet Regulation 8(5) relating to | | |
| | | replaced by Local Plan policies' (Appended to end of Schedule) | superseded policies in current adopted | | |
| | | | development plan | | |

APPENDIX 3 LOCAL PLAN MONITORING

The aim of the monitoring framework is to provide a robust approach to ensuring the strategy, objectives and policies of the Local Plan for Sefton are being implemented correctly and that in doing so they are having the required effect. The monitoring framework is split into two clear sections. The first considers indicators that measure the direct impacts of specific policies. The second looks at indirect indicators, i.e. those they are influenced by the policies in the Local Plan but which are much more influenced by other external factors.

Below is the list of objectives from the Local Plan and the indicators that will measure how well they are being met. The numbers relate to the indicator number from the tables of indicators that follow.

To support urban regeneration and priorities for investment in Sefton

<u>3 5 6 7 12 14 15 16 19 20 21 22 23 61 71</u>

To help meet the **housing needs** of Sefton's changing population for market and affordable housing; homes for families, the elderly, people with other special housing needs and others

1 2 24 25 26 26 27 31 62 63 64 71

To promote economic growth, tourism and jobs creation and support new and existing businesses

<u>3 5 6 7 13 15 16 17 18 57 58</u>

To meet the diverse needs for homes, jobs, services and facilities, as close to where they arise as possible

<u>1 2 24 25 26 28 29 30 31 33 34 35 36 37 57 58 62 63</u>

To help Sefton's town, district and local centres to diversify and thrive

<u>12</u> <u>15</u> <u>19</u> <u>20</u> <u>21</u> <u>22</u> <u>23</u> <u>59</u> <u>60</u>

To make the most of the value of **the Port** to the local economy and jobs, while making sure that the impact on the environment and local communities is mitigated

<u>5</u> <u>10</u> <u>11</u>

To make sure that new developments include the essential **infrastructure**, **services** and **facilities** that they require

<u>40 | 41 | 42 | 46 | 47 </u>

To improve access to services, facilities and jobs

<u>38 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 46 | 47 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 65 </u>

 To protect and enhance Sefton's natural and heritage assets

 8
 9
 32
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 70
 72
 73
 74
 75

To achieve high quality design and a healthy environment

8 9 32 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 52 53 54 55 56 66 69 72 74 75 76

To respond to the challenge of climate change, encouraging best use of resources and assets 8 9 32 43 44 45 49 50 51 52 67 68 71 72 73 74 75 76

To work with partners and make the most of Sefton's place within the **Liverpool City Region**5 10 11

TABLE 1 DIRECT IMPACT INDICATORS

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| MN1 | 1. Five year housing supply position | 2.9 years [2015] | 6 ⁴ years by 1 st April 2017 and beyond | Contact landowners of housing allocations to determine causes of delay in submitting planning applications Review policy constraints on housing sites Undertake annual call for sites exercise Review of the Local Plan |
| | 2. Net additional homes dwellings [total/on allocated sites] | 454 net completions [total] [2014/15] | 500 pa [2012-17] 694 pa [2017- 30] | Contact developers with planning permission for new homes to determine causes of delay in implementing planning applications Review constraints [including |

⁴ Five years plus 20% as per paragraph 47 of NPPF

_

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|--|-------------------|--|--|
| | | | | planning obligations] that may be preventing housing delivery • Work with housing colleagues to identify potential funding to help unlock sites for housing, particularly in relation to the need to remediate brownfield sites. • Review housing allocations to assess suitability for housing • Undertake call for sites exercise • Review of the Local Plan |
| | 3. Land available for [general] employment [ha] | 56ha [2015] | Not less than 22.66ha ⁵ | Undertake call for sites process Review of the Local Plan |
| | 4. Employment land lost to non- employment uses | Not available | No loss | Review employment site designations to determine if they remain suitable for employment Contact landowners to determine reasons why sites are not being developed for employment |
| | 5. Land available for Port related employment | <u>Oha [2015]</u> | Currently not known. Sefton's requirement to be determined through strategic study. [note: Not less than 125ha in city region ⁶] | Undertake call for sites process Review of the Local Plan |

⁵ 22.66ha equates to five years employment land requirement when the total Local Plan employment land requirement of 81.59 is annualised [i.e.4.53ha per annum]

⁶ 125ha equates to five years port related employment land requirement when the total port related employment land requirement of 500ha over 20 years [as identified] in The Liverpool City Region Superport Market Analysis] is annualised [i.e. 25ha per annum]

