

# Ward Profile

# Park



**Councillor June Burns**



**Councillor Mike Desmond**



**Councillor Chloe Parker**

**Released: December 2021**

**Collated by Gemma Monaghan Murrow**  
Commissioning Support & Business Intelligence Service  
Data, Insight, Business Intelligence, & Performance

*Last Updated: 10/10/2024*

## Document Control

### Issue/Amendment Record

Version	Date of Issue	Reason for Issue
V12	04/10/2017	Final 2017
V0	14/12/2021	Update 2021
V1	11/04/2022	Update highlights
V2	10/10/2024	Update of Councillors

## Document Ownership

Role	Name/Title
Author	Gemma Monaghan Murrow
Release Authority	Wayne Leatherbarrow Service Manager – Performance & intelligence.

### Distribution

Cabinet

Council

Chief Executive

SLB

Public

## Contents


Highlights .....	6	Car Registration .....	15
Sefton Comparative Position .....	7	Figure 7: Household Occupancy (Census 2011) .....	15
National Comparative Position.....	7	Table 3: Time Void / Vacant (April 2020)....	15
LCR Comparative Position .....	8	Figure 8: New Build Properties by Affordability Type (March 2021).....	16
North West Comparative Position.....	8	Figure 9: New Build Properties by Bedroom Numbers (March 2021).....	16
Overview.....	9	Figure 10: Average Price Paid by Property Type (2019) .....	16
Map 1: Park Assets .....	9	Map 5: Barriers to Housing & Services IMD Score by LSOA (2019).....	16
COVID-19 .....	9	Figure 11: Rate of Vehicle Registrations (2019).....	16
Implications for Service Delivery .....	9	Economy & Business.....	17
Demographics.....	10	Figure 12: Household Income – Mosaic (2020).....	17
Table 1: 2019 Ward Population Distribution Comparisons.....	11	Table 4: Economically Active (2011) .....	17
Figure 1: 2019 Ward Population Distribution by Age Band & Gender .....	11	Table 5: Economically Inactive (2011) .....	17
Figure 2: Population Change by Core Age Groups .....	11	Table 6: Unemployed (2011) .....	17
Table 2: NINo Applications 2015/16 – 2019/20 .....	11	Map 6: Employment IMD Score by LSOA (2019).....	17
Figure 3: Top Two NINo Application Origin Countries 2015/16 – 2019/20 .....	11	Benefits & Support.....	18
Figure 4: NINo Applications by Quarter October 2015 to September 2020.....	11	Universal Credits (UC).....	18
Service Demand.....	11	Personal Independence Payment (PIP).....	18
Deprivation.....	12	Child Benefits .....	18
Figure 5: Children in Relative Low-Income Families (2019/20).....	12	Council Tax Reduction.....	18
Figure 6: Children in Relative Low-Income Families (2015/16 to 2019/20) .....	13	Sefton’s Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme (ELAS) .....	18
Map 2: Overall IMD Score by LSOA (2019) ..	13	Trussell Trust Food Bank.....	18
Map 3: IDAOPI Score by LSOA (2019).....	13	Figure 13: Rate of Working Age Residents Claiming Out of Work Benefits (January 2021) .....	19
Map 4: IDACI Score by LSOA (2019) .....	13	Figure 14: Rate of Working Age Residents Claiming Out of Work Benefits (January 2017/18/19/20/21).....	19
Housing & Households .....	14	Figure 15: Rate of Residents claiming Universal Credits (January 2021) .....	19
Council Tax.....	14	Figure 16: Rate of Residents claiming Personal Independence Payments (January 2021) .....	19
Vacant and / or Void Properties .....	14		
Housing Developments.....	14		
Sold Properties .....	14		
Index Deprivation – Barriers to Housing and Services.....	15		

Figure 17: Rate of Families claiming Child Benefits (2020) .....	19	Figure 24: Average Attainment 8 Score (2018/19) .....	24
Figure 18: Percentage of Households Claiming Council Tax Reductions (January 2020).....	20	Figure 25: Average Progress 8 Score (2018/19) .....	24
Table 7: Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme (ELAS) Applications (January to December 2020) .....	20	Not in Employment Education or Training (NEET) .....	24
Education.....	21	Figure 26: Rate of NEET Residents Aged 16 - 18 (January 2017/18/19/20/21) .....	24
Nursery Settings .....	21	Community Safety .....	25
Primary School Settings .....	21	Anti-social Behaviour (ASB) .....	25
Secondary School Settings.....	21	Deliberate Fires.....	25
16+ Pupils .....	21	Index of Multiple Deprivation - Crime .....	25
Table 8: Nursery Settings (January 2020) ....	22	Table 15: Offences Reported to Merseyside Police (2020/21).....	26
Table 9: Primary School Settings (January 2020).....	22	Table 16: ASB Incidents Reported to Merseyside Police (2020/21) .....	26
Table 10: Secondary School Settings (January 2020).....	22	Table 17: Deliberate Property Fires (2020/21) .....	26
Table 11: 16+ Pupils (January 2020) .....	22	Map 7: Crime IMD Score by LSOA (2019) ...	26
Early Years Foundation Stage .....	22	Health.....	27
Key Stage 1 (KS1) .....	22	Life Expectancy .....	27
Key Stage 2 (KS2) .....	22	Fertility.....	27
Key Stage 4 (KS4) .....	22	Overweight Children .....	27
Figure 19: Percentage of Pupils NOT Gaining a Good Level of Development in Early Years Foundation Stage (2018/19).....	23	Hospital Admissions.....	27
Table 12: Early Years Foundation Stage Settings – Special Educational Needs and Free School Meals (2018/19).....	23	Deaths .....	27
Figure 20: Percentage of Key Stage 1 Pupils Not Achieving Expected Standard in Reading Writing and Maths (2018/19).....	23	Cancer .....	27
Table 13: Key Stage 1 – Special Educational Needs and Free School Meals (2018/19) ....	23	Index of Multiple Deprivation – Health & Disability .....	27
Figure 21: Percentage of Key Stage 2 Pupils Not Achieving Expected Standard in Reading Writing and Maths (2018/19).....	23	Figure 27: Life Expectancy at Birth (2015-2019) .....	28
Table 14: Key Stage 2 – Special Educational Needs and Free School Meals (2018/19) ....	24	Figure 28: Children with Excess Weight – Year R (2018/19) .....	28
Figure 22: Percentage Not Achieving in English and Maths 9 to 5 (2018/19) .....	24	Figure 29: Children with Excess Weight – Year 6 (2018/19) .....	28
Figure 23: Percentage of Pupils Not Achieving English Bacculaureate 9 to 5 (2018/19).....	24	Figure 30: Emergency Hospital Admissions – CR Injuries in Under 15 year olds (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	28
		Figure 31: Emergency Hospital Admissions – CR Injuries in 15 to 24 year olds (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	28
		Figure 32: Emergency Hospital Admissions – All Causes SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	28

Figure 33: Emergency Hospital Admissions – COPD SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	29	Table 22: Personal Care at Home Clients (2020/21) .....	34
Figure 34: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Coronary Heart Disease SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	29	Table 23: Long Term Residential and Nursing Home Clients (2020/21).....	34
Figure 35: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Myocardial Infarction SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	29	Table 24: Adult Social Care Contacts (2020/21) .....	34
Figure 36: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Stroke SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20).....	29	Libraries .....	34
Figure 37: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Hip Fractures 65+ SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20) .....	29	Domestic Waste Collection.....	35
Figure 38: Hospital Admissions – Intentional Self-harm SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20).....	29	Calls for Service – Environmental Issues.....	35
Figure 39: All Causes of Death SMR (2015 – 2019).....	29	Figure 44: Active Library Patrons (2019/20)	35
Figure 40: All Cancer Incidences SIR (2014 – 2018).....	29	Table 25: Waste Collections (2019/20).....	35
Map 8: Health IMD Score by LSOA (2019)...	30	Table 26: Environmental Issues (2020/21) .	35
2011 Census Flows – Migration.....	31	MOSAIC Profile .....	36
Table 18 / Map 9: Moving into Park (2011).	31	Overview .....	36
Table 19 / Map 10: Moving out of Park (2011) .....	31	Table 27: Mosaic Group Breakdown (September 2020).....	36
2011 Census Flows – Travel to Work.....	32	Generic MOSAIC Characteristics.....	37
Table 20 / Map 11: Park Residents Travelling to Work (2011) .....	32	Figure 45: Mosaic Groups by Number .....	37
Table 21 / Map 12: People Travelling to Work in Park (2011).....	32	Figure 46: Mosaic Groups by Age, Residency Length and Environmental Gap (2020).....	37
Service Demand & Delivery .....	33	Figure 47: Mosaic Groups by Social Network Usage, Internet Usage and Household Technology (2020) .....	37
Children’s Social Care .....	33	Figure 48: Mosaic Groups by Number of Children, Household Income and Residency Length (2020).....	37
Early Help.....	33	Figure 49: Mosaic Groups by .....	37
YOT (Youth Offending Team).....	33	Figure 50: Mosaic Groups by Number of Children, Household Income and Social Network Usage (2020) .....	38
Figure 41: Children Services (31 <sup>st</sup> March 2020).....	33	Figure 51: Mosaic Groups by Internet Usage, .....	38
Figure 42: Early Help Open Episodes (31 <sup>st</sup> December 2020) .....	33	Notes on Data & Methodology.....	39
Figure 43: Rate of Young People Known to YOT (2018/19/20) .....	34	Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	41
Adult Social Care - Personal Care at Home..	34	Sources.....	42
Adult Social Care - Long Term Residential and Nursing Homes .....	34		
Adult Social Care - Contacts.....	34		

## Highlights

### Life Expectancy



Life Expectancy at Birth (2015 - 2019)

### Free School Meals



Proportion of children taking Free School Meals (Jan 2020)

### Children Social Care



Numbers per 10,000 of 0-17 year olds (19/20)


### Universal Credits (UC) Benefits




Percentage of working age residents claiming UC (Jan 2021)

### Educational Attainment


#### Early Years Foundation Stage



#### Key Stage 2



#### Key Stage 4




Percentage of Children NOT Achieving a Good Level of Development or Attaining Standard (18/19)

### Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme



Percentage of residents aged 18+ with an approved or partially approved application (2020)


### Reported Crimes



Rate of crimes reported per 1,000 population (Apr 20 - Mar 21)


## Sefton Comparative Position

This table shows the relative position of the ward compared to all other wards in Sefton. Low values are to the left, high to the right.