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | 6. Floorspace [m²] developed for employment by employment type/location [by type (B1, B2, B8)/location] | 1,278m ² 270m2 [B1A - office] 1008m2 [B8 - storage/distribution] [2015] | Increase | Review employment allocations to determine barriers to development Contact landowners of employment allocations to determine level of interest in development of site Work with economic development colleagues to identify potential funding to help unlock sites for economic development |
| | 7. Number of jobs [full time equivalent] created on employment sites | Not available | Increase | Review employment allocations to determine barriers to development Consider restricting development on certain sites for more labour intensive uses. Work with economic development colleagues to identify potential opportunities for increasing investment in Sefton that will bring in jobs |
| MN7 | 8. Approvals in the GB/safeguarded landGreen Belt and % inappropriate | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy on inappropriate approvals Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of policy and NPPF |
| | 9. Approvals in Safeguarded Land and % inappropriate | Not applicable | No inappropriate approvals | |
| ED1 | 10. Approvals in Port and | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | • Review implementation of |

| Policy | Indicator | Baseline | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| | Maritime Zone and % inappropriate | | | policy on inappropriate approvals • Liaise with Port of Liverpool to determine any issues with scope of implementation of port policy |
| | 11. Improved access to the port consulted on/approved/implemented | Not applicable | Consulted on by 2016. Dates for approval and implementation will be determined by the Highways Agency at a later stage. The Monitoring Framework will be updated to reflect this at the appropriate time. | Work with Strategic Transport Team, LEP and Highways England to determine issues restricting progress. |
| ED2 | 12. Amount of approved retail/office/leisure development in Sefton/designated centres Amount of approved dwellings in designated centres Number and % of approved retail/leisure/other town centre uses development in designated centres (Primary Shopping Areas) edge of centre retail parks elsewhere | 9,469m² [528m² (5.6%) in town centre, 7,168m² (75.7%) in edge of centre, 1,773m² (18.7%) out of centre] A1-5 [shops and services]- 4,577m² B1a [office] – 270m² D2 [Leisure] – 1,858m² [2014-15] | Increase in approvals in designated centres. Decrease in number of approvals for retail/leisure/other centre uses approved outside designated centres. | Review implementation of sequential test Work with colleagues to review the regeneration strategies for Central Southport, Crosby and Maghull to review potential issues restricting investment in Sefton's tourism areas. Work with economic development colleagues to determine potential issues restricting investment in Sefton's centres. Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of sequential test |
| ED3 | Approvals in Primary Industrial | | | |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|------------------------|--|---|
| | Areas by type | | | |
| ED5 | 13. Approvals <u>by type</u> in locations listed in Policy ED5 'Tourism' by type | No data/ not available | Increase of appropriate approvals and no inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy. Work with Tourism colleagues to determine potential issues restricting investment in Sefton's tourism areas. |
| ED6 | 14. Approvals in locations listed in Policy ED6 'Regeneration Areas' by type | No data/ not available | Increase of appropriate approvals and no inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy. Contact landowners to determine intentions/expectations Work with economic regeneration colleagues to determine potential issues restricting investment in regeneration areas and to determine what funding may be available to assist regeneration objectives. Consider Supplementary Planning Document or Area Action Plans to set out more specific guidance on how regeneration objectives can be implemented |
| ED7 | 15. Approvals in Southport Central Area by type | No data/ not available | Increase in uses listed in policy | Review implementation of policy. Undertake an audit of the sites available in the Southport Central Area and assess potential for development Contact landowners to determine |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | intentions/expectations • Work with Tourism colleagues and partners to determine potential issues restricting investment in Southport Central Area Consider Supplementary Planning Document or Area Action Plan to set out more specific guidance on how development in Southport Central Area will be managed |
| ED8 | 16. Approvals in Southport Seafront Area by type | No data/ not available | Increase in uses listed in part 1 of policy | Review implementation of policy. Undertake an audit of the sites available in the Southport Seafront Area and assess potential for development Contact landowners to determine intentions/expectations Work with Tourism colleagues and partners to determine potential issues restricting investment in Southport Seafront Area Consider Supplementary Planning Document or Area Action Plan to set out more specific guidance on how development in Southport Seafront will be managed |
| ED8B | 17. Approvals in Aintree Racecourse [in Green Belt Area] | No data/ not available | a. no inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy. |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | by type | | b. Increase in uses listed in part | • Contact landowners to |
| | | | 2 of policy | <u>determine</u> |
| | 18. Approvals in Aintree | | | intentions/expectations |
| | Racecourse [outside Green Belt | | | • Work with Tourism colleagues |
| | Area] by type | | | and partners to determine |
| | | | | potential issues restricting |
| | | | | investment in Aintree |
| | | | | <u>Racecourse</u> |
| | | | | Consider Supplementary |
| | | | | Planning Document or Area |
| | | | | Action Plan to set out more |
| | | | | specific guidance on how |
| | | | | development in Aintree |
| | | | | Racecourse will be managed |
| ED9 | 19. Approvals in Crosby Centre | No data/ not available | Increase in 'town centre' | Review implementation of |
| | by type | | <u>approvals</u> | policy. |
| | | | | Undertake an audit of the sites |
| | | <u>117 [2015]</u> | <u>Increase</u> | available in the Crosby Centre |
| | 20. Total units in Crosby Centre | | | Area and assess potential for |
| | | 18,563m ² [2015] | <u>Increase</u> | <u>development</u> |
| | 21. Total floorspace in Crosby | | | Contact landowners to |
| | Centre | 12 units [10.3%] | <u>Decrease</u> | <u>determine</u> |
| | | 1,269m ² [6.8%] [2015] | | intentions/expectations |
| | 22. Reduction in vacancies in | | | Work with Economic |
| | Crosby Centre | | | Development colleagues and |
| | | 29 [2015] | Increase | partners to determine potential |
| | | | | issues restricting investment in |
| | 23. Number of National Retailers | | | Crosby Centre |
| | in Crosby Centre ⁷ | | | Consider Area Action Plan to set |
| | | | | out more specific guidance on |
| | | | | how development in Crosby |
| | | | | Centre will be managed |

_

⁷ Town and District Centre Health checks are likely to be undertaken every 3 to 5 years so indicators b to e are unlikely to be monitored annually.

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|-----------------|---|---|
| HC1 | 24. Completions and Approvals for Affordable and Special Needs Housing by Type and Location Number of affordable housing completions [by type (social rented, affordable rented, intermediate, through section 106) and location] | 111 [2014/5] | 200 ⁸ by 2018/19 Annual increase | Review implementation of policy. Contact developers of stalled schemes with an affordable housing element to determine reasons for delay Review planning obligations to determine if these are preventing implementation of development |
| | % of Affordable Housing by source [e.g. section 106, HCA funding, other] 25. % of bedspaces that are affordable in approvals for new homes in qualifying schemes [15 homes or more] [total and by settlement] | Not available | 15% in Bootle and Netherton 30% elsewhere | Review implementation of policy. Review planning obligations to determine if these are affecting viability Consider using off-site affordable housing contributions to support |
| HC2 | 26. Number of homes by no. of bedrooms for market and affordable homes In | No data | Minimum of 25% 1 or 2 bedrooms Minimum of 40% 3 bedroom | affordable housing schemes Be more flexible on the split between social rented and other types of affordable homes • Review implementation of policy. • Review viability implications of |
| | developments of 15 homes of more the number and % of homes with: • 1 or 2 bedrooms | | Maximum 35% 4 or more bedrooms | policy Consider Supplementary Planning Document to provide additional guidance to |

_

⁸ This is based on the total anticipated Local Plan Affordable Housing Delivery as set out at Appendix 2 of the Housing Technical Paper.

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| | 3 bedrooms4 bedrooms or more | | | developers for meeting the policy requirement |
| | 27. No. of older person homes Approvals of older persons housing by type, tenure and settlement [inc extra care, sheltered accommodation, nursing homes etc] | No data/ not available | 100 units per year | Consider allocating Council owned sites specifically for housing for older people Work with providers of homes for older people to see if they can assist in delivery of schemes for older people Consider Council or external funding sources to cross subsidise delivery of homes for older people |
| | 28. In approved schemes of 50 or more dwellings, the % of market homes designed to meet Building Regulation Requirement M4 (2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'. | No data | 20% | Review implementation of policy. Review viability implications of policy Consider Information Note or Supplementary Planning Document to provide additional guidance to developers for meeting the policy requirement |
| | 29. Number of approved custom or self-build homes 30. Number of people registered on Sefton's Custom and Self-Build Register | No data | To be determined once custom build homes register has been live for minimum six months [June 2016] | Allocate Council land specifically for custom or self- build housing. Provide support services to those who wish to self or custom-build their own home |
| HC3 | 31. Approvals in PRA that are not residential and inappropriate | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy. Consider Supplementary |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|--|------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | Planning Document to provide additional guidance to developers |
| | 32. Densities in approvals for residential development | No data/ not available | Minimum 30 dwellings per hectare (developable area) | Review implementation of policy. Review Supplementary Planning Document to provide additional guidance to developers |
| HC4 | 33. Applications for conversions to HMOs and the proportions refused/approved (with some explanatory text) 34. Total number of HMOs in Sefton and by ward | No data/ not available | No specific target for these two indicators but commentary will be provide on whether the impact of clusters of HMOs is occurring and whether this is causing significant harm to the character of an area or harming the living conditions of residents | Review implementation of policy and existing Supplementary Planning Document Consider the use of an article 4 direction to restrict HMOs in specific areas |
| HC5 | 35. Five year traveller pitch supply | <u>0 years [2015]</u> | 5 years by 1 st April 2017 | Contact landowners of allocated traveller sites to determine any causes of planning applications being delayed Review policy constraints on allocated sites Update traveller needs assessment to determine if identified need remains valid |
| | Approvals for traveller pitches [permanent/transit] | | | |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|------------|---|------------------------|---|--|
| | 36. Provision of traveller pitches [permanent/transit] | <u>0 in 2014/15</u> | 4 additional permanent pitches by 2017/18 10 additional permanent pitches 2018/19 to 2027/28 4 transit pitches by 2017/18 | |
| | 37. Number, size and duration of unauthorised traveller encampments | No data/ not available | <u>O</u> | Work with traveller liaison officer determine reasons for unauthorised encampments and to ensure Council transit site [when provided] is well advertised Review access/pricing policy for Council transit site is suitable Work with neighbouring authorities to ensure they are providing their identified transit pitches Consider extension of or additional transit site |
| HQ6 | 38. No. of applications that affect an Asset of Community Value and the proportions refused/approved (with some explanatory text) | No data | No inappropriate approvals | |
| Hd7 | 39. Approvals in Sites of Education and Care Institutions and % inappropriate | No data | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy on inappropriate approvals Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of policy |
| <u>IN1</u> | 40. Amount of Community Infrastructure Levy secured | No data | To be determined if and when CIL is implemented | • To be determined if and when CIL is implemented |