## National Comparative Position

This table shows the relative position of the ward compared to Wards in England. Low values are to the left, high to the right.




## LCR Comparative Position

This table shows the relative position of the ward compared to all other wards in the Liverpool City Region (LCR). Low values are to the left, high to the right.



## North West Comparative Position

This table shows the relative position of the ward compared to Wards across the North West. Low values are to the left, high to the right.





## Overview


Sefton is a metropolitan borough of Merseyside, England and its local authority is Sefton Council. Sefton was formed, following the Local Government Act 1972, on 1 April 1974.

Park is one of the 22 wards that make up Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council. It is located to the South East of the Borough and covers Sefton village, Lunt village, Lydiate and the western part of Maghull. Park is bordered by West Lancashire LA to the North and East, Sudell and Molyneux wards to the South East, St Oswald ward to the South and Manor ward to the West

In the ward there are/is:

- An Academy - Primary School / Nursery
- An Academy – Secondary School
- A Community Police Station
- Four GP Surgeries
- A Leisure Centre / Library
- A Nursery
- A Primary School
- Three Primary School / Nursery

Map 1: Park Assets



## COVID-19

The core figures in this document reflect the latest information available some of this data does not cover the period affected by the COVID-19 pandemic and associated impacts. Whilst these are expected to be significant there is at present no comprehensive or robust national data to assess these wider impacts.

## Implications for Service Delivery

Due to the demographic makeup, lower deprivation levels and generally higher levels of health seen across the ward, demands on services will be lower than those seen across Sefton as a whole; these may increase in the foreseeable future due to an aging population and increase in overall deprivation. Key service demands could include:

- Demand on services relating to older people including adult social care and health services may be higher than average in Park due to the older and aging population seen in the ward. There are less economically active people residing in the ward which may influence the income and housing within the ward.
- The ward has low deprivation levels, though overall poverty has seen an increase in the ward which may lead to demands being put on services.
- Slightly higher levels of lone person households aged 65+ in the area, may lead to loneliness and seclusion issues which can have an impact on resident's health and wellbeing and lead to increased demand on services.
- There are overall lower than average levels on vulnerability in the ward, yet changes made in the Welfare Reform Act may see increased levels of vulnerable households and widening in inequalities in households, leading to demands on services such as Food Banks, Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme, Housing Benefits, and Homeless Services.
- The ward has low levels of crime and disorder, however increases in Anti-Social Behaviour and fires have been seen, coupled with, budgets cuts seen by both Merseyside Police and Merseyside Fire and Rescue may result in increases in demand and therefore increasing level of direct costs.
- Cancer incidences in the ward are higher than those seen across the Borough or Nationally leading to increased demand on services and support by residents.

## Demographics

The latest 2019 population estimates indicate there are approximately 11,500 people living in Park.

Overall the people of the ward tend to be older than the Sefton, Liverpool City Region (LCR), and England averages. Some 29% of the population is aged 65 and over compared to 24% in the Borough, 19% across LCR and 18% nationally. With 5% of residents in Park being aged 85 and over, compared to just 3% in Sefton and 2% in the City Region and England (Table 1).

The higher than average older person population found within the ward, may mean that there will be increased demand for services specifically from adult social care, GP and health services along with other sources of support.

Some 54% of the population are of “working age” (16 – 65) meaning demand for jobs in the area will be lower than that seen across the Borough, or for those out of work decrease demand for benefit support.

The overall population in the ward has seen an 4% decline between 2010 and 2019. Compared to a 1% increase seen across Sefton.

Over the last decade the number of older people (65 and over) in the ward has increased by 14% (Figure 2), with those aged 85 and over increasing by 89%.

In the last Census (2011) 98% of the population was born in the United Kingdom. With just 1% of the ward population having an ethnicity recorded as Mixed Heritage, Black, Asian, or Minority Ethnic background. The England average is 15%.

Identification of international migration trends between the decennial Censuses is difficult as accurate data covering the whole population is not available. However, the National Insurance Number (NINo) registrations of adult overseas nationals entering the UK can be used to create a picture of economically active migration (see methodology section for interpretation of this data).

Approximately 23 NINo registrations were made within Park by overseas nationals between 2015/16 and 2019/20 (Table 2). Assuming none of those applicants moved out of the ward during

the period this equates to just 3 per 1,000 16 to 65-year olds living in the ward. This is considerably lower than the rate seen across Sefton as a whole (28 per 1,000) the Liverpool City Region (46) the North West (53) and England (85).

58% of the overseas national registrations within Park during the period were from European Union countries (EU). With the top two countries of registration being from the EU (Figure 3);

- Romania (17%)
- Portugal (5%)

Although the overall numbers are very low, over the time period of October 2015 to March 2020 the number of registrations in Park has been sporadic with a high of three in Apr to Jun 2017, however most quarters have either none or numbers too low to publish. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic figure post March 2020 are currently unreliable (Figure 4).




Table 1: 2019 Ward Population Distribution Comparisons

	Park Count	Percentage			
		Ward	Sefton	LCR	England
Total	11,487	-	-	-	-
Males	5,487	48%	48%	49%	49%
Females	6,000	52%	52%	49%	50%
Aged 0-17	1,914	17%	20%	20%	21%
Aged 0-19	2,111	18%	21%	23%	24%
Aged 4-16	1,429	12%	14%	15%	16%
Aged 16-65	6,574	57%	60%	64%	63%
Aged 18-64	6,219	54%	57%	61%	60%
Aged 55-65	1,918	17%	16%	14%	13%
Aged 65+	3,354	29%	24%	19%	18%
Aged 85+	561	5%	3%	2%	2%

Figure 1: 2019 Ward Population Distribution by Age Band & Gender




Figure 2: Population Change by Core Age Groups




Table 2: NINo Applications 2015/16 – 2019/20

	Park Count	Rate per 1,000 Population Aged 16-65				
		Ward	Sefton	LCR	NW	England
European Union NINo	13	2.0	21.8	31.4	33.2	58.0
Asia NINo	4	0.7	2.9	8.3	12.2	15.2
Rest of the World NINo	5	0.7	2.5	5.2	6.1	9.7
All Overseas NINo	23	3.4	27.7	45.9	52.6	85.5

Figure 3: Top Two NINo Application Origin Countries 2015/16 – 2019/20





Figure 4: NINo Applications by Quarter October 2015 to September 2020



Service Demand

Approximately 6% of Park residents aged 65 and over received some form of long-term care from Sefton’s Adult Social Care during the 2020/21 financial year.

Approximately 1% of the 0 – 17-year-old population within the ward were known to Sefton Children’s Social care as at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2020.

Less than 1% of the 0 – 22-year-old population within the ward were known to Sefton’s Early Help service as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020.

## Deprivation

Residents of the ward are living in some of the most deprived areas within England. The Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) measures levels of deprivation across seven domains. The higher the score the more affected or ‘deprived’ an area is.

The IMD data can be viewed at several different levels. The map below shows the relative level of deprivation across Sefton where the areas shaded are ‘Lower Level Super Output Areas’ (LSOA). These areas are groups of approximately 1,200 households - defined nationally.

Of the nine LSOAs which make up Park six are within the bottom 30% of most deprived areas nationally (Map 2). Five of the LSOA have shown increases when comparing the 2019 IMD scores to those in 2015 indicating that the level of deprivation in the ward has increased over the last 5 years. The average score across the ward is 11.4 compared to 27.3 across Sefton as a whole, 34.9 in LCR, 27.9 across the North West and 21.7 in England.

The income deprivation affecting older people index (IDAOPi) is a supplementary index to the IMD. In general, the ward is populated by some of the lesser income deprived older people in the country. However, one of the wards LSOAs are in the top 30% affected areas nationally. The average IDAOPi score across the ward was 0.10, compared to Sefton and NW – 0.19, LCR – 0.24, England – 0.17.

All bar one the nine LSOAs have shown reductions in IDAOPi between 2015 and 2019 suggesting an improvement in older people incomes over the period possibly because of the protection of Pension levels over the period (Map 3).

The income deprivation affecting children index (IDACi) is a supplementary index to the IMD. In general, the ward is populated by some of the least income deprived parents with children in the country.

With seven of Park’s LSOAs being in the bottom 30% affected areas nationally (one of which is in the bottom 5%). The average IDACi score across the ward is 0.06 lower than the comparator areas (Sefton 0.17, LCR 0.23, NW 0.18 and England 0.16)

All bar one of the nine LSOAs have shown decreases in levels of IDACi between 2015 and 2019 suggesting an improvement in parental income over the period (Map 4).

It is estimated that in 2019/20 there were some 210 dependent children (aged 0-19) within Park living in relative low-income families. This equates to approximately 10% of all children in the ward (Figure 5). This is an overall rise of 8% when compared to 2015/16. Park continually has a lower level than that of Sefton, LCR, the North West and England (Figure 6).



Figure 5: Children in Relative Low-Income Families (2019/20)








Figure 6: Children in Relative Low-Income Families (2015/16 to 2019/20)




Park has a lower than average level of all deprivation types, however, there has been a slight increase in the overall deprivation. Lower levels of deprivation go hand in hand with low levels of demands for services covering all ages including children’s and adult’s social care, benefits (including out of work and housing), ‘Sefton Turnaround’ Programme, and early help, intervention, and prevention.


Map 2: Overall IMD Score by LSOA (2019)



Map 3: IDAOPI Score by LSOA (2019)



Map 4: IDACI Score by LSOA (2019)



## Housing & Households

In most respects the housing make-up of the ward reflects its population of predominantly settled owner occupied households; there is a slightly higher than average number of older lone-person households.