| Policy | Indicator | Baseline | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| | [total/by area] | | | |
| IN2 | 41. No. of transport assessments submitted No. of schemes in part 1 of the policy implemented | Not applicable | All implemented by 2030. Annual review undertaken to determine progress. | Work with transport colleagues to determine potential issues restricting investment in transport schemes. Look at potential funding sources including from developer contributions |
| EQ3 | 42. % of new build housing development within appropriate [SPD] distance to bus stop; rail station; GP; primary school | No data/ not available | <u>Increase</u> | Work with transport colleagues to maximise public transport improvements on larger development sites |
| EQ6 | 43. Contaminated land remediated as part of development | No data | No target but commentary will consider impact of the policy | Review implementation of policy Liaise with contaminated land colleagues to assess whether advice on contamination is being correctly used in planning decisions |
| EQ8 | 44. Approvals in Flood Zones 2 and 3 % inappropriate Number of applications refused on flood risk grounds | No data/ not available | No target but commentary will consider the impact of the policy. | Review implementation of policy Liaise with drainage colleagues to assess whether advice on flood risk/drainage is being correctly used in planning decisions Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of policy |
| | 45. Number of approvals on larger development sites with | No data/ not available | | |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|------------------------|--|---|
| | details of SuDs proposed and type Number of major applications approved with conditions and/or legal agreements related to SuDs | | | |
| EQ9 | 46. Area of new Public Open Space approved on: • schemes of 150 or more dwellings • Proposals for 11 to 149 dwellings on sites which are more than 2km from a main parks or Countryside Recreation Areas' | No data | 40m² per home | Review implementation of policy Review other planning obligations to determine if this is limiting implementation of policy Work with leisure colleagues to ensure policy approach is securing the improvements required Determine the best approach to ensuring this policy and the implementation of the [potential] Community Infrastructure Levy are compatible and maximise improvements. |
| | 47. Financial contributions secured through section 106 to improve existing public open space | No data/ not available | No target but commentary on reasons why off-site contributions was accepted and which existing public open spaces will be improved | |
| EQ10 | 48. Approvals of A3, A4 and A5 uses in designated centres; Primary Residential Areas; Shopping Parades; within 400m of educational establishment secondary school or college | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy and Supplementary Planning Document Work with education and public health colleagues to determine whether additional A5 uses close |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | [including those restricted to opening after 5pm] | | | to a particular secondary school or college is likely to change eating habits of students |
| NH2 | 49. Approvals in International, National and Local nature sites and % inappropriate | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy and Supplementary Planning Document Liaise with colleagues in Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service and Natural England to determine whether policy approach is appropriate. |
| NH3 | 50. Approvals in Nature Improvements Areas and % inappropriate | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | |
| NH4 | 51. Approvals in Coastal Change Management Area and % inappropriate | No data/ not available | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy Liaise with Coastal Management Team to determine whether policy approach is appropriate Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of policy |
| NH5 | 52. Approvals in Public Open Space and % inappropriate | n/a | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy Liaise with Leisure colleagues to determine whether policy approach is appropriate Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of policy |

| Policy | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel | Action undertaken if not met |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| HN6 | 53. Approvals in Urban Golf Course and % inappropriate | n/a | No inappropriate approvals | Review implementation of policy Consider Supplementary Planning Document to set out more specific guidance on implementation of policy |
| NH9-14 | To be confirmed 54. % of Listed Buildings surveyed per year 55. Conservation Area Appraisals adopted 56. Conservation Area Management Plans adopted | Not available 13/25 [2015] 0/25 [2015] | 10-20% of Listed Buildings Increase Increase | Review resources and priorities within Conservation Team |