In the 2011 Census:

- 28% of households were occupied by just one person (Sefton was 32%, LCR 34%, NW 32%, and England 30%).
- 17% of households were occupied by someone aged 65+ living alone, higher than the Borough, and other local and national averages. (Sefton was 16%, LCR 13%, NW 13%, and England 12%). Indicating that demands on adult services or charities may be slightly higher than that of the Borough.
- 8% of households were occupied by a lone parent. (Sefton was 13%, LCR 14%, NW 12%, and England 11%).
- 80% of households had an occupancy rating of +1 or more - an indication that the households had one or more bedrooms than 'required'. This is higher than the rates seen across the Borough (74%), City Region (73%), Northwest (72%) and England (69%).
- 4% of all household tenure was local authority or social landlord rented lower than the local and national rates (Sefton was 14%, LCR 22%, NW and England 18%). With 6% of households being privately rented properties (Sefton 13%, LCR 16%, NW 15%, and England 17%).
- 5% of households over 65 had a tenure category of local authority or social land-lord rented (Sefton was 14%, LCR 19%, NW 21%, and England 19%), with a further 2% residing in privately rented properties (Sefton was 5%, LCR 4%, NW 5%, and England 4%). Meaning just 7% of households over 65 were rented properties (compared to 19% in Sefton); this will lead to minimal demands for funding should these residents move into long term residential or nursing facilities as they will have any property equity to fund their stays.

The ward has a lower than average number of long-term vacant properties; these properties there is a higher rate of privately-owned properties. The ward has a lower level of Council Tax discounts, partly a function of lower level of single occupancy households.

### Council Tax

Overall, there were 5,185 rateable dwellings in the ward, this makes up 4% of the Sefton total slightly lower than the Borough average (5%).

Over half (51% / 2,657 dwellings) within the ward are deemed to fall into Council Tax Band C.

Of the dwellings within the ward subject to paying Council Tax 31% qualified for single occupancy discount (i.e. their Council Tax liability was reduced by 25%).

### Vacant and / or Void Properties

Park has a rate of vacant and / or void properties of 33 per 1,000 properties. The ward's rate is lower than the average for the Borough (43 per 1000).

Park had a total of 170 vacant and / or void properties as of April 2020 making up 3% of all vacant and / or void properties across Sefton (Table 3).

12% of the vacant and / or void stock in Park has been vacant and / or void for over two years.

Nearly two thirds (61%) of Park's vacant and / or void properties are registered as being privately owned; notably higher than the Borough rate of 44%. With 5% of the ward's vacant and / or void properties being registered social landlords; lower than Sefton's rate of 7%.

### Housing Developments

Provisionally, as at March 2021 there were 14 development sites in Park (six of which are currently in progress), constituting 142 dwellings of which just 12% are intended as 'affordable' (Figure 8). The new builds are predominantly four or more-bedroom properties (Figure 9).

### Sold Properties

There were 301 properties sold in Park between January and December 2019, 68% of which were household dwellings. Of all the properties sold in the ward 32% were semi-detached dwellings. The average property prices within the ward are all

predominantly lower than those seen across the Borough (Figure 10).

14% of the properties sold in the ward during 2019 were new build properties. With 13%, of all properties sold in the ward during the year being leasehold.

*Index Deprivation – Barriers to Housing and Services*

In general, all areas of Sefton have relatively low barriers to housing when compared nationally. The 2019 Index of Deprivation relating to Housing & Services shows that Park has below average issues in terms of housing barriers across Sefton, and these improved overall from 2015.

Three of LSOAs making up the ward are in the bottom 1% of affected areas nationally, meaning that residents of the ward are living in some of the *least* affected areas for barriers to housing and services across England and Sefton (Map 5).

There has been some progress made in recent developments and improvement in housing stock yet given the lower levels of vacant and poor quality accommodation and current amount of developments already in the ward there may be little opportunity for continued existing stock improvements.

*Car Registration*

In 2019, 7,857 vehicles were registered with the DVLA in Park, 87% of these were cars (6,822). There are approximately 783 vehicles per 1,000 driving age people in Park (those aged 17 and over). Higher than the comparator areas (Figure 11).

The rate of car registrations in Park has increased over the past 10 years (by 2%).




Figure 7: Household Occupancy (Census 2011)




Table 3: Time Void / Vacant (April 2020)

Vacant Propertys April 2020	Park		Sefton	
	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>
0-6 Months	91	17.7	2417	19.5
6-12 Months	33	6.4	953	7.7
12-24 Months	26	5.1	1029	8.3
24+ Months	20	3.9	957	7.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>5356</b>	<b>43.2</b>

<sup>1</sup> The rate is the count per 1,000 households in the ward

Figure 8: New Build Properties by Affordability Type (March 2021)




Figure 9: New Build Properties by Bedroom Numbers (March 2021)





Figure 10: Average Price Paid by Property Type (2019)



Map 5: Barriers to Housing & Services IMD Score by LSOA (2019)





Figure 11: Rate of Vehicle Registrations (2019)





## Economy & Business


As of September 2020, there were a total of 32 establishments throughout Park which hold a license under the 2003 Licensing Act; these include locations which sell alcohol (shops, pubs, clubs and restaurants) along with properties serving hot food after 11pm. Park makes up 3% of all Sefton’s licensed premises lower than the Sefton average of 5%.

There were no establishments hold a license under the Gambling Regulation Act 2003 across Park as of September 2020.

Within Park there were 64 inspections carried out of commercial properties (ranging from large chain stores e.g. Asda / Tesco, to the local corner shop) during 2019/20. 69% of these related food hygiene inspections.

According to Experian Mosaic in 2020, 13% (709) of households within Park had an annual income of less than £15,000 (Figure 12), considerably lower than the rates seen across Sefton. Possibly due to the area being predominantly stable more affluent households.

Figure 12: Household Income – Mosaic (2020)



The 2011 Census indicated that of the then 8,668 residents aged 16 to 74 living within Park, 69% were economically active. Of these economically active residents, 39% were employed full time; 14% employed part time; and 9% were self-employed (Table 4).

31% were economically in-active; of whom 21% were retired and 3% were registered as long-term sick or disabled (Table 5).

In total 82 (1%) had been unemployed long term, with less than 1% (0.3% / 25) never being employed (Table 6).

Table 4: Economically Active (2011)

	Park Count	Percentages				
		Ward	Sefton	LCR	NW	England
All	5976	68.9	67.1	65.7	67.8	69.9
In employment	5442	62.8	59.1	56.2	59.6	62.1
Employee: Part-time	1234	14.2	15.0	14.0	13.9	13.7
Employee: Full-time	3413	39.4	35.8	35.6	37.5	38.6
Self-employed	795	9.2	8.2	6.6	8.2	9.8
Unemployed	234	2.7	4.9	5.8	4.7	4.4
Full-time student	300	3.5	3.1	3.7	3.5	3.4


Table 5: Economically Inactive (2011)

	Park Count	Percentages				
		Ward	Sefton	LCR	NW	England
All	2692	31.1	32.9	34.3	32.2	30.1
Retired	1793	20.7	17.3	14.7	14.8	13.7
Student	337	3.9	4.4	6.3	5.6	5.8
Looking after home or family	191	2.2	3.4	3.9	3.9	4.4
Long-term sick or disabled	282	3.3	5.9	7.1	5.6	4.0
Other	89	1.0	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2

Table 6: Unemployed (2011)

	Park Count	Percentages				
		Ward	Sefton	LCR	NW	England
Age 16 to 24	65	0.7	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.2
Age 50 to 74	63	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8
Never worked	25	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.7
Long-term	82	0.9	2.0	2.4	1.8	1.7

Map 6: Employment IMD Score by LSOA (2019)



One LSOA is in the top 30% of affected areas nationally, meaning residents of the area are living in some of the most affected area for working age people involuntarily excluded from the labour market (Map 6). Yet the ward’s average score is lower than the comparator areas.

## Benefits & Support

Park is characterised overall by lower than average benefits-dependent population, however, there has been an increase in socio-economic problems of overall poverty. The population vulnerable to the vagaries of the wider economy are less likely to be vulnerable to the structural changes in benefit levels and accessibility.

In January 2021, approximately 250 working age people residing in the ward were claiming out of work benefits. This is 4% of all the working aged residents; lower than Sefton, LCR, North West (all 7%). With 6% of residents in the ward aged between 16 and 24 were claiming out of work benefits lower than Sefton, LCR and the North West (Figure 13).

The rates of working age residents claiming out of work benefit in Park have seen increases across the past five years (Figure 14), with an overall rise of 355% (195). The COVID 19 pandemic is likely to have attributed to the significant increase in levels seen in 2021. A similar pattern can be seen across the Borough as a whole. However, the Park rates have continually been below those in the Borough.

### Universal Credits (UC)

UC is a benefit payment for people in or out of work and replaces six benefits (housing benefits, child tax credits, working tax credits, Income Support, Job Seekers Allowance and Employment Support Allowance). The roll out of UC officially finished across the UK in December 2018.

584 working age residents in Park were claiming Universal credits in January 2021 (60% of these were not in employment). The rate of working age residents claiming UC in the ward is 91 per 1,000, lower than most of the comparator area rates; Sefton – 170, LCR – 180, North West – 167 and England – 148 (Figure 15).

### Personal Independence Payment (PIP)

PIP is a benefit for people who have extra care or mobility needs. PIP is replacing disability living allowance for those aged 16 and above. Full roll out of this benefit is due to completed by summer 2022

In January 2021, 433 residents were claiming PIP in Park, a rate of 67 per 1000 residents (aged 16+).

This rate is lower than seen across Sefton and LCR (Figure 16).

### Child Benefits

1,025 families in Park are claiming child benefits relating to 79% (1,670) of children in the ward in 2020. The ward has a lower rate of children in families claiming child benefits than that of the Borough, City Region, Region and Nationally (Figure 17).

### Council Tax Reduction

In January 2020, there were 573 households in the ward claiming Council Tax Reduction (11% of all rated households), lower than the Sefton average of 20%. (Figure 18). Park has the fourth lowest council tax reduction rate of the 22 Sefton wards.

### Sefton's Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme (ELAS)

During 2020, there were just 23 applications to Sefton's ELAS by residents within Park (Table 7). Of the applications in the ward, 48% were approved in full. Park has seen an overall reduction in the number of applications approved over the past four years (42%). Park made up less than 1% of all Sefton's applicants, the ward has the third lowest number of applicants in 2020 of the 22 Sefton wards.

### Trussell Trust Food Bank

Trussell Trust Food Bank data for April 2019 to March 2020 shows that 28 vouchers were issued to residents of Park ward, with 69 residents being fed by a Food Bank located in Sefton, equating to 6 per 1,000 residents which is significantly lower than the Sefton rate of just 66 per 1,000 residents. There has been a 7% reduction in the number of vouchers issued compared to the previous year. Park has the second lowest number of vouchers issued of the 22 Sefton wards.




Figure 13: Rate of Working Age Residents Claiming Out of Work Benefits (January 2021)




Figure 14: Rate of Working Age Residents Claiming Out of Work Benefits (January 2017/18/19/20/21)




Figure 15: Rate of Residents claiming Universal Credits (January 2021)




Figure 16: Rate of Residents claiming Personal Independence Payments (January 2021)




Figure 17: Rate of Families claiming Child Benefits (2020)





Figure 18: Percentage of Households Claiming Council Tax Reductions (January 2020)



These changes in the welfare reform system and the COVID pandemic are going to have varying impacts across Sefton wards.

Within Park there is a lower than average level of reliance on benefits; the new reforms may lead to a potential reduction in household income for some of the more vulnerable residents in the ward. This could have an increased demand on other provisions including ELAS, Food Banks and homeless services. The reduction in household income may also have a knock-on effect on local businesses and landlords.

Table 7: Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme (ELAS) Applications (January to December 2020)

	Park Count	Application Status	
		Ward	Sefton
<b>Total Applications</b>	23	-	-
<b>Approved</b>	11	48%	53%
<b>Partially Approved</b>	0	0%	10%
<b>Not Approved</b>	12	52%	37%

The Welfare Reform Act introduced major changes to the national benefits system. As of November 2016, the benefit cap on household income was reduced from £26,000 per annum to £20,000 per annum. This was in addition to the already implanted reforms of the ‘bedroom tax’ (a reduction in housing benefit for occupants of rented housing who have more rooms than they are deemed to require); and council tax reduction scheme (the personal capital limit has been reduced from £16,000 to £6,000 for council tax liability meaning unemployed working age people, 16-64, with less than £6,000 being liable to pay 20% of the annual council tax bill).

Along with the changes in limits and accessibility the way benefits are paid has also changed. Universal Credits has been introduced as a single means-tested benefit paid to people of working age replacing JSA, ESA, IS, HB, WTC and CTC. Personal Independence Payment is replacing DLA, it is a benefit for people aged 16-64 with a long-term health condition or disability that means they have trouble getting around or need help with daily living activities.

The COVID pandemic will have affected the number of benefit claimants as many businesses had to either furlough or terminate staff. It is unclear at present how long these effects will last.

## Education

Given the direct correlation between deprivation levels it is unsurprising that overall Park generally has a lower than average proportion of children with special educational needs and predominantly higher levels of attainment rates compared to the Sefton results.

The higher levels of attainment and lower levels of specialist support needed for children in the ward may present challenges to further improve the delivery and pressures on service delivery across school and educational services.

### Nursery Settings

Of the 70 student’s resident in Park ward attending a Sefton maintained Nursery setting (Table 8):

- 4% of all Nursery pupils in Sefton live in Park.
- 23% of the estimated Nursery age population within the ward attend a Sefton maintained nursery (Sefton has a rate of 22%).
- No students were entitled to free school meals, or subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support or had English not as a first language.

### Primary School Settings

Of the 777 Sefton maintained / academy Primary School students living in the ward (Table 9):

- 4% of all Primary pupils in Sefton live in Park.
- 86% of the estimated primary school age population within the ward attend a Sefton maintained primary school (Sefton has a rate of 80%).
- 5% were entitled to a free school meal (Sefton is 21%).
- 6% were subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support (Sefton is 15%).
- 1% had English not as a first language (Sefton is 6%).

### Secondary School Settings

Of the 478 Sefton maintained / academy Secondary School students living in the ward (Table 10):

- 4% of all high school pupils in Sefton live in Park.
- 76% of the estimated high school age population within the ward attend a Sefton maintained secondary school (Sefton has a rate of 74%).
- 6% were entitled to a free school meal (Sefton is 19%).
- 10% were subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support (Sefton is 15%).
- The number of pupils with English not as a first language was under four so has been suppressed.

### 16+ Pupils

Of the 90 Sefton maintained Secondary School students living in the ward (Table 11):

- 6% of all Pupils over 16 in Sefton live in Park.
- 19% of the estimated 16 to 20year olds residing within the ward attend a Sefton maintained post 16 setting (Sefton has a rate of 11%).
- The number of pupils entitled to a free school meal and subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support were under four so has been suppressed.

% Overall Pupils with SEN



% Overall FSM






Table 8: Nursery Settings (January 2020)

Nursery Class Pupils	Park		Sefton	
	Count	%	Count	%
Total Pupils	70		1923	
Females	34	49%	941	49%
Males	36	51%	982	51%
Receiving Free School Meal	0	0%	141	7%
SEN Support	0	0%	119	6%
English as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	63	90%	1704	89%
English not as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	104	5%

<sup>1</sup> The Language Breakdowns may not add up to the total number of pupils as the Language was either not provided, unknown or refused

Table 9: Primary School Settings (January 2020)

Primary School Pupils	Park		Sefton	
	Count	%	Count	%
Total Pupils	777		20075	
Females	383	49%	9680	48%
Males	394	51%	10395	52%
Receiving Free School Meal	37	5%	4145	21%
SEN Support	46	6%	3067	15%
English as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	737	95%	18195	91%
English not as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	4	1%	1171	6%

<sup>1</sup> The Language Breakdowns may not add up to the total number of pupils as the Language was either not provided, unknown or refused

Table 10: Secondary School Settings (January 2020)

Secondary School Pupils	Park		Sefton	
	Count	%	Count	%
Total Pupils	478		13225	
Females	235	49%	6541	49%
Males	243	51%	6684	51%
Receiving Free School Meal	28	6%	2476	19%
SEN Support	50	10%	1993	15%
English as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	470	98%	12508	95%
English not as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	*	*	660	5%

<sup>1</sup> The Language Breakdowns may not add up to the total number of pupils as the Language was either not provided, unknown or refused  
Please note within the table counts below four have been suppressed

Table 11: 16+ Pupils (January 2020)

Post 16 Pupils	Park		Sefton	
	Count	%	Count	%
Total Pupils	90		1542	
Females	44	49%	772	50%
Males	46	51%	770	50%
Receiving Free School Meal	*	*	129	8%
SEN Support	*	*	125	8%
English as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	90	100%	1483	96%
English not as a First Language <sup>1</sup>	0	0%	51	3%

<sup>1</sup> The Language Breakdowns may not add up to the total number of pupils as the Language was either not provided, unknown or refused  
Please note within the table counts below four have been suppressed

### Early Years Foundation Stage

Of the 96 students residing within Park ward and attending a Sefton maintained setting with an Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) level (Figure 19 & Table 12):

- 22% did not achieve a “Good Level of Development”. This is much lower than the

non-achievement levels seen across Sefton and North West (both 31%), LCR (33%) and England (28%).

- 3% were entitled to a free school meal (Sefton is 16%).
- 2% were subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support (Sefton is 7%).

### Key Stage 1 (KS1)

During 2018/19 there were 110 students residing within Park ward and attending a Sefton maintained setting with a KS1 level. For these students (Figure 20 and Table 13):

- 25% did not achieve the expected standard in Reading, Writing, and Maths; less than the averages for Sefton and England both 37%, and the North West at 35%.
- 6% were entitled to a free school meal (Sefton is 18%).
- 4% were subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support (Sefton is 14%).

### Key Stage 2 (KS2)

During 2018/19 there were 113 students residing within Park ward and attending a Sefton maintained setting, with a KS2 level. For these students (Figure 21 and Table 14):

- 24% did not achieve the expected standard in Reading, Writing, and Maths; well below the averages for Sefton at 37%, LCR at 38%, North West and England both at 35%.
- 6% were entitled to a free school meal (Sefton is 20%).
- 7% were subject to Special Educational Needs (SEN) support (Sefton is 17%)

### Key Stage 4 (KS4)

During 2018/19 there were 76 students residing within Park ward and attending a Sefton maintained setting undertaking GCSE examinations. For these students:

- 51% did not achieve a level between 9 and 5 in English and Maths; below the average for Sefton, LCR, the North West and England (Figure 22).

- 90% did not achieve a level between 9 and 5 for the English Bacallaureate, higher than Sefton, LCR, The North West and England (Figure 23).
- The students in Park had an average Attainment 8 score of 48.1 this was higher than the four comparator areas (Figure 24).
- The average Progress 8 score in the ward (-0.4) lower than all four comparison areas. This means that on average students are not achieving as expected (Figure 25).




Figure 19: Percentage of Pupils NOT Gaining a Good Level of Development in Early Years Foundation Stage (2018/19)




Table 12: Early Years Foundation Stage Settings – Special Educational Needs and Free School Meals (2018/19)

	Pupils with SEN & SEN Support (EYFS)		Eligible for Free School Meal (EYFS)	
	Count	%	Count	%
Park	2	2.1%	3	3.1%
Sefton	193	6.7%	457	15.9%

Figure 20: Percentage of Key Stage 1 Pupils Not Achieving Expected Standard in Reading Writing and Maths (2018/19)




Table 13: Key Stage 1 – Special Educational Needs and Free School Meals (2018/19)

	Pupils with SEN & SEN Support (KS1)		Eligible for Free School Meal (KS1)	
	Count	%	Count	%
Park	4	3.6%	7	6.4%
Sefton	388	13.6%	513	18.0%

Figure 21: Percentage of Key Stage 2 Pupils Not Achieving Expected Standard in Reading Writing and Maths (2018/19)

Table 14: Key Stage 2 – Special Educational Needs and Free School Meals (2018/19)

	Pupils with SEN & SEN Support (KS2)		Eligible for Free School Meal (KS2)	
	Count	%	Count	%
Park	8	7.1%	7	6.2%
Sefton	465	16.9%	540	19.6%

Figure 22: Percentage Not Achieving in English and Maths 9 to 5 (2018/19)




Figure 23: Percentage of Pupils Not Achieving English Baccalaureate 9 to 5 (2018/19)




Figure 24: Average Attainment 8 Score (2018/19)





Figure 25: Average Progress 8 Score (2018/19)




Not in Employment Education or Training (NEET)

In January 2021, there are a total of seven people aged between 16 and 18 registered as Not in Employment Education or Training (NEET) with Careers Connect residing within Park ward. Making up 2% of the Sefton total NEET.