TABLE 2 INDIRECT IMPACT INDICATORS

| SA Topic Area | Indicator | <u>Baseline</u> | Target or direction of travel |
|------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Economy | 57. No. Number of jobs in Sefton 58. JSA rates in Sefton and wards Unemployment rate | 103,000 [2013] 5.3% [June 2015] | Increase Decrease |
| Local Centres | 59. Retail ranking of Bootle and Southport 60. Vacancy rates [units] in designated centres | Bootle 235 th Southport 96 th [2014/15] 23% Bootle 13.1% Southport 10.3% Crosby 10.1% Maghull 9.5% Waterloo 2.8% Formby [July 2015] | Higher Ranking Decrease |
| Communities | 61. % of Sefton's population | 27% [2015 IMD] | <u>Decrease</u> |

| | Population living in 20% most | | |
|---------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | deprived areas in England | | |
| | | 2883 [2015, OneVision Housing | <u>Decrease</u> |
| | <u>62.</u> Social housing waiting lists | – Housing Register] | |
| Housing | 63. Population in Sefton | 273,500 [2014 ONS estimate] | <u>Increase</u> |
| | | | |
| | 64. Average house prices by | £86,458 Bootle | No target |
| | area | £166,949 Maghull | |
| | | £168,945 Southport | |
| | | £216,557 Crosby | |
| | | £270,087 Formby | |
| | | [2015 Land Registry] | |
| Accessibility | 65. Travel to work by transport | <u>56.7% Car or van</u> | Increase those using public |
| | mode | 9.5% On foot | transport and sustainable modes |
| | | 8.3% Work from home | |
| | | 7.3% Train | |
| | | 6.9% Bus | |
| | | 6.0% Passenger in car or van | |
| | | 2.6% Bicycle | |
| | | 1.3% Taxi | |
| | | <u>0.7% Other</u> | |
| | | 0.5% Motorcycle | |
| | | 0.4% Rapid transit | |
| | | [2011 Census] | |
| Health & | 66. Obesity rates in | 19.6% of children [2012] | <u>Decrease</u> |
| Wellbeing | adults/children | 23.6% of adults [2012] | |
| | 1,50 | T | |
| Climate | 67. Carbon emissions by | Total 5.0 | <u>Decrease</u> |
| Change & | source [tCO ₂ per person] | Industrial and Commercial 1.8 | |
| Resource | | Domestic 2.2 | |
| Use | | <u>Transport 1.0 [2013]</u> | |
| | | Source DECC, 25 June 2015 | N |
| Flooding | 68. Total of homes and | No data/ not available | No increase |
| | businesses classed at risk from | | |
| | flooding | | |

| Environment | 69. Air quality at monitoring | Quoting lowest and highest | Decrease |
|--------------|---|--|------------------|
| al Quality | stations | levels of the five stations | <u> </u> |
| | | Nitric oxide: Waterloo Primary | Decrease |
| | | School 24.5ppb - Princess Way, | |
| | | Seaforth 49.6ppb [2015] | |
| | | | |
| | | Nitrogen dioxide: Waterloo | <u>Decrease</u> |
| | | Primary School 33.7µg/m³ - | |
| | | Princess Way, Seaforth 44.1 | |
| | | <u>μg/m³ [2015]</u> | _ |
| | | Ovides of Nitrogram Wetchies | <u>Decrease</u> |
| | | Oxides of Nitrogen: Waterloo Primary School 41.7ppb - | |
| | | Princess Way, Seaforth 72.2ppb | |
| | | [2015] | Improvement |
| | | [2013] | mprovement |
| | 70. River quality | | <u>Decrease</u> |
| | | No data/ not available | |
| | 71. Vacant home rate | <u>Local Term Vacant Rate - 3236</u> | |
| | | homes [2.56%] | |
| Landscape | None | | |
| Biodiversity | None <u>72</u> . <u>Local sites and sites</u> | 60 local sites; 30 sites in | <u>Increase</u> |
| | in positive conservation | positive management [50%] | |
| | management | 2014/15 Defra | |
| Culture & | 73. No. Number of listed | 10 Grade II* Listed Buildings or | <u>Decrease</u> |
| Heritage | buildings at risk | Conservation Areas [2015] | |
| | | 6 [Historic England, 2015] | Decrease |
| | 74. Number of Conservation | O [Thistoric Eligianu, 2013] | <u>Deci ease</u> |
| | Areas 'at risk' | 1 [Historic England, 2015] | Decrease |
| | MOGG GUIDN | - 1. Hatorio Erigidila, 2010] | <u>550.0050</u> |
| | 75. Number of Scheduled | | |
| | Monuments 'at risk' | 10 Green Flag awarded Parks | <u>Increase</u> |
| | | [2015] | |
| | 76. Parks with green flag | | |
| | status | | |