Park NEET rates have continually been lower than those of the Borough for the past five years (Figure 26) and have seen fluctuations during the period (2017 to 2021). With 2021 having one of the highest rates.

Figure 26: Rate of NEET Residents Aged 16 - 18 (January 2017/18/19/20/21)





## Community Safety

Associated with its lower than average levels of socio-economic issues Park has below average levels of crime and disorder compared to the Borough as a whole.

### Crime

There were 453 crimes reported to Merseyside Police between April 2020 and March 2021, in Park ward representing 2% of all crimes that occurred within Sefton. This is 39 crimes per 1,000 population - lower than the rate seen across Sefton as a whole (72 per 1,000).

The number of crimes in the ward have seen an 6% decrease from the previous year. This is slightly lower than the 7% seen across the Borough (Table 15).

Nearly a third of all crimes in the ward were violent offences (31% / 141). Of these, 45 (31%) were common assault and battery.

### Anti-social Behaviour (ASB)

There were 241 Anti-social Behaviour incidents reported to Merseyside Police between April 2020 and March 2021 (Table 16), occurring within Park: 3% of all Sefton incidents. The ward has a rate of 21 incidents per 1,000 population - lower than the rate seen across Sefton as a whole (27 per 1,000).

There has been a 3% increase in the number of incidents occurring in Park compared to 2019/20 (the average across Sefton saw a 58% raise). The increase maybe due COVID 19 and the reporting of breaches in lockdown rules.

Over a third (36%) of the incidents within Park were reports of Rowdy or Inconsiderate Behaviour. 20% of all ASB reports in the ward specifically mentioned youths, with 4% relating to alcohol / drunken behaviour.

### Deliberate Fires

Merseyside Fire and Rescue Service (MFARS) responded to 12 deliberate fires in the Park between April 2020 and March 2021. 3% of all deliberate fires across the Borough.

The rate of deliberate fires per 100,000 population in Park is 104 compared to 171 across Sefton as a whole (Table 17).

Deliberate property fires in Park increased by 33% compared to last year, with an increase also seen across the Borough as a whole (5%).

83% of all deliberate fires related to secondary fires, with 90% of these related to open grass / scrub land or vegetation being set on fire.

### Index of Multiple Deprivation - Crime

Eight of the LSOAs making up the Park ward, are in the bottom 30% of affected areas nationally, meaning that residents of the area are living in some of the lowest crime areas in England (Map 7). The ward is below the comparator areas yet has showed an overall increase in levels since 2015.

Rate of All Offences




Rate of Drug / Violent Offences



Rate of ASB Incidents



Rate of Rowdy Inconsiderate Behaviour



Rate of Deliberate Fires



Table 15: Offences Reported to Merseyside Police (2020/21)

Offences Apr 20 - Mar 21	Park Crimes			Sefton Crimes		
	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>
Burglary	41	3.6	-26.8% ▼	1285	4.6	-19.3% ▼
Criminal Damage & Arson	59	5.1	-9.2% ▼	2043	7.4	-17.2% ▼
Drugs	25	2.2	0.0% ▶	1787	6.5	8.0% ▲
Public Order	53	4.6	43.2% ▲	2302	8.3	19.8% ▲
Other	7	0.6	-22.2% ▼	530	1.9	-6.0% ▼
Sexual	3	0.3	0.0% ▶	368	1.3	-18.6% ▼
Theft	124	10.8	-23.9% ▼	3330	12.0	-30.1% ▼
Violence	141	12.3	13.7% ▲	8381	30.3	3.5% ▲
<b>Total</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>39.4</b>	<b>-6.0% ▼</b>	<b>20010</b>	<b>72.4</b>	<b>-7.0% ▼</b>

<sup>1</sup> The rate is the count per 1,000 population residing in the ward

<sup>2</sup> Change in direction when comparing 2020/21 counts to the same period in 2019/20

<sup>3</sup> Other includes, Miscellaneous Crimes Against Society and Robbery offences

Table 16: ASB Incidents Reported to Merseyside Police (2020/21)

ASB Incidents Apr 20 - Mar 21	Park Incidents			Sefton Incidents		
	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>
General Nuisance	53	4.6	32.5% ▲	1840	6.7	98.1% ▲
Nuisance Neighbour	20	1.7	53.8% ▲	781	2.8	61.0% ▲
Other <sup>3</sup>	12	1.0	33.3% ▲	571	2.1	82.4% ▲
Personal	8	0.7	-11.1% ▼	351	1.3	12.1% ▲
Rowdy Inconsiderate Behaviour	86	7.5	-10.4% ▼	3271	11.8	66.2% ▲
Vehicle Nuisance	62	5.4	-8.8% ▼	710	2.6	-5.5% ▼
<b>Total</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>2.6% ▲</b>	<b>7524</b>	<b>27.2</b>	<b>58.1% ▲</b>

<sup>1</sup> The rate is the count per 1,000 population residing in the ward

<sup>2</sup> Change in direction when comparing 2020/21 counts to the same period in 2019/20

<sup>3</sup> Other includes, Animal Problems, Begging / Vagrancy, Environmental Issues Firework Issues, Malicious Communications, Noise and Street Drinking


Table 17: Deliberate Property Fires (2020/21)

Fires Apr20 - Mar21	Park Deliberate Fires			Sefton Deliberate Fires		
	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>
Deliberate Property Fires	1	8.7	100.0% ▲	38	13.7	5.6% ▲
Deliberate Secondary Fires	10	87.1	100.0% ▲	406	146.9	11.5% ▲
Vehicle Fires	1	8.7	-75.0% ▼	29	10.5	-43.1% ▼
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>104.5</b>	<b>33.3% ▲</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>171.1</b>	<b>4.9% ▲</b>

<sup>1</sup> The rate is the count per 100,000 population residing in the ward

<sup>2</sup> Change in direction when comparing 2020/21 counts to the same period in 2019/20

Map 7: Crime IMD Score by LSOA (2019)



The ward has low levels of crime and disorder. However, levels of ASB incidents and deliberate property fires have shown increases from the previous year, coupled with budgets cuts seen by both Merseyside Police and Merseyside Fire and Rescue may result in increases in demand and therefor increasing level of direct costs. Associated with these issues are also indirect costs including lowered levels of community satisfaction and wellbeing; and reduced attractiveness to encourage businesses and job creators to locate in the area.

## Health

Overall Park has a better level of health compared to the Borough with higher than average levels of life expectancy and lower levels of hospital admissions

During the 2011 Census:

- 5% of residents were in either bad or very bad health (Sefton average was 7%).
- 21% had their activities limited a little or a lot by their health (Sefton average was 23%).

### Life Expectancy

Based on current life expectancy at birth, both men and women residing in Park could expect to live over two years more than the England average (Figure 27).

### Fertility

The general fertility rate of 51.9 in Park is lower than the Sefton (60.1) and England (60.6) rates.

### Overweight Children

Of 95 reception age pupils (4 to 5 year olds) in Park taking part in the annual National Child Measurement Programme (NCMP) 2018/19, 23% fell into the excess weight category lower than three of the comparator areas (Figure 28).

Of 115 Year 6 pupils (10 to 11 year olds) in Park taking part in the annual National Child Measurement Programme (NCMP) 2018/19, 30% had excess weight, the ward level is lower than the Borough, LCR, North West and England (Figure 29).

### Hospital Admissions

Between 2015/16 and 2019/20 there were a total of 75 hospital admissions for injuries in under 15 years olds across Park, a crude rate (CR) of 92. This is lower than the rates seen across the comparator areas (Figure 30).

The CR of hospital admissions for injuries in those aged between 15 and 24 (141) is lower in the ward than the rates seen across the Borough, city region and region (Figure 31). With 80 admissions between 2015/16 and 2019/20.

Predominantly emergency hospital admissions during 2015/16 to 2019/20 in the ward had a

lower Standardised Admission Ratios (SAR) than seen across the four comparator areas:

- Park All Causes SAR – 108 (Figure 32)
- Park Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) SAR – 69 (Figure 33)
- Park Coronary Heart Disease SAR – 115 (Figure 34)
- Park Myocardial Infarction SAR – 81 (Figure 35)
- Park Stroke SAR – 69 (Figure 36)
- Park Hip Fracture in those aged 65 and over SAR – 81 (Figure 37)

Hospital admissions for self-harm is significantly lower in the ward than the comparison areas with a Standardised Admission Ratios (SAR) of 62 in 2015/16 to 2019/20 (Figure 38).

Like the low levels of poor health from birth of residents of the ward the levels of other disease and mortality rates are mostly lower.

### Deaths

For the period 2015 to 2019 Park had a Standardised Mortality Ratio (SMR) for all causes of death of 78, significantly lower than the Borough, city region, region and nation (Figure 39). With a total of 652 deaths in the ward during the time period.

In line with the higher life expectancy Park is in the bottom 20% of England wards in terms of its SMR (ranked 5,799 out of the 7,189 wards available).

### Cancer

In relation to the occurrence of Cancer between 2014 and 2018, Park has a Standardised Incidence Ratio (SIR) of 103 slightly higher than the rates seen in Sefton and England (Figure 40). With the ward being within the top 40% of wards in England Cancer SIR (2,543 out of 7,198 wards available).

### Index of Multiple Deprivation – Health & Disability

One of Park's LSOAs are within the top 30% of affected areas nationally, meaning some residents of the ward are living in some of the most health and disability deprived areas of England. With the

overall picture of poor health increasing in the ward from 2015 (Map 8). The ward had a higher average score than of England, but is lower than Sefton, LCR and the North West.