APPENDIX 5 LIST OF SAVED UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES TO BE REPLACED BY LOCAL PLAN POLICIES

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|--|---|
| CS1 Development and Regeneration | SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable |
| | development |
| | SD2 Principles of sustainable development |
| CS2 Restraint on development and | SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable |
| protection of environmental assets | <u>development</u> |
| | SD2 Principles of sustainable development |
| | NH1 Natural Assets |
| | NH9A Heritage Assets |
| CS3 Development principles | SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable |
| | <u>Development</u> |
| | SD2 Principles of sustainable development |
| | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| UP1 Development in Urban Priority | SD2 Principles of sustainable development |
| <u>Areas</u> | |
| EDT1 Strategic Employment Locations | MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use |
| | Allocations |
| EDT2 Provision of Employment Land | MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use |
| | Allocations |
| EDT3 Strategic Employment Sites in the | ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| <u>Dunnings Bridge Corridor</u> | MN2.47 Dunnings Bridge Road Corridor, |
| | <u>Netherton</u> |
| EDT4 Southport Commerce Park | MN2.50 Southport Business Park |
| EDT5 Primarily Industrial Areas | ED3 Existing Employment Areas |
| EDT6 Development Sites within Primarily | ED3 Existing Employment Areas |
| Industrial Areas | MN2 Housing, Employment, and Mixed Use |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|--|---|
| EDT8 Business and Industrial Development Outside Primarily | ED3 Existing Employment Areas |
| EDT9 The Port and Maritime Zone | ED1 The Port and Maritime Zone |
| EDT10 Bootle Central Area – Development Principles | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres ED4 Mixed Use Areas ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| EDT11 Development in the Bootle Office Quarter | ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| EDT12 Bootle Central Area Opportunity | ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| EDT13 Southport Central Area – Development Principles | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres ED5 Tourism ED6 Regeneration Areas ED7 Southport Central Area |
| EDT14 Southport Resort Area | ED5 Tourism |
| EDT15 Southport Seafront Area | ED5 Tourism ED8 Southport Seafront ED8A Marine Park |
| EDT16 Mixed Use Areas | ED4 Mixed Use Areas |
| EDT17 Employment Opportunity Sites | ED6 Regeneration Areas MN2.34 Aintree Curve Site, Ridgewood Way, Netherton MN2.43 Peoples site, Linacre Lane, Bootle |
| EDT18 Retention of Local Employment | ED3 Existing Employment Areas |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|--|
| H1 Housing Requirement | Not Saved (equivalent Local Plan Policy MN1 Housing and employment Requirement) |
| H2 Requirement for Affordable, Special Needs and Key Worker Housing | HC1 Affordable and special needs housing (and accompanying Affordable, Special Needs and Older People's Housing SPD) |
| H3 Housing Land Supply | MN2 Housing, Employment , and Mixed Use Allocations |
| H4 Land at Town Lane, Southport | Site has planning permission HC3 Residential development and development in Primarily Residential Areas |
| H5 Land to the west of Southport and Formby District General Hospital | HC3 Residential development and development in Primarily Residential Areas HC7 Education and Care Institutions in the Urban Area NH5 Protection of open space and Countryside Recreation Areas |
| H6 Opportunity Sites | ED6 Regeneration Areas MN2.34 Aintree Curve Site, Ridgewood Way, Netherton MN2.43 Peoples site, Linacre Lane, Bootle Other sites developed |
| H7 Housing Renewal, Clearance and | ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| Regeneration | |
| H8 Redevelopment within the Pathfinder Area | ED4 Mixed Use Areas ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| H9 Hawthorne Road/ Canal Corridor | ED4 Mixed Use Areas ED5 Tourism ED6 Regeneration Areas |