Figure 27: Life Expectancy at Birth (2015-2019)




Figure 28: Children with Excess Weight – Year R (2018/19)




Figure 29: Children with Excess Weight – Year 6 (2018/19)




Figure 30: Emergency Hospital Admissions – CR Injuries in Under 15 year olds (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 31: Emergency Hospital Admissions – CR Injuries in 15 to 24 year olds (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 32: Emergency Hospital Admissions – All Causes SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 33: Emergency Hospital Admissions – COPD SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 37: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Hip Fractures 65+ SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 34: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Coronary Heart Disease SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 38: Hospital Admissions – Intentional Self-harm SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 35: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Myocardial Infarction SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)




Figure 39: All Causes of Death SMR (2015 – 2019)




Figure 36: Emergency Hospital Admissions – Stroke SAR (2015/16 – 2019/20)






Figure 40: All Cancer Incidences SIR (2014 – 2018)



Map 8: Health IMD Score by LSOA (2019)

Intentionally left blank.



The health and well-being of Park is generally better than Sefton as a whole. Residents of Park tend to live longer lives in better health. Hospital admission rates along with mortality rates are lower for adults in Park than those seen in the Borough, with only all and CHD admissions in the ward are higher than those seen nationally. However, cancer incidences are higher in the ward than the Borough and nationally. Health outcomes for children are better than Sefton as a whole.

Whilst Park currently experiences better health than many of Sefton’s wards, changes to its population such as an increasing older population may increase the health needs of this community in the future.



## 2011 Census Flows – Migration

The 2011 Census indicated that 521 people immigrated into the Park ward from outside of the ward when comparing current addresses to the address the previous year, with 153 moving within the ward, totalling 674 people moving in the area. 31% of these moved from other wards within Sefton, with a further 26% from other LCR areas. 51% of all people moving into the ward are aged between 20 and 54 (Table 18 and Map 9).

In comparison 602 people have moved out of the ward, to other areas, with 30% moving to other Sefton wards, and a further 21% moving to other LCR areas. 50% of the people who left the ward were aged between 20 and 54 (Table 19 and Map 10).

Table 18 / Map 9: Moving into Park (2011)

Area Moved From	Total	0to19	20to54	55Plus				
Park (Internal)	153	22.7%	37	5.5%	79	11.7%	37	5.5%
Sefton (Other Wards)	209	31.0%	42	6.2%	128	19.0%	39	5.8%
LCR (Not Sefton)	176	26.1%	44	6.5%	119	17.7%	13	1.9%
North West (Not LCR)	71	10.5%	9	1.3%	51	7.6%	11	1.6%
Other	65	9.6%	9	1.3%	48	7.1%	8	1.2%
<b>Total Immigration into Park Ward (excluding Internal)</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>10.5%</b>





Table 19 / Map 10: Moving out of Park (2011)

Area Moved To	Total	0to19	20to54	55Plus				
Park (Internal)	153	20.3%	37	4.9%	79	10.5%	37	4.9%
Sefton (Other Wards)	225	29.8%	61	8.1%	124	16.4%	40	5.3%
LCR (Not Sefton)	160	21.2%	32	4.2%	116	15.4%	12	1.6%
North West (Not LCR)	128	17.0%	26	3.4%	80	10.6%	22	2.9%
Other	89	11.8%	20	2.6%	59	7.8%	10	1.3%
<b>Total Emigrated From Park Ward (excluding Internal)</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>79.7%</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>50.2%</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>11.1%</b>



Slightly more people are migrating out of the ward than in, with all age groups showing reductions in numbers.


## 2011 Census Flows – Travel to Work

Census 2011 indicated that 89% (4,248) of working residents within Park travelled out of the ward to their place of work. 40% of the people travelling out of the area travelled to other LCR areas with a further 32% travelling to other Sefton wards. 410 people did not have a fixed workplace with a further 483 people residing in the ward stating they worked from home (Table 20 / Map 11).

There were a total of 2,135 people traveling into the ward to attend their place of work from outside of the ward. 45% of these travelled from other Sefton wards with 25% travelling from other LCR areas (Table 21 / Map 12).

Table 20 / Map 11: Park Residents Travelling to Work (2011)


Park Residents Travel to Work	Total	16 - 34	35 - 49	50 Plus				
Park (Internal)	515	10.8%	123	2.6%	178	3.7%	214	4.5%
Sefton (Other Wards)	1500	31.5%	397	8.3%	524	11.0%	579	12.2%
LCR (Not Sefton)	1919	40.3%	554	11.6%	703	14.8%	662	13.9%
North West (Not LCR)	684	14.4%	221	4.6%	256	5.4%	207	4.3%
Other	145	3.0%	46	1.0%	62	1.3%	37	0.8%
<b>Total Park Residents Working Outside the Ward</b>	<b>4248</b>	<b>89.2%</b>	<b>1218</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>1545</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	<b>1485</b>	<b>31.2%</b>



There were 64 wards in the area where one to nine Park residents travelling to Work. There were 78 wards in the area with one to nine residents travelling into Park to Work.

Table 21 / Map12: People Travelling to Work in Park (2011)

People Traveling to Park to Work	Total	16 - 34	35 - 49	50 Plus				
Park (Internal)	515	19.4%	123	4.6%	183	6.9%	214	8.1%
Sefton (Other Wards)	1184	44.7%	343	12.9%	419	15.8%	422	15.9%
LCR (Not Sefton)	654	24.7%	253	9.5%	233	8.8%	168	6.3%
North West (Not LCR)	288	10.9%	76	2.9%	110	4.2%	102	3.8%
Other	9	0.3%	3	0.1%	4	0.2%	2	0.1%
<b>Total People Travelling to Park for Work</b>	<b>2135</b>	<b>80.6%</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>766</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>26.2%</b>





## Service Demand & Delivery

### Children’s Social Care

In the ward there were 23 children aged between 0 and 17 known to Sefton Children’s Services as at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2020; this equates to 120 per 10,000 children residing in Park, significantly lower than the Sefton rate of 376 per 10,000 children, 403 per 10,000 in LCR, 372 per 10,000 in the North West, yet lower than the 324 per 10,000 across England (Figure 41). Park has the third lowest rate of children known to social services of the 22 Sefton wards

The rates of Children Looked After (CLA) and those on a Child Protection Plan (CP) within the ward are much lower than the comparator areas:

- 10 per 10,000 children were subject to CLA (Sefton – 102, LCR – 123, NW – 97 and England – 67 per 10,000 children)
- 26 per 10,000 children were subject to CP (Sefton – 51, LCR – 51, NW – 50 and England – 43 per 10,000 children)

### Early Help

As at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020, Sefton’s Early Help team had 14 open episodes in Park for children (ages ranged from unborn to 22 years of age); a rate of 3 per 1,000 of 0 – 22 year olds residing in the ward (Figure 42). Park makes up just 1% of all open Early Help Episodes within the Borough.

Park has a much lower rate than that seen in Sefton (15 per 1,000 0 – 22 year olds). There has been a 42% decrease when comparing episodes in 2019 (with Sefton showing an 11% increase).

Nearly two thirds (64%) of episodes related to children aged 10 and 15 years old, those aged 16 and over make up a further 29%.

### YOT (Youth Offending Team)

In Park there were seven young people (aged between 12 and 21) on YOT interventions in 2020. Equating to 7 per 1,000 12 to 21 year olds in the ward (Figure 43), similar to the rate seen across Sefton (7 per 1,000), totalling seven interventions.

Park has seen a raise in the number of young people known to YOT over the past three years (75% / three young people), Sefton saw a reduction (37%).

86% of young people known to YOT in 2020 were males, with those aged 15-16 making up nearly two thirds (71%) of the population.




Figure 41: Children Services (31<sup>st</sup> March 2020)




Figure 42: Rate of Early Help Open Episodes (31<sup>st</sup> December 2020)





Figure 43: Rate of Young People Known to YOT (2018/19/20)



**Adult Social Care - Personal Care at Home**

During April 2020 and March 2021, 129 residents of Park aged 18 or over received personal care at home; this makes up 4% of all recipients residing within the Borough and is a rate of 14 per 1,000 residents (aged 18 and over), slightly higher than the rate seen across the Borough (13 per 1,000 residents aged 18 and over).

Residents aged 65 to 84 make up the highest proportion of the total recipients (41%), yet those aged 85 and over have a higher count per 1,000 population (Table 22).

**Adult Social Care - Long Term Residential and Nursing Homes**

There were 85 people residing in long term residential or nursing home who originally resided in Park between April 2020 and March 2021. Park makes up 5% of all the long term residential / nursing home residents, who originated from within Sefton. This equates to a rate of 9 per 1,000, again slightly greater than the Sefton rate of 8 per 1,000 18+ residents.

Similar to personal care at home those aged 65 to 84 years old make up the highest percentage of long term residential / nursing home residents 56%; again, those aged 85 and over have a considerably higher count per 1,000 population (Table 23).

**Adult Social Care - Contacts**

Sefton received 927 contacts to adult social care from residents of Park during the 2020/21 financial year. This equates to 103 contacts per 1,000 residents aged 18 and over – slightly less than the rate seen across Sefton 106 per 1,000 18+ residents (Table 24).

**% Personal Care at Home (18+)**



**% Residential and Nursing**



**% Contacts to Adult Social Care**




Table 22: Personal Care at Home Clients (2020/21)

Personal Care at Home 2020/21	Park			Sefton		
	Count	Rate	Percentage	Count	Rate	Percentage
18-64	25	4.0	19.4%	695	4.4	24.2%
65-84	53	19.0	41.1%	1196	21.6	41.7%
85 and Over	51	90.9	39.5%	978	101.2	34.1%
Total	129	14.3	100.0%	2869	13.5	100.0%

Table 23: Long Term Residential and Nursing Home Clients (2020/21)

LTRN 2020/21	Park			Sefton		
	Count	Rate	Percentage	Count	Rate	Percentage
18-64	2	0.3	2.4%	198	1.3	11.5%
65-84	48	17.2	56.5%	716	12.9	41.6%
85 and Over	35	62.4	41.2%	806	83.4	46.9%
Total	85	9.4	100.0%	1720	8.1	100.0%

Table 24: Adult Social Care Contacts (2020/21)

ASC Contacts 2020/21	Park			Sefton		
	Count	Rate	Percentage	Count	Rate	Percentage
18-64	98	15.8	10.6%	6248	39.7	27.6%
65-84	461	165.1	49.7%	9385	169.2	41.5%
85 and Over	368	656.0	39.7%	7007	724.8	30.9%
Total	927	102.9	100.0%	22640	106.4	100.0%

**Libraries**

There were approximately 3,243 residents of Park registered as borrowers with Library services within Sefton between April 2019 and March 2020. 1,467 Park residents registered have borrowed from a Sefton library in the past year this works out at a rate of 128 per 1,000 residents, minimally higher than the Sefton rate of 127 per 1,000 residents (Figure 44). Park residents make up 4% of all Sefton active borrowers.

**Domestic Waste Collection**

It is estimated that there were 310,846 waste collections carried out in Park between April 2019 and March 2020; residual waste makes up the largest amount of collections within the ward at 41% (lower than the 43% seen across the Borough). Green waste collections in the ward - 18% is higher than those seen across the Borough - 15% (Table 25).

**Calls for Service – Environmental Issues**

There were 199 calls made to Sefton’s contact centre relating to environmental issues between April 2020 and March 2021 in Park ward representing 2% of environmental calls that occurred within Sefton. Park has a rate of 17 environmental issues per 1,000 population - notably lower than the rate seen across Sefton as a whole (33 per 1,000).

The number of environmental issues in the ward have seen a 10% decrease from the previous year. Compared to a 4% increase seen across the Borough (Table 26).

Fly Tipping is the most prolific issue in the ward making up 34% of all calls; though there has been a 4% decrease in the number of calls from 2019/20 to 2020/21 (compared to a 7% rise across the Borough).

Figure 44: Active Library Patrons (2019/20)




Table 25: Waste Collections (2019/20)

Collection Type	Park	Sefton %
Co-Mingled	126,204	40.6%
Green Waste	57,060	18.4%
Residual Waste	127,582	43.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>310,846</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Table 26: Environmental Issues (2020/21)

Environmental Issues	Park Issues			Sefton Issues		
	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>	Count	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Change <sup>2</sup>
Apr 20 - Mar 21						
Accumulation of Refuse	7	0.6	133.3% ▲	337	1.2	9.8% ▲
Dog Fouling	8	0.7	-38.5% ▼	226	0.8	-32.7% ▼
Filthy & Verminous Premises	7	0.6	-12.5% ▼	391	1.4	-8.4% ▼
Fly Tipping	68	5.9	-4.2% ▼	4267	15.4	7.0% ▲
Other	17	1.5	54.5% ▲	400	1.4	-16.1% ▼
Rats	59	5.1	11.3% ▲	990	3.6	3.0% ▲
Rear Entries	3	0.3	100.0% ▲	1140	4.1	72.5% ▲
Street Sweeping	30	2.6	-51.6% ▼	1389	5.0	-14.4% ▼
<b>Total</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>17.3</b>	<b>-10.0% ▼</b>	<b>9140</b>	<b>33.1</b>	<b>4.1% ▲</b>

<sup>1</sup> The rate is the count of offences per 1,000 residents residing in the ward

<sup>2</sup> The change is the direction of change when comparing rate from 2020/21 to 2019/20

<sup>3</sup> Other includes Abandoned Vehicles, Dangerous / Aggressive Dogs, Graffiti, Grot Spots, Unsecure Premises, Overgrown land / gardens, Street Cleansing, Stray Dogs and Vacant / Unsecure Premises

**% Active Library Patrons**



**Rate of Environmental Issues**



**Rate of Fly Tipping**



## MOSAIC Profile

Experian's Mosaic Public Sector product is designed to identify groupings of consumer behaviour for households and postcodes. The methodology used is unique to Experian and has been developed over many years to create classifications using data from a wide variety of different sources and different levels of geography.

It is designed to describe the UK population in terms of their *typical* demographics, social, economic, cultural and lifestyle behaviour. The population is classified into 66 'Types' that identify groups of individuals or households that are as similar as possible to each other and as different as possible from any other group. These 'Types' merge together hierarchically into 15 'Groups'.

In each type there are several key features which make each category distinctive and are useful to bear in mind when devising communications or delivery strategies targeted at them. These are *subjective* and are intended to highlight key issues rather than to be comprehensive. Clearly not every one of the Borough's 127,350 households will match exactly to just one of 66 different Mosaic Types or 15 Mosaic Groups. The description should be recognised as "generic types", examples to which individual cases only approximate with various degrees of exactness.

### Overview

There are approximately 11,500 residents living in 5,300 households across Park. Over four fifths (81%) of the households in Park ward are classified as belonging to just four of the 15 Mosaic Groups – E, F, G and H (Table 27) which are generally characterised as:

#### E – Senior Security

- Retires singles and couples
- Pre-war generation
- Established in community
- Lower internet use
- Have wills
- Solar panels
- Household income: £20k - £29,999

#### F – Suburban Stability

- Older families, no children
- Own mid-value semis
- Three bedrooms
- Established in community
- News and media sites
- Solar panels
- Household income: £30k - £39,999

#### G – Domestic Success

- Families with children
- Mid to high household income
- Monthly discretionary income under £1,000
- Very high mortgage debt
- Internet via smartphone
- Online shoppers
- Household income: £70k - £99,999

#### H – Aspiring Homemakers

- Families with young children
- 3 bedrooms
- High outstanding mortgages
- Internet via smartphone
- Texts and photos on smartphone
- Order from take-aways
- Household income: £30k - £39,999

Table 27: Mosaic Group Breakdown (September 2020)

Mosaic Group	Park		Sefton	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
F Suburban Stability	1643	30.9%	17345	13.6%
E Senior Security	1373	25.9%	16796	13.2%
G Domestic Success	706	13.3%	7970	6.3%
H Aspiring Homemakers	553	10.4%	13332	10.5%
B Prestige Positions	427	8.0%	11336	8.9%
L Vintage Value	253	4.8%	13798	10.8%
M Modest Traditions	89	1.7%	9994	7.8%
C Country Living	85	1.6%	353	0.3%
J Transient Renters	58	1.1%	10325	8.1%
D Rural Reality	45	0.8%	582	0.5%
O Rental Hubs	31	0.6%	4521	3.6%
I Family Basics	28	0.5%	11681	9.2%
K Municipal Tenants	14	0.3%	7785	6.1%
N Urban Cohesion	5	0.1%	1430	1.1%
A City Prosperity		0.0%	103	0.1%
Total	5310		127351	


### Generic MOSAIC Characteristics

The groups can be characterised further by socio-economic data within Mosaic. The groups are then ranked from 1 to 15 in terms of the *predominance* of the characteristic in the group.

For Park:


The four main groups of the ward can be split into two clear age bands, with the younger two groups being more likely to be households with children and the older two groups being more likely to have a higher environmental impact gap (Figure 45).

Figure 45: Mosaic Groups by Number of Children, Age and Environmental Gap (2020)




The older residents in the ward tend to have lived in the area a long time, with the two younger groups residency levels and environmental impact gap being lower (Figure 46).

Figure 46: Mosaic Groups by Age, Residency Length and Environmental Gap (2020)



Internet and social network usages along with household technology is higher in the two younger groups than compared to their older counterparts (Figure 47).

Figure 47: Mosaic Groups by Social Network Usage, Internet Usage and Household Technology (2020)



Household income is higher in the two younger groups with children. Residency length and household technology do not seem to be impacted on by household income (Figure 48 & 49).

Figure 48: Mosaic Groups by Number of Children, Household Income and Residency Length (2020)





Figure 49: Mosaic Groups by Number of Children, Age and Household Income (2020)



The two younger groups with higher likelihood of children and higher household incomes show higher usage of social networking (Figure 50).




Figure 50: Mosaic Groups by Number of Children, Household Income and Social Network Usage (2020)

Intentionally left blank.



There is a clear divide between the four groups when comparing internet usage and household technology, with the two younger groups (likely to have high number of children) having much higher levels of usage and technology compared to the older groups. (Figure 51).

Figure 51: Mosaic Groups by Internet Usage, Number of Children and Household Technology (2020)



## Notes on Data & Methodology

**Output Area (OA)** – are clusters of adjacent postcodes and are used as the basis of the Census data. They are made up of a minimum of 40 to 125 households. As of Census 2011 Sefton have 933 OAs, previous to this there were 937.

**Lower Super Output Area (LSOA)** – are built using adjoined OAs. They have between 400 and 1200 households. Currently Sefton has 189 LSOAs, previous to 2011 there were 190.

**Middle Super Output Area (MSOA)** – are built using LSOAs and have a minimum household number of 2000 up to 6000. There are 38 MSOAs in Sefton this has not changed.

**Ward** – “administrative division of a city or borough that typically elects and is represented by a councillor or councillors” (Oxford Dictionary)

For further information relating to these geographies please see:

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/geography/ukgeographies/censusgeography>

**Population Estimates 2019** – annual population count estimates for wards in Sefton by single year of age and sex.

**NINo estimation** – “The data covers adult overseas nationals entering the UK and allocated a National Insurance Number (NINo) on the National Insurance Recording & Pay as You Earn System (NPS). Allocation of a NINo includes all reasons i.e. the figures cover benefit/tax credit recipients as well as workers.

All adult overseas nationals allocated a NINo are included, regardless of their length of stay in the UK. “ <https://stat-xplore.dwp.gov.uk/webapi/jsf/dataCatalogueExplorer.xhtml>

Please note that NINo data is provided by Middle Super Output Area (MSOA) which have been merged into best fit wards.

**Index of Multiple Deprivation 2019 (IMD)** measures levels of deprivation, across seven domains, nationally at Lower Super Output Area (LSOA) level. This provides a mean score and ranking allowing areas to be compared relatively to other areas. The IMD data is created by the Department for Communities and local Government. The higher the score the more affected the area. Sefton has 189 LSOAs as of

Census 2011. Please note that IMD data is provided by LSOAs which have been merged into best fit wards.