| <u>Unitary Development Plan</u> <u>Policy (2006)</u> | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|--|--|
| H10 Residential Development and Development in Residential Areas | HC3 Residential Development and Development in Primarily Residential Areas (and accompanying New Housing SPD) |
| H11 Mixed Use Developments Incorporating | ED4 Mixed Use Areas |
| H12 Residential Density | HC3 Residential Development and Development in Primarily Residential Areas (and accompanying New Housing SPD) EQ2 Design |
| R1 Retail Development Strategy | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres |
| R2 Southport Town Centre | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres ED5 Tourism ED6 Regeneration Areas ED7 Southport Central Area |
| R3 Southport Station Complex | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres ED6 Regeneration Areas ED8 Southport Central Area EQ3 |
| R4 Bootle town Centre | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres ED4 Mixed Use Areas ED6 Regeneration Areas |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|--|--|
| R5 Edge-of-Centre Retail Development: TAVR Site, Strand Road, Bootle | <u>Not Saved</u> |
| R6 Development in District and Local Shopping Centres | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres |
| R7 Local Shopping Parades | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres |
| R8 Upper Floors in Defined Centres and Shopping Parades | Not Saved |
| R9 Edge-of-Centre and Out-of-Centre Retail Developments and Key Town Centre Uses | ED2 Development in town, district and local centres, local shopping parades and outside defined centres ED4 Mixed Use Areas ED5 Tourism |
| R10 Lanstar Site, Church Road, Litherland | MN2.53 Former Lanstar Site, Hawthorne Road, Bootle |
| T1 Transport Network Priorities T2 Walking and Cycling | IN2 Transport IN2 Transport EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton EQ3 Accessibility EQ9 Provision of public open space, strategic paths and trees in development |
| T3 Pedestrian Priority on Chapel Street, Southport | Not Saved |
| T4 Safeguarding the Public Transport Network | IN2 Transport |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|---|
| T5 New Car Parks in Designated Areas | IN2 Transport |
| | EQ3 Accessibility |
| EMW1 Prudent Use of Resources | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ7 Energy Efficient and Low Carbon Design |
| | IN3 Managing Waste |
| EMW2 Renewable Energy Infrastructure | EQ7 Energy Efficient and Low Carbon Design |
| EMW3 Protection of Mineral Resources | NH8 Minerals |
| EMW4 Proposals for Mineral and | NH8 Minerals |
| <u>Aggregate</u> | |
| EMW5 Onshore Oil and Gas | NH8 Minerals |
| EMW6 Waste Management Strategy | Replaced by Merseyside and Halton Waste |
| | Local Plan (2013) |
| EMW7 Waste Management Facilities | Replaced by Merseyside and Halton Waste |
| | Local Plan (2013) |
| EMW8 Landfill Sites | Replaced by Merseyside and Halton Waste |
| | Local Plan (2013) |
| EMW9 Recycling Facilities | IN3 Managing Waste |
| GBC1 The Green Belt | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| GBC2 Development in the Green Belt | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| GBC3 Redevelopment of a Major | MN2.18 Power House phase 2, Hoggs Hill |
| Developed | Lane, Formby |
| <u>Site in the Green Belt – The</u> | |
| GBC4 Redevelopment or Infilling of a | MN8.2 Land adjacent to Ashworth Hospital, |
| <u>Major</u> | <u>Maghull</u> |
| <u>Developed Site in the Green Belt –</u> | |
| GBC5 Infill Development on Major | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| <u>Developed Sites in the Green Belt</u> | |
| | |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|---|
| GBC6 Landscape Character | NH7 Rural Landscape Character |
| GBC7 Agricultural Land Quality | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| GBC8 Equestrian Development | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| GBC9 Landscape Renewal Areas | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| NC1 Site Protection | NH1 Natural Assets |
| | NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature |
| | sites, priority habitats and species (and |
| | accompanying Nature Conservation SPD) |
| | NH3 Development in the Nature Improvement |
| | <u>Area</u> |
| NC2 Protection of Species | NH1 Natural Assets |
| | NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature |
| | sites, priority habitats and species (and |
| | accompanying Nature Conservation SPD) |
| | NH3 Development in the Nature Improvement |
| | <u>Area</u> |
| NC3 Habitat Protection, Creation and | NH1 Natural Assets |
| <u>Management</u> | NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature |
| | sites, priority habitats and species (and |
| | accompanying Nature Conservation SPD) |
| | NH3 Development in the Nature Improvement |
| | <u>Area</u> |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|--|
| CPZ1 Development in Coastal Planning | NH1 Natural Assets |
| Zones | NH4 The Sefton coast and development |
| CPZ2 Coastal Protection | NH1 Natural Assets |
| | NH4 The Sefton coast and development |
| CPZ3 Coastal Landscape Conservation | EQ4 Pollution and Hazards |
| and | NH1 Natural Assets |
| <u>Management</u> | NH2 Protection and enhancement of nature |
| | sites, priority habitats and species (and |
| | accompanying Nature Conservation SPD) |
| | NH3 Development in the Nature Improvement |
| | <u>Area</u> |
| | NH4 The Sefton coast and development |
| CPZ4 Coastal Park | NH4 The Sefton coast and development |
| | NH5 Protection of open space and Countryside |
| | Recreation Areas |
| G1 Protection of Urban Greenspace | |
| | NH5 Protection of open space and |
| OO leaves to a Dublic Assess to this as | Countryside Recreation Areas |
| G2 Improving Public Access to Urban | ED3 Existing Employment Areas |