**Experian Mosaic** is a classification tool used to categorise the population according to the type of neighbourhood in which they live. It is constructed from a range of sources including the Census, consumer behaviour, financial and lifestyle factor data. It is a useful tool for gaining more in-depth insight into lifestyles and behaviour of the population. Mosaic segments the population into 15 Groups (A-O) and 66 Types.

**Children Living in Relative Low Income Families** is defined as: Children living in families whose equivalised income is below 60 per cent of contemporary median income. Gross income measure is Before Housing Costs (BHC) and includes contributions from earnings, state support and pensions (by financial year).

**Occupancy Rating** (bedrooms) provides a measure of whether a household's accommodation is overcrowded or under occupied.

**Universal Credits** (UC) is a monthly payment for low income workers and those out of work. UC replaces six other benefit types (Job Seekers Allowance, Employment and Support Allowance, Income Support, Child Tax Credits, Working Tax Credits and Housing Benefits). UC has been rolled out across England and was introduced in Sefton in June 2014. Please note that claims are per household meaning two people who resided together may claim the benefit, which will then be paid into one account. Please note that UC data is provided LSOAs which have been merged into best fit wards.

**Personal Independence Payment** (PIP) is benefit paid to those aged 16 and over to help with extra costs caused by long term health conditions (it is gradually replacing Disability Living Allowance)

**Child benefits** is a social security payment paid to parents or guardians of children under the age of 16 or those who continue into further education until aged 18.

**Council Tax Reduction** (CTR) is help for people on low-incomes towards their council tax payments.

“The **Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS)** sets standards for the learning, development and care of children from birth to 5 years old. All schools and Ofsted-registered early years providers must follow the EYFS, including childminders, preschools, nurseries and school reception classes.” [www.foundationyears.org.uk/eyfs-statutory-framework/](http://www.foundationyears.org.uk/eyfs-statutory-framework/)

“**Key Stage 1** is taught during Years 1 and 2 of primary school. Targets defined in the National Curriculum are assessed at the end of each Key Stage.”

[http://www.bbc.co.uk/schools/parents/national\\_curriculum\\_key\\_stages/](http://www.bbc.co.uk/schools/parents/national_curriculum_key_stages/)

“**Key Stage 2** is taught during Years 3 to 6 of primary school. Targets defined in the National Curriculum are assessed at the end of each Key Stage.”

[http://www.bbc.co.uk/schools/parents/national\\_curriculum\\_key\\_stages/](http://www.bbc.co.uk/schools/parents/national_curriculum_key_stages/)

### Progress 8 and Attainment 8

“Progress 8 aims to capture the progress a pupil makes from the end of primary school to the end of secondary school. It is a type of value added measure, which means that pupils’ results are compared to the actual achievements of other pupils with the same prior attainment.

The performance measures are designed to encourage schools to offer a broad and balanced curriculum with a focus on an academic core at key stage 4, and reward schools for the teaching of all their pupils, measuring performance across 8 qualifications. Every increase in every grade a pupil achieves will attract additional points in the performance tables.

Progress 8 is calculated for individual pupils solely in order to calculate a school’s Progress 8 score, and there is no need for schools to share individual Progress 8 scores with their pupils. Schools should continue to focus on which qualifications are most suitable for individual pupils, as the grades pupils achieve will help them reach their goals for the next stage of their education or training.

Attainment 8 measures the achievement of a pupil across 8 qualifications including mathematics (double weighted) and English (double weighted), 3 further qualifications that count in the English Baccalaureate (EBacc)

measure and 3 further qualifications that can be GCSE qualifications (including EBacc subjects) or technical awards from the DfE approved list.”

[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/583857/Progress\\_8\\_school\\_performance\\_measure\\_Jan\\_17.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/583857/Progress_8_school_performance_measure_Jan_17.pdf)

**Crime / ASB Data** in this report is taken from a live system (Merseyside Police Delphi database) and figures may change slightly from that received (last data download was received on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2021).

**Census Travel to Work** data calculations were based on best-fit LSOAs as the data is not readily available at ward level.

**Libraries** please note that library data is provided by library areas which have been divided into best fit wards.

**Fly tipping** is the illegal dumping of waste; items dumped can include household furniture, building material and vehicle parts.



## Acronyms and Abbreviations

---

A&E – Accident and Emergency

ASB – Anti Social Behaviour

ASC – Adult Social Care

BAME – Black, Asian & Minority Ethnic

CHD – Coronary Heart Disease

CLA – Children Looked After

COPD – Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

CP – Child Protection

CS – Children’s Services

CR – Crude Rate

CTC - Child Tax Credits

CTR – Council Tax Reduction

DLA – Disability Living Allowance

EBacc - English Baccalaureate

EH – Early Help

ELAS – Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme

ESA – Employment Support Allowance

EU – European Union

EYFS – Early Years Foundation Stage

FSM – Free School Meals

GCSE – General Certificate of Secondary Education

HB – Housing Benefit

IDACI – Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index

IDAOPi – Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index

IMD – Index of Multiple Deprivation

IS – Income Support

JSA – Job Seekers Allowance

KS – Key Stage

LCR – Liverpool City Region

LSOA – Lower Super Output Area

MFARS – Merseyside Fire and Rescue Service

MSEA – Middle Super Output Area

NCMP – National Child Measurement Programme

NEET – Not in Employment, Education or Training

NINo – National Insurance Number

NW – North West

OA - Output Area

SAR – Standardised Admission Ratio

SIR – Standardised Incidence Ratio

SMR – Standardised Mortality Ratio

SEN – Special Educational Needs

UC – Universal Credit

UK – United Kingdom

WTC - Working Tax Credits

YOT – Youth Offending Team

## Sources

---

### Overview

#### Park Assets (2020)

Sefton MBC – Gemma Monaghan Murrow

### Demographics

#### Mid-Year Population Statistics (2010/15/19)

[Ward-level population estimates \(Experimental Statistics\) - Office for National Statistics \(ons.gov.uk\)](#)

#### NiNo (19/20)

Sefton MBC - Business Intelligence (via [Stat-Xplore - Log in \(dwp.gov.uk\)](#))

### Deprivation

#### Index of Multiple Deprivation and domains (2019)

[English indices of deprivation 2019 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)

#### Children in Relative Low-Income Families (2019/20)

Sefton MBC - Business Intelligence (via [Stat-Xplore - Log in \(dwp.gov.uk\)](#))

### Housing & Households

#### Household Composition / Occupancy Rate / Tenure (2011)

Census 2011 ([2011 Census - Nomis - Official Labour Market Statistics \(nomisweb.co.uk\)](#))

#### Vacant and Void Property (2020)

Sefton MBC – Debbie McEnaney

#### Council Tax / Single Occupancy (2020)

Sefton MBC – Financial System Support

#### New Build Properties (2021)

Sefton MBC – Kate Calderbank

#### Property Price Paid (2019)

[Price Paid Data - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)

#### Car Registration (2019)

[https://drive.google.com/drive/mobile/folders/1XUJVz5UfdG7m0XDxp5EdSt2FeGik1H\\_G?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/drive/mobile/folders/1XUJVz5UfdG7m0XDxp5EdSt2FeGik1H_G?usp=sharing)

### Economy & Business

#### Licensed Premises / Inspections

Sefton MBC – Andy Walsh

#### Economically Active / Inactive / Unemployed

Census 2011 ([2011 Census - Nomis - Official Labour Market Statistics \(nomisweb.co.uk\)](#))

#### Household Income - Mosaic (2020)

Sefton MBC – Experian Mosaic

### Benefits & Support

#### Unemployment (2021)

[Nomis - Official Labour Market Statistics \(nomisweb.co.uk\)](#)

#### UC / PIP (2021)

Sefton MBC - Business Intelligence (via [Stat-Xplore - Log in \(dwp.gov.uk\)](#))

#### Child Benefits (2020)

[Child Benefit Small Area Statistics: August 2020 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)

#### Council Tax Reductions (2020)

Sefton MBC – Financial System Support

#### Emergency Limited Assistance Scheme - ELAS (2020)

Sefton MBC – Della Haddley

#### Trussell Trust Food Bank (2019/20)

St Leonards Foodbank

Christ Church Foodbank

### Education

#### Nursery / Primary / Secondary Settings (2020)

Sefton MBC – School Census (January 2020)

#### EYFS / KS1 / KS2 / KS4 Achievements (2018/19)

Sefton MBC – Shelley Mckeown

#### NEET (January 2021)

Career Connect – Sarah Vaughan

### Community Safety

#### Crime / ASB (2020/21)

Merseyside Police – Karen Carmichael

#### Deliberate Fires (2020/21)

Merseyside Fire and Rescue – Rob Hanson

### Health

#### Bad Health / Limited Activities (2011)

Census 2011 ([2011 Census - Nomis - Official Labour Market Statistics \(nomisweb.co.uk\)](#))

**Life Expepcteny (2015-19) / General Fertility (2015 - 2019) / Hospital Admissions (2015/16 – 2019/20) / Cancer Incidence (2014 – 2018) / Deaths All Causes (2015-2019)**

*Intentionally left blank.*

[Local Health - Public Health England - Indicators: maps, data and charts](#)

### **NCMP (2018/19)**

Sefton MBC – Claire Brewer / Gemma Monaghan Murrow

Yr R: [Child and Maternal Health - PHE](#)

Yr 6: [Child and Maternal Health - PHE](#)

## **2011 Census Flows**

### **Migration / Travel to Work (2011)**

[https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/census/2011/origin\\_destination](https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/census/2011/origin_destination)

## **Service Demand & Delivery**

### **Children Social Care (31<sup>st</sup> March 2020)**

Sefton MBC – Jim Conalty

### **CiN / CP (31<sup>st</sup> March 2020)**

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/statistics-children-in-need>

### **LAC (31<sup>st</sup> March 2020)**

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/statistics-looked-after-children>

### **Early Help (31<sup>st</sup> December 2020)**

Sefton MBC – Della Haddley

### **YOT (2020)**

Sefton MBC – Suzie Mossman

### **Adult Social Care**

Sefton MBC – Charlotte Humphreys

### **Libraries**

Sefton MBC – Andrew Farthing

### **Domestic Waste / Environmental Issues**

Sefton MBC – Andy Walsh

## **Mosaic Profile**

Sefton MBC – Experian Mosaic Database