| Greenspace | ED6 Regeneration Areas |
| | HC3 Residential Development and |
| | Development in Primarily Residential Areas |
| | (and accompanying New Housing SPD) |
| | HC7 Education and Care Institutions in the |
| | <u>Urban Area</u> NH6 Urban Golf Courses |
| G2 Urban Croonenaca Systems | NH1 Natural assets |
| G3 Urban Greenspace Systems | NH5 Protection of open space and |
| | Countryside Recreation Areas |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|--|---|
| G4 Development Adjacent to the Leeds | ED5 Tourism |
| <u>and</u> | EQ2 Design |
| <u>Liverpool Canal</u> | NH1 Natural assetsNH5 Protection of open |
| | space and Countryside Recreation Areas |
| G5 Protection of Recreational Open | NH1 Natural assets |
| <u>Space</u> | NH5 Protection of open space and Countryside Recreation Areas |
| G6 Built Recreation Facilities | HC6 Assets of community value |
| G7 Strategic Paths for Countryside | NH5 Protection of open space and |
| Recreation | Countryside Recreation Areas |
| G8 Countryside Recreation Areas | NH5 Protection of open space and Countryside |
| | Recreation Areas |
| G9 Aintree Racecourse Recreational Area | ED5 Tourism |
| | ED8B Aintree Racecourse |
| | MN7 Sefton's Green Belt |
| HC1 Development in Conservation Areas | NH9A Heritage Assets |
| | NH11 Development affecting Conservation |
| HOO Developed to the telephone and | Areas |
| HC2 Demolition of Listed buildings and | NH9A Heritage Assets |
| Demolition in Conservation Areas | NH9 Demolition or substantial harm to |
| LIOO December 20 consequences | designated Heritage Assets |
| HC3 Development or Change of Use | NH9A Heritage Assets |
| Affecting a Listed Building | NH10 Works affecting Listed Buildings |
| HC4 Development Affecting the Setting of a Listed Building | NH9A Heritage Assets NH10 Works affecting Listed Buildings |
| HC5 Historic Parks and Gardens | NH9A Heritage Assets |
| THISTORIC FAIRS AND GALDERS | NH12 Development affecting Registered Parks |
| | and Gardens |
| UCA Sites and Areas of Archaeological | |
| HC6 Sites and Areas of Archaeological | NH9A Heritage Assets |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|---|
| <u>Importance</u> | NH13 Development affecting Archaeology and |
| | Scheduled Monuments |
| AD1 Location of Development | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ3 Accessibility |
| AD2 Ensuring Choice of Travel | EQ3 Accessibility |
| | Ensuring Choice of Travel SPD (2010) was prepared by Merseytavel and Merseyside |
| | authorities |
| AD3 Transport Assessments | IN2 Transport |
| AD4 Green Travel Plans | EQ3 Accessibility |
| AD5 Access onto the Primary Route | IN2 Transport |
| DQ1 Design | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ2 Design |
| DQ2 Renewable Energy in Development | EQ7 Energy Efficient and Low Carbon Design |
| DQ3 Trees and Development | IN1 Infrastructure and developer contributions |
| | EQ9 Provision of public open space, strategic |
| | paths and trees in development |
| DQ4 Public Greenspace and | EQ9 Provision of public open space, strategic |
| <u>Development</u> | paths and trees in development |
| DQ5 Sustainable Drainage Systems | EQ8 Managing flood risk and surface water |
| EP1 Managing Environmental Risk | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |
| | EQ5 Air quality |
| | EQ8 Managing flood risk and surface water |
| EP2 Pollution | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |
| | EQ5 Air quality |
| EP3 Development of Contaminated Land | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|--|
| EP4 Development On or Near to Landfill | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| Sites | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |
| EP5 Development and Hazardous | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| substances | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |
| EP6 Noise and Vibration | EQ1 Planning for a healthy Sefton |
| | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |
| EP7 Light Nuisance | EQ4 Pollution and hazards |
| EP8 Flood Risk | EQ8 Managing flood risk and surface water |
| MD1 House Extensions | HC4 House extensions and alterations and conversions to Houses in Multiple Occupation and Flats (and accompanying House Extensions SPD) |
| MD2 Conversion to Flats | HC4 House extensions and alterations and conversions to Houses in Multiple Occupation and Flats Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) and Flats Supplementary Planning Document SPD was approved in 2013 |
| MD3 Houses in Multiple Occupation | HC4 House extensions and alterations and conversions to Houses in Multiple Occupation and Flats Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) and Flats Supplementary Planning Document SPD was approved in 2013 |
| MD4 Caravan Sites for Gypsies and Travelling | HC5 Planning for Gypsies and Travellers |
| MD5 Commercial Frontages and Security Shutters | EQ2 Design |

| Unitary Development Plan Policy (2006) | Superseding Local Plan Policy/ies (2016) |
|---|---|
| MD6 Food and Drink Uses | EQ10 Food and Drink (and accompanying Hot Food Takeaways and Betting Shops SPD) |
| MD7 Advertisements | EQ11 Advertisements |
| MD8 Telecommunications Development | IN1 Infrastructure and Developer |
| | <u>Contributions</u> |
| No Policy | MN3 Strategic Mixed Use Allocation – Land |
| | east of Maghull (and accompanying SPD) |
| No Policy | MN4 Land north of Formby Industrial Estate |
| No Policy | MN6 Land north of Brackenway, Formby |
| No Policy | MN6A Land at Moss Lane, Churchtown |
| No Policy | MN8 Safeguarded Land |
| No Policy | HC2 Housing type, mix and tenure |
| No Policy | ED9 Crosby Centre (and accompanying SPD) |
| No Policy | NH14 Development affecting non- |
| | designated Heritage Assets |
| No Specific Policy (although many cover issues) | PIM1 Planning enforcement